Ford Still Opposes 1974 **Adamant Nixon Stand**

By Jules Witcover Washington Post Staff Writer

Vice President Gerald R. Ford said yesterday that he still basically disagrees with President Nixon's adamant decision against turning over any more White House tape recordings to the House Judiciary Committee under any circumstances.

But he said he agrees with the White House position that the committee should call witnesses on the Water-gate phase of its impeachment inquiry before press-ing demands for more tapes.

ing demands for more tapes. Ford, in an interview in his Executive Office Build-ing office, said he was sup-porting the proposal of House Minority Leader John J. Rhodes (R-Ariz.) — and the White House — that "the committee after it goes through the mass of evi-dence it has — 19 tapes, the 23 additional transcripts, other evidence — then they ought to call the witnesses such Dean, Haldeman, Ehrl-ichman."

But at that point, he said,

"if there are some loose ends, if they feel they re-quire additional tapes, they ought to ask for them, and I would hope at that point the White House would sit down and, on the basis or rele-vancy, would cooperate." Ford said he still objects

to "that particular position" of the White House that says flatly that no more tapes will be turned over-a position the Vice President last week criticized as a "stonewall attitude."

Asked whether he has told the President he still feels that way, Ford said: "I

haven't indicated any change of my attitude, but there's no use saying it every day." The Vice President said he continues to feel that a dogmatic White House re-fusal to respond to House subpoenas for more "tapes subpoenas for more tapes will harden attitudes on Capitol Hill against the President-a warning he has

See FORD, A8, Col. 2

FORD, From A1

made directly to Mr. Nixon. "It was set forth in a num-

ber of news stories in the last 24 hours," Ford noted. "Individual members of the committee said that themselves."

He apparently was referring to Thursday's decision By the House Judiciary Committee not only to sub-poena 45 more tapes but to notify the President in a letfer that it may recommend his impeachment if he continues to defy subpoenas. Eight of the 17 Republi-

cans on the committee approved the letter and both Republican and Democratic members warned such defiance could hurt Mr. Nixon. Ford said it was "pre-mature" for him to make any judgment on what his position will be if the committee declines to call witnesses on the Watergate phase of its inquiry now and presses its demands for tapes—which seems to be tapes—which seems to be exactly the point the com-mittee has already reached. "The tapes are some evi-dence," Ford said. "But" there are people who were at those meetings—Dean,

Ehrlichman, Haldeman. At least those three were at those meetings. I should think they would be as good or better evidence than the tapes."

On Thursday, both Ford and Rhodes supported a prothe President's special coun-sel on Watergate and the impeachment defense, that inipercomment defense, that witnesses on the Watergate phase, about which commit-tee members have been briefed by staff, be called before the committee moves on to other possible grounds for immerchant for impeachment.

Ford said he had dis-cussed with Rhodes but not with St. Clair the idea of urging the committee to call

Watergate witnesses now. and that there had been no strategy meeting them in advance of their similar statements.

While emphasizing that "I don't think there's any ignificant change" in his posi-tion against the White House's adlamant stand on House's adamant stant of the tapes, the Vice Presi-dent called reports of a rift between him and the Presibetween him and the Presi-dent "completely without foundation." He said he and Mr. Nixon had "an excellent meeting" for 80 minutes Thursday at which foreign policy and legislative pro-posals were discussed. "We didn't discuss Watergate or the tapes," he said. Ford's embracing of the Rhodes St. Clair position had led to speculation that the President, directly or

President, directIy or through an intermediary, had told his Vice President to get on the White House team on this key issue. On another matter that

fueled talk of a rift— a Newsweek report that Mr. Nixon asked former Gov. Nelson Rockefeller of New York derisively whether he could picture Ford in the President's chair — Ford said Rockefeller called him Thursday and denied the re-mark had been made mark had been made.

The Vice President's remarks underlined the diffi-culty of the relatively inde-pendent course he has tried to travel since assuming office last December. Partly at least

because Ford was a Capitol Hill man, Mr. Nixon picked him to be his second Vice President. And now, largely be-cause Ford was and still is a Capitol Hill man, he is a man on a tightrope on the matter of the President's strategy for fighting impeachment.

For months, with his ear to the congressional lines he has established over 25 years on the Hill, the Vice

President had been urging Mr. Nixon publicly and privately not to buck House subpoenas for more Watermaterial, gate material, lest he harden attitudes toward gate him.

While Mr. Nixon's lawyers were engaged in various legal manuevers to delay the procedure, impeachment Ford was warning that whatever the legal justifications, the political fallout against the President in the House

could be his undoing. But on Wednesday in Charlotte, N.C., amid recur-rent rumors of a Nixon-Ford rift, the Vice President suddenly sided with Mr. Nixon, saying he should release no more materials until the committee opened its hearings to the public and pro-ceeded with what materials it already had.

After a meeting with the President eight days ago, Ford had said he told Mr. Nixon "that this (refusal to release more tapes) could lead to an emotional institu-tional confrontation." While he did not believes denying the committee material the committee material sought was an impeachable

offense, he said, "when you have emotions raised, the facts are onverlooked." During the same meeting,

Ford reported later, he "laid out quite candidly" to the President that he disagreed with what the Vice President had called in a television interview the House's "stonewall White House's "stonewall atti-tude." He said he indicated to Mr. Nixon that "there was no change in the position I had taken before, and I have shown no indication that I'm going to change again."

That was said on May 26. Three days later, Ford said, "I don't think there ought to be any further delivery (of materials)" at least until the committee had studied everything already turned over.

In an additional observation largely overlooked then, he said: "Once that was done, they could sit tion was done, they could sit down and negotiate with the White House for more tapes. I would hope they could reach some compromise." In yesterday's interview, he said he hoped the White said he hoped the White House at that point would "cooperate."

For all the assurances that there is no rift between the President and Vice President, Ford's friends at both ends of Pennsylvania Ave-nue acknowledge that the Vice President today is a man pulled between two loyalties.

On the one hand, they affirm, he remains loyal to the man who selected him for the highest honor he ever hoped to achieve, and whose foreign and domestic poli-cies he generally supports with little reservation.

On the other hand, he is firmly committed to Con-gress, and particularly to the House of Representatives in which he served for a quarter of a century, and to the many men whose friendship and identity of purpose he shared, and to a large degree still does.

The loyalties clash headon as the House, carrying out its constitutional responsibility, moves ever closer to a vote on impeachment of the President, and Mr. Nixon manuevers to blunt and counter that now seeminexirabke mmoveingly ment.