Nixon Given Probe Data **Over Protest**

By Stephen Green Washington Post Staff Writer

Assistant Attorney General Henry Petersen testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee yesterday that he continued to personally brief President Nixon on secret details of the original Watergate investigation despite the objections of prosecutors handling the case.

Petersen said he persisted in meeting with Mr. Nixon because "I wanted to influence

the President.

"I wanted him to make some dramatic gesture that would restore the image of the Presidency," Petersen testi-ifed. "The fact that he didn't bothered me."

Petersen also agreed with Sen. John V. Tunney (D-Calif.) that Senate confirmation of Earl J. Silbert, head of the original Watergate prosecution team, to be U.S. attorney for the District of Columbia could be viewed as Senate endorsement of President Nixon's handling of the Watergate case.

gate case.

Tunney said a "great central question" in the Judiciary Committee's consideration of Silbert's nomination is any connection that could drawn between Senate action on Silbert and the attitude of the Senate toward possible impeachment of Mr. Nixon.

Petersen, appearing before the Committee to testify on Silbert's nomination, told Tun-ney that a vote to confirm Silbert "could be exploited public relations campaign" on behalf of the President.

Petersen has now much of the several days he has been before the Senate Committee defending the conduct of the original Watergate

See SILBERT, A6, Col. 3

SILBERT, From A1

investigation, his supervision of it from the Justice department and his extensive private briefings of President Nixon, which were first revealed in detail in the transcripts of presidential Watergate converpresidential Watergate converdo that, you're going to be sations released by the White canned," Petersen testified. House in April.

During questioning yester-day morning by Sen. Sam J. Ervin (D-N.C.) Petersen acthe other Watergate prosecutors "were concerned that I was reporting to the President."

The main reason for their concern, Petersen testified yesterday, was that "they had concluded that I was a potential witness" in any Watergate trials because of "my conversations with (former White House Counsel John Dean") about the FBI's Watergate investigation.

This testimony came as Ervin pressed him to discuss Nixon's request to Peter-Mr. sen that immunity from prosecution not be granted to Dean.

Ervin suggested that Mr. Nixon wanted no immunity for Dean so that Dean could not implicate his top aides, John Ehrlichmann and H. R. Haldeman in the Watergate scandal.

"Your questions on this make me very nervous," Petersen told Ervin. "If that man (the President) is going to be judged I don't want to share that responsibility. That belongs to the House and Senate. Your conclusions may be perfectly correct but I don't want to share. I'm ducking it, Senator. I don't want to be party to the judgment," Petersen said.

immunity by either the origiimmunity by either the origi-"You're right . . . you're the nal Watergate prosecutors or first guy that's said it." since then by the special prosecutors of the special prosecutor's office, although he was granted immunity by the Senate Watergate Com.

He said it.

Petersen, in his third day as a witness before the Committee member to show up yesterday was Sen. Charles McC. Mathatian should say you can't go ias (R-Md.). Mathais aid he interest to show up yesterday was Sen. Charles McC. Mathatian should say you can't go ias (R-Md.). Mathais said he interest to show up yesterday was Sen. Charles McC. Mathatian ias (R-Md.). Mathais said he interest to show up yesterday was sen. mittee for testimony before it.

Petersen testified that he hadn't decided whether Dean had to be granted immunity

Nixon's feelings about the job?" matter to be a suggestion that he was not bound to follow.

"When it came down to a head-knocking discussion between me and the President, he didn't say that if you don't

Ervin later told reporters yesterday that the President of the United States ought not to be concerned over knowledged that Silbert and whether people should be be up to the prosecutors." He President should be involved in the case at all."

Ervin also said he has not decided how he will vote on the Silbert nomination but he still thinks, as he said last publican of the Committee, week, that Mr. Nixon should noted that they began two not have nominated Silbert to the post.

Ervin added that he initially did not intend to get in-that should be considered by volved in the Silbert nomina-the Committee is Silbert's tion but "I woke up one morn-qualifications and noted that ing at 2 o'clock and started Silbert, now acting U.S. attorthinking about all the prose-ney for the District, has been cutors knew. I've got one of endorsed by the the worst afflictions any hueral court judges.

Hruska and Ervin both man being can have—a Scotch-Irish conscience."

agrees with Ervin that Silbert should not have been nominated. He said Silbert "could have declined the honor" considering the "timing of the confirmation hearings" in jux-taposition with the improval. taposition with the impeachment investigation now under way in the House.

"If Mr. Silbert is confirmed, are we saying as a Senate that we are satisfied with the (Watergate) investigation?" Tunney, presiding at an afternoon session of the Committee, asked Petersen.

Banging his fist on the wit-Dean has not been offered ness table, Petersen shouted:

and that he considered Mr. forcement officer, did his

"Inferences may be drawn," replied Petersen. "But you see, your decisions are difficult ones," he added.

Tunney noted that James St. Clair, Mr. Nixon's lawyer, has said that Silbert and Petersen fulfilled their responsibilities in the Watergate investigation and that the President, as chief law enforcement officer, did his job. He explained that if the Senate confirmed Silbert, it could be viewed as an endorsement of St. Clair's position.

Before the hearings recessed until probably later this week, Sen. Roman L. Hruska (R-Neb.), ranking Remonths ago and have continued sporadically since.

He said the only question

agreed that one of the keys to Tunney said he also does Silbert's qualification should not yet know how he will vote be a Silbert memorandum of on the nomination but that he Dec. 13, 1972, outlining the

> predecessor, Archibald Cox, took over the Watergate investigation from Silbert. Ervin said he will ask Jaworski for a copy of the memo.

> Petersen said the last page of the memo would show the intention of the prosecutors to go after "higher-ups" in the Watergate affair. Under questioning by Ervin, however, he said the memo "reflects latent suspicions rather than affirmative evidence.'

for the man (Silbert) because tends to pursue involvement you might not be right."

Pressing Petersen further, Agency in the Watergate intuney asked: "If we confirm vestigation and allegations that Silbert, are we saying that the President, as chief law enth it tried to limit the scope of President, as chief law enth investigation.