Petersen Blasts Ervin For 'Unfair' Remarks

By Barbara Bright-Sagnier Washington Post Staff Writer

Assistant Attorney General ruin political reputations . . Henry E. Petersen angrily de- because some congressional fended yesterday the Justice investigation might think it Department's initial investiga- would be nice with hindsight." tion of the Watergate scandal, but admitted that "everybody is not treated equally before the law . . . many, many concessions are made because of the office."

Did Petersen then mean to say, asked Sen. Sam J. Ervin bia. In addition to Ervin, Sen-Jr. (D-N.C.) incredulously, that ate committee members pres justice as administered by the Department of Justice is not blind?'

Petersen, "but I do deal with restraint and I am conscious of the political connotations of my actions. I don't expect to

That exchange was one of several high-decible confrontations at the hearing before the Senate Judiciary Committee on the nomination of Earl J. Silbert as U.S. attorney for the District of Colument for part or all of the testi-mony were Chairman James O. Eastland (D-Miss.), Edward "We don't deal gently," said kennedy (D-Mass.), Philip Hart (D-Mich.), Roman L. Hruska straint and I am conscious (R-Neb.), and John V. Tunney (D-Calif.).

See SILBERT, A4, Col. 1

SILBERT, From A1

Silbert, under Petersen's supervision, headed the Justice Department's investigation of the Watergate burglary. His confirmation as U.S. attorney for the District has been delayed while the Judiciary Committee explores that investiga-

Yesterday Petersen twice accused Ervin of "being unfair" in implying that political considerations had influenced the initial investigation.

Ervin chided Petersen and the Justice Department for not having gained an earlier conviction of the five Water-gate burglars, and for not having thoroughly explored the circumstantial evidence that circumstantial evidence that implicated White House aides and members of the Committee for the Re-election of the President.

"You can't have it both ways," said Petersen, pounding his left fist on the witness table.

Protesting that Ervin could not at the same time expect a speedy trial for the burglars and a thoroughgoing probe inand a morougngoing probe into the Watergate conspiracy, Petersen exploded, "You're being unfair."

"I'm trying to ask a few simple questions," responded Ervin and in honored to a serving a serving and in honored to a serving a

Ervin, and in honeyed tones he advised Petersen to "get your sweet disposition back."

"I don't have a sweet disposition," Petersen replied in a high-pitched voice, "especially when my intergrity is being questioned."

Ervin asked Petersen if he did not agree that "it's rather of

poor practice" to excuse certain White House aides and Maurice Stanns, director of the Finance Committe to Reelect the President, from testi mony before the grand jury "If you recognize everybody as equal before the law?"

Petersen responded that he did not. "The point is," he said, "that criminal investigation, especially when they threaten to move into political areas . . . should be conducted with surgical precision. You ought to use power sparingly.'

"If you want a blunderbuss approach," Petersen told Er-

vin, "I can do it. But this whole thing (the Watergate scandal) is a classsic example of people who didn't understand the uses of power and the limitations of that power. The problem I have in this investigation is restraint. If I have to err, I err on the side of restraint."

Petersen acknowledged how ever, that the Justice Department's restraint in investigating the testimony of some Nixon administration officials such as Jeb Stuart Magruder, who had been sentenced to at least 10 months in prison for perjury, before the grand jury, had led them into false decisions on the scope of the

Watergate conspiracy.

"If you mean," Petersen replied to Ervin at one point,
"did we accept the lies of all these people who lied to us, I guess we did. You know some thing, we were snookered."
At the beginning of the

Watergate investigation, Pet-

ersen told the committee, the Justice Department had not believed the clues that later implicated the White House and the Committee to Re-elect the President in a larger conspiracy.
"We were checking those

(

leads out almost tongue-in-

cheek," he said, "to preserve mean the President of the the appearance of the integrity of the investigation. In term of actual involvement... were only negative there leads."

almost ashamed of his suspi- Hart would be a judge. cions in the investigation. He

Petersen denied that he had ever instructed Silbert to limit the investigation. "The guts of ichman. the work, the real investigative work, he said, "was done in the grand jury by Silbert and his staff."

Petersen said he had been upset at lack of leadership from the White House in the investigation of the Watergate case. "Someone should have looked for evidence of White House support and "I was How did Potentially." said this is a terrible thing," pained by its absence."

"Do you mean someone responsible for the faithful execution of the laws of the country should have blown the

United States?"

"Yes," said Hart, "among others."

Petersen declined to answer. saying he may be a witness at Petersen said he had been an impeachment trial and that

Petersen said he had kept said he would mention that the President informed of John N. Mitchell "must have known" about the Watergate vestigation, as documented in the President informed of known" about the Watergate burglary, but his colleagues in the Justice Department assured him that "Mitchell would never do such a thing."

Later developments in the filter development in his two chief aides, H. R. (Bob) Haldeman and John D. Ehrl-

"On the other hand," said Petersen, "(the President) didn't know me from Adam and here's two guys he's known for 20 years."

Nevertheless, Petersen said he had told Mr. Nixon that he believed "the only way the President could establish his credibility before the Ameri-

he heard the President's speech "almost eulogizing" Haldeman and Ehrlichman as he announced their resigna-tions, asked Hart.

whistle?" inquired Hart.
"I don't like the question," pointed," said the assistant atresponded Petersen. "Do you torney general.

100



Photos by Douglas Chevalier—The Washinston Post Sen. Sam J. Ervin (left) and Assistant Attorney General Henry E. Petersen exchange harsh words at hearing.