## Fund-Raising Techniques Cited

By Lawrence Meyer Washington Post Staff Writer

President Nixon's 1972 reelection effort employed a battery of techniques to raise money, including a White House dinner, talk of possible ambassadorships and a carefully planned effort to raise money from corporate employees and executives on an industry - by - industry basis, according to staff reports of the Senate select Watergate committee.

According to one of the committee staff reports on

Nixon campaign efforts, "some of the solicitations vigorous, bordering on extortion; some were low key, almost to the point where the contribution can be considered volunteered.'

Although the report states that no evidence has been obtained showing that any Nixon fund-raiser directly solicited corporate contributions—which are illegal— "the evidence is unmistakable that a number of the fund raisers either were indifferent to the source of the money or, at the very

least, made no effort whatsoever to see that the source of the funds was private rather than corporate. "Certainly, there is no evi-

dence that any fund-raiser who was involved in these contributions sought or obtained assurances that the contribution was legal at the time it was made," the report states.

More than 10 corporations and executives of those corpleaded porations have pleaded guilty to federal charges of making illegal campaign contributions to the Nixon

reelection campaign in 1972. Of all the Nixon fund-raisers, President Nixon's personal lawyer Herbert Kalmbach appears to have been the most productive, according to a staff report on Kalmbach.

In November, 1970, the report states, Kalmbach received pledges for \$7 million in campaign contributions following a small White House dinner attended by Mr. Nixon. The report states that contributions were not

## See CONTRIBUTE, A8, Col. 1 CONTRIBUTE, From A1

discussed during the dinner or in Mr. Nixon's presence. Mr. Nixon said in a press conference last October that it has been his policy not to have discussions about campaign contributions.

After the dinner, the report states, "Kalmbach and the potential contributors discussed what they could be expected to contribute to the 1972 campaign." Among the persons at the dinner, besides Mr. Nixon, Kalm-bach and Attorney Genreal John N. Mitchell, were W. Clement Stone, Richard Mellon Scaife and John Mul-Richard

Stone, a Chicago insurance executive, and Mulcahy, then present of the Quigley Co., a subsidiary of Pfizer, Inc., each pledged \$3 million, the report states. Scaife, a Pittsburgh banker, pledged \$1 million. Scaife met his pledge, but Stone gave \$2 million and Mulcahy gave only \$600,000, according to the report.

According to the report Kalmbach raised some \$10.6 million, or roughly one-fourth of President Nixon's

re-election committee budget of \$40 million, the largest amount spent of a presidential campaign in American history.

Of the \$10.6 million, more than \$8.8 million was given prior to April 7, 1972, when a new law requiring large campaign contributors to be identified went into effect.

Kalmbach was sentenced to six to 18 months in jail and fined \$10,000 on June 17 after pleading guilty to op erating an illegal 1970 White House campaign fund for congressional candidates Kalmbach also pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor charge of promising an ambassadorship in Europe to Maryland Republican J. Fife Symington Jr. in return for a \$100,000 campaign contribution.

In a separate report, the committee staff analyzed the Nixon re-election com-mittee's "corporate group solicitation program," which had as its express aim to "generate substantial funds by encouraging individual corporations to stimulate their employes to contribute.

Under the program, corporate heads were encouraged to collect contributions from individual employees and send them togethter, the report states, so that the corporation itself could receive recognition for the effort even though the contribu-tions came from individuals.

At the same time, the report says, the program cir-cumvented the necessity of a corporation filing as a political committee by having the individual contributors write their checks directly to the Nixon re-election committee.

According to the report;
"Following this procedure,
there would be no public record of contributions classified by the company of the donor, while there would be such a record at (the Nixon re-election committee)."

This plan raised about \$2.8 million and could have about raised more had it been put into operation earlier in the campaign, according to the report.

At the same time, the report states the Nixon re-election committee sought funds on an "industry by industry" approach, using a

well-known and influential executive in an industry to raise money from his colleagues in that industry. This approach brought in between \$5 million and \$10 million to the Nixon campaign.

The staff report gives the following breakdown of how various industries contributed:

Pharmaceutical, \$885,000; petroleum products, \$809,-000; investment banking, \$690,000: trucking, \$674,000; textile, \$600,000; carpet, \$375,000; automobile manu-

home

facturers, \$354,000;

iers, \$334,000 and insur-\$319,000 addition, the report s, oil related corporaofficers contributed about to million to the Nixon compaign that was not laten into account by the Nixon re-election committee's compliation of contributions by industries.

"While the committee has developed no specific evidence that the (Nixon re-election committee) industries. dence that the (Nixon reelection committee) industry
by industry program influenced government action,"
the staff report states, "it
apparently reviewed industry problems and forwarded
the industry's concern to the
interested officials."
The report quotes a memo
written by Buckley M.
Byers, director of the reelection committee's industry program, that said: "We try program, that said: are also going to have to do what we can to help our industry chairman (corporate executives) with problems of their industry and see to it

that they get proper aftention from the administra-tion Only in this way will they become convinced that they become convinced that our relationship is not a one-way street."

The staff report quotes another memo that recounts a discussion between Byers and Aicoa vice president Frank Jones about having another Aicoa executive, John Harper; become Nixon fund-raising chairman for the nonterrous metals in the non-terrous metals oustry Byers said that Jo Byers said that Jones brought up one major problem that is facing the entire industry. He prefaced his remark by assuring me that this was not a 'quid pro quo' situation, but hastened to add that if we could give some reasonable assurance that we would render what ever assistance possible to the industry, there would be absolutely no question about fiarper accepting and doing said that Jones Harper accepting and doing an outstanding job." Both Jones and Harper

the staff report states, told the staff in interviews "that they never solicited, sought or received help from (the Nixon re-election committee) in connection with any of their industry problems." Another staff report, deal ing with campaign contribu-tions and ambassadorial appointments, quotes Presi-dent Nixon's statement in a Feb. 23, 1973 news confer-ence that "ambassadorships have not been for sale and I would not approve an ambassadorship unless the man or woman was qualified clearly apart from his contribution.

Since his re-election, the staff report states, Mr. Nixon has appointed 13 noncareer ambassadors "Eight of these newly appointed and confirmed ambassadors each donated a minimum of \$25,000,000,000 in the expression. 000 and in the aggregate, they contributed over \$706,000" to the Nixon campiagn, the report says.