Justice Department Asks F.B.I. **Reason for Inquiry on Santarelli**

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 7-The₁made last Jan. 14 in Williams-Justice Department today asked hurg, Va. the Federal Bureau of Investiwhat the head of another branch of the department had said in a speech.

Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Silberman sent the bureau had not asked Mr. Sanrequest to F.B.I Director Clarence M. Kelley after the publication of a report that the bureau had gone to a newsman for a transcript of a speech was apparently caused by a made by Donald E. Santarelli, news release issued by the Inwho has resigned as head of the ternational Chiefs of Police Law Enforcement Assistance criticizing Mr. Administration.

appearance that it created tained a reference to civilian when an agent questions a re-review boards. porter about what a department The newsman approached by official said," explained John the F.B.I. was Gus Edwards, a W. Hushen, director of public reporter with information for the Justice De-News Times Herald who covpartment.

are taken so it doesn't happen spoke. again," he said.

"It was curiosity that trigquestioned a newsman about gered us, nothing sinister," said George Quinn, a member of the bureau's External Affairs Division.

> Mr. Quinn admitted that the tarelli's office for a transcript of the speech.

The interest by the bureau Santarelli's speech, which, in one version "We're concerned about the of his prepared remarks, con-

The Newport ered the Williamsburg confer-"We want to be sure steps ence at which Mr. Santarelli

again in that manner-so that Mr. Santarelli resigned earlier kind of activity doesn't happen this week following the publication of two interviews in which A spokesman for the bureau he was quoted as saying that said the move had been made government service had been because there was concern in cheapened by Watergate and the "law enforcement family" that President Nixon should about a speech Mr. Santarellilresign.

Ehe New Hork Eimes

Published: June 8, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times

U.S. APPEALS COURT LIMITS VOICE PRINTS

WASHINGTON,, June 6 (AP) —The United States Court of Appeals here ruled today that voiceprints could not be introduced as evidence in criminal trials. It was the first Federal appellate ruling on voiceprints,

appellate ruling on voiceprints. Judge Carl McGowan wrote for the court that whatever may be the promise of voiceprints, "voiceprint identification is not sufficiently accepted by the scientific community as a whole to form a basis for a jury's determination of guilt or innocence."

The opinion is binding only on Federal courts in the District of Columbia, but can be expected to carry weight elsewhere. Voiceprints, which produce a visual pattern of lines from a person's voice, were first used in trials in 1966.

The New York Times

Published: June 7, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times