By WALLACE TURNER

By WALLACE TURNER

Special to The New York Times

LOS ANGELES, June 28—A six-member Federal court fury here seems to be methodically reassessing ail the evidence presented in the four months of the trial of Robert A. Mahou's defamation shit against the Howard R. Hughes holding company, Summa Carporation. The jury was instructed on Tuesday by Federal Judge Harry Pregerson and began its deliberations that day. The jury is to find diability in two actions when liability in two actions when liability questions have been settled, further hearings will be held to determine the amount of tasia judgments.

Mr. Maheu has asked \$17.3 million damages. The Hispies interests have tiled a counter claim for \$4.438.474 and the jury has heard evidence in both matters during its four day a week sessions that began 1 sh. 26.

This afternoon, the jury was, writed until Monday.

1972 News Conference

The suit grew out of a most insual news conference that Mr. Hughes's employes arranged for him on Jan. 7, 1972. He then lived on Paradise Island, across the channel from Nassau, the Bahamas, and poke by telephone from there a group of reporters gathered in a hotel room here. They did not see him, nor did he see

Mr. Hughes wanted to deny ne validity of an "autobio-tiphy" of him that had been siten by Clifford Irving. The subsequently servel a der subsequently servel a son term for fraud.

A few statements made by Hughes in that news connice, were the basis of this amation trial, which lasted months before the jury notew last Tuesday to begin the Hughes was accounted.

emberations.

Air. Hughes was asked why dismissed Mr. Maheu in 1970.

The manager of the Hughes options in Nevada. He replied for the stole me blind.

Air. Maheu filed suit on 'eb. 1972, against Summa Cortation, the Hughes holding majany which had paid the ost of the news conference.

coration, the Hughes holding (mr any which, had paid the cost of the news conference, this enabled him to go to court which serving legal process the etusive Mr Hughes, who is a them has lived in Nicarana, fineda, impland and treated Bathama Island. Before the trial opened Mr. Maheu's attorneys and those for the Hughes interests questioned witnesses under outly and examined records that they had subpoenaed.

The Hughes interests elected to try to prove as a complete detense that Mr. Hughes only spoke the truth about Mr. Wheu. This then led to a massive assessment of his financial telationships with Mr. Hughs from 1953, when he

began to do investigative jobs for the reclusive millionaire until 1970, when he was dis-

Attorneys for Mr. Hughes

mased.

Attorneys for Mr. Hughes
began chastisming the lahea
in a series of depositions. He
was cross-examined under only
about what he had done, with
sums of Mr. Hughes money
that had passed through his
hands.

What occurred in these secret,
sessions did not become public until last september. Then,
a series of reports began to
emerge, and the most explosive of these was the account
pieced together from testimony
of Mr. Maheu and Richard G.
Danner, still an employe of Mr.
Hughes, They testified about
the delivery of \$100,000 in \$100
bills to Charles G. Rebozo,
President Nixon's friend.

After the depositions were
opened in was disclosed that
Mr. Rebozo had told the Senate Watergate Committee that
he had kept the cash in a safedeposit box in Key Biscayne
for three years and their returned the same \$100 bills to
representatives of Mr. Hughes.

But subsequently it was
learned that Herbert W. Kalmbach, formerly personal attorney for Mr. Nixon, had told
the Watergate committee staff
that Mr. Rebozo had told him
that some of the money had
been given to the President's
brothers and to Rose Mary
Woods, the Presidents' private
segretary.

Investigation Still On
These matters are still under

Investigation Still On

These matters are still under investigation.

Mr. Maheu also said that he

investigation.

Mr. Maheu also said that he gave \$50,000 in \$100 bills to Hubert H. Humphrey when they met in a limousine in front of the Century Plaza Hotel here on July 29, 1968, when Mr. Humphrey, then Vice President, was campaigning as the Democratic nominee for President.

President.

Senator Humphrey did not appear at the trial but gave swotn answers to written questions. He denied that he had received the money although he said that the meeting described by Mr Maheu had taken place.

Mr. Maheu told about sending, \$25,000 through I awrence

Mr. Maheu told about sending \$25,000 through Lawrence F O Brien, former Democratic National Chamman and the man whose office was a target of the Watergate burglars. The money was to Bay the campaign deficit of the late Senative Robert For Kennedy, Mr. Maheu said.

