Mitchell Note Reveals Data Destruction

By Carl Bernstein and Bob Wooward Washington Post Staff Writers

Before resigning as President Nixon's campaign manager two weeks after the Watergate break-in arrests of June 17, 1972, former Attorney General John N. Mitchell apparently destroyed "personal communications on campaign" from Mr. Nixon and former White House chief of staff H. R. Haldeman, according to Mitchell's own handwritten notes.

The notes, a copy of which has been obtained by The Washington Post, represent the first indication that communications from either the President or Haldeman might have destroyed following the Watergate arrests.

Written on a legal pad, apparently in preparation for Mitchell's testimony before the Senate Watergate committee last June, his notes say at one point:

"When I left Committee (7/1) the only documents that were destroyed were personal communications on campaign from R.N. and HRH.

"All other campaign documents were left in office taken over by MacGregor with exception of material on State Committees which was forwarded to State Coordina."

See MITCHELL, A6, Col. 1

MITCHELL, From A1

tors." Clark MacGregor was Mitchell's successor as manager of the Nixon campaign.

Mitchell's notes offer no hint of what the destroyed documents might have said or if they were in any way related to Watergate.

Although the Senate Watergate hearings produced extensive testimony about the destruction of documents related to the Watergae break-in and Nixon campaign contributions, no testimony was developed suggesting that any material from the President or Haldeman was destroyed at any time

Sources close to the Senate committee's investigation said yesterday that they were unaware of the existence of the Mitchell notes.

Similarly, other sources said the Watergate special prosecutor's office had not learned of the Mitchell notes or any other evidence suggesting that documents from Mr. Nixon or Haldeman were destroyed or that Mitchell knew of their destruction.

struction.

Mitchell, Haldeman and four other former presidential aides were indicted in the Watergate cover-up last March 1 by the same grand jury that named President Nixon as an unindicted coconspirator in the case

In addition to declaring his innocence in the cover-up, Mr. Nixon has denied any fore-knowledge of the Watergate

do unerto that were destroyed were

A copy of notes obtained by The Post telling of campaign document destruction.

bugging operation and has re- matter with Mitchell, Hund- of the notes, which are merely peatedly stated that he was ley said: "He doesn't have too busy with other duties to any recollection of putting of the testimony of others, the reference to the During his Senate testibecome involved in his re-elec-

stroying any documents from Nixon or Haldeman . . . This is the first I've ever heard or it. He never mentioned it.

"I know what his practice was, "Hundley said. "As things came up (in testimony) he'd make notes on a yellow legal pad and then we'd go over it. but I never saw this."

Later, after discussing the

any recollection of putting this down (on paper)." Asked in a portion in which Mitchell become involvedation campaign.

Informed by The Washington Post of the existence of the notes, Mitchell's attorney, William G. Hundley, William G. Hundley, added: "He has no recollection of it one way or another."

Other sources familiar with Mitchell's handwriting said whether Mitchell remembered appears to be reconstructing destroying documents from his own version of events.

the copy of notes obtained by documents from the President and Haldeman had been deten in the former Attorney General's hand.

The copy contains several pages that, judging from their

Senate committee sources wirtually ruled out any other explanation because of the absence of any testimony even unsworn assertions that stroyed at the re-election committee.

content, appear to have been concerned the destruction of written around the time of Mitchell's testimony before the Watergate committee.

Unlike some other sections directed to answer whether meanings ments be destroyed, not necessarily Gemstone or not necessarily documents that relate to electronic surveillance?

Mitchell: To the best of my recollection when I was there

communications from Haldeman or the President were destroyed.

Most of the testimony about the destruction of records concerned the files "Gemstone," the code name assigned to the Watergate bugging operation. Those files included the transcripts of conversations wiretapped in the bugging operation.

According to testimony by two campaign officials who have since pleaded guilty to charges arising from the Watergate cover-up-Jeb Stuart Magruder and Fred C. LaRue-it was Mitchell who ordered the Gemstone files destroyed, by suggesting that

During his Senate testimony, however; Mitchell denied the allegation, at which point Sen. Lowell Weicker

asked him:
"Did you suggest that any documents be destroyed, not necessarily Gemstone?

The following interchange then took place:

Mitchell: To the best of my recollection-

Weicker: At the June meeting at your apartment did Although considerable testi-you suggest that any documony at the Senate hearings ments be destroyed, not neces-

> there was no such discussion of the destruction of any documents. That was not the type of a meeting we were having.
>
> The hearing record indi-

cates that the questioning never returned to whether such documents might have been destroyed at another time.

According to Mitchell's own testimony, he attended three meetings at which plans to bug the Democrats were discussed, but on each occasion, Mitchell has insisted, he "shut off" such plans.

And at no point before March 21, 1973—the date President Nixon has said he first learned of the Watergate cover-up—did Mitchell inform the President of any such

plans, according to the former Attorney General's testimony. During his appearance be-fore the Watergate committee, Mitchell testified that he had only two discussions about Watergate with the President: the first in a telephone conversation June 20, 1972, and the second on March 22, 1973.