Also, Mr. Maheu testified that big sams went to many other politicians. The pattern seemed to be that Mr. Hughes worked both sides of the street. In the Los Angeles, Mayor alty race, he reportedly give \$11,000 to Sam Your and \$5,000 to Tom Bradley in the California senatorial race.

Rafferty, a Republican, and the 1966 California Governor campaign \$4,450 to Ronal Reagan and \$4,700 to Edmun G Brown than \$1-million hes campaign contribution



Associated Press
Robert A. Maheu

were disclosed during the trial
About \$600,000 bit this came
from this cashin cages at the
Silver bupper in Las Vegas.

Mr. Hughes ox ned this wash
no personally non-through piae
of his corporations. This made
it legal for him to give contributions from it such contributions would have been the
gal, if made through a corporation.

The trial also broduerd exidence that during the form
years before he dismissed. Mr.
Maheu, Mr. Hughess casino
operation in Nevada had lost
\$12 f-million. He had invested
about \$150 inthon in Nevada,
the evidence showed.

When Mr. Maneu was dismissed, he fled a damage action in Sevada courts against
Mr. Hughes made his stole meblind" grousation.

The cudence also ancluded
dozens of intermanations in Mr.
Maheu on man't marters, ranging from a nume annuary to
half hydrogen bomb tests in
the Ne ada desert to futile at

mait hydrogen bomb tests in the No ada desert to futile at tempts to block construction of competing horels on the Lasvega, Sing.

of competing holes on the La-Vigas Ship.

The accumulative effect of these was to show Mr. Hughes as deeply interested in detailed aspects of some phase of his operation, but with his mind wandering soon to some new interest.

The memorandums showed the billionaire as plotting ways to mislead public agencies, such as the Nevada gaming commis-

ion, on ways to deceive busi-less competitors about plans or development of his proper-

ties.

The evidence also emphasized Mr. Hughes's well known passion for seclusion. The only one of his top executives to testify was Nadine Henley, his secretary for more than 30 years. She had seen him face to face just three times since 1961. Yet, through her hands passed hundreds of thousands of dollars in cash for various Hughes projects.

The Hughes lawyer who con-

ducted the trial. Norbert Schlei, concentrated his attack on three aspects of Mr. Maheu's handling of his duties for Mr. Mughes One of these derived from Mr. Hughes's desire to own and guard four houses and an industrial building in Tucson. Ariz Mr. Maheu was in charge of this project. Mr. Schlei produced evidence to show that Mr. Maheu had overentied the furghes companies h's 464,000 aver expenses. Then Mr. Schlei produced a writtere declaration by Mr. Maheu had overentied the furghes companies h's 464,000 aver expenses. Then Mr. Schlei produced a writtere declaration by Mr. Maheu had overentied to Mr. Hughes that the costs builed represented only out of-packet expenses. Mr. Maheu responded that he had overentied at Mr. Hughes instructions inhalt Mr. Hughes instructions inhalt the written statement had been produced by him at Mr. Hughes request after other executives had noted the huge expense harges for guards.

Another allegations emphasized by Mr. Schlei was that hig Mahru had taken kick backs from persons to whom he

Another allegations emphasized by Mr. Schlei was that Mr. Maheu had taken kick backs from persons to whom he had caused Mr. Hughes's money to be paid in pushes's deals One such transaction was \$150,000 that Mr. Maheu had received from Herman Greenspun publisher of The Las Yegas Sun soon after Mr. Maheu arranged for Mr. Greenspun to receive a 54 million Idan at 3 per cent from Mr. Hughes. "Moreen spun and Mr. Maheu both said that the \$150,000 was a lose that Mr. Maheu was expected to repair." Additionally, in his closing argument to the jury, Mr. Schleich oped in the trial This was that in 1970 Mr. Maheu asked Mr. Maheu said had been spen in political contributions.

But when reconsistent in a accounts came after M. Miner was dismissed, evidence showing Mr. Maheu was not enuited \$86,000 of that sun be nevertheless spent it a Maheu testified that he must repay.