

NY Times MAY 15 1974
Rebozo Reportedly Failed to Yield Data

By JOHN M. CREWDSON

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 14 — Charles G. Rebozo has reportedly failed to surrender any of the personal financial records that have been subpoenaed by the Senate Watergate committee despite his assurances to members of the panel last week that he would make some of them available by the close of business yesterday.

Sources involved in the committee's investigation of President Nixon's close friend and confidant said that the only communication received so far this week from Mr. Rebozo or his lawyer, William S. Frates of Miami, was a copy of a lawsuit, delivered to the committee's office late today, seeking a court order to quash the subpoena for the records.

Mr. Frates reportedly informed Samuel Dash, the committee's chief counsel, on Friday that he would advise his client not to honor the informal agreement to produce the records, reached in an executive session of the committee on Thursday, because of leaks to the press of Mr. Rebozo's secret testimony on his handling of political contributions for the President.

Persons present at that session reported that Mr. Frates agreed to turn over some docu-

ments relating to Mr. Rebozo's personal financial transactions, to withdraw a petition then before the committee to void the tax subpoena and to discuss the possible surrender of the other subpoenaed documents at a later time.

Committee lawyers said today, however, that Mr. Rebozo was now technically in violation of the panel's subpoena and was subject to a contempt citation by the seven-member committee. But they declined to say whether a contempt vote would be called for when the Senators met again behind closed doors tomorrow.

A Second Donation

The committee's staff for months has been looking into the receipt, and possible disbursement, by Mr. Rebozo of \$100,000 in cash from an employe of Howard R. Hughes, the industrialist.

Mr. Rebozo has insisted under oath that he kept the funds intact for more than three years before returning them to a Hughes lawyer, but the committee has taken other testimony indicating that he may have passed some of the money to individuals close to Mr. Nixon, including his younger brothers F. Donald and Edward C. Nixon.

Until last week, it had been thought that the Hughes money, which Mr. Rebozo has said he

assumed was a contribution to Mr. Nixon's 1972 re-election effort, was the only large sum ever handled by him on behalf of the President.

But news accounts appeared following the meeting Thursday quoting Mr. Rebozo's testimony before the committee that he had also accepted a \$50,000 cash donation to the Nixon campaign from A. D. Davis, a Florida grocery chain executive.

Mr. Rebozo testified, sources said, that he had turned the Davis money over to Frederick LaRue, an official of the Committee for the Re-election of the President, somewhere in Florida between April 5 and April 7, 1972.

But Mr. LaRue is understood to have told committee investigators that he was not in Florida at that time. The investigators believe they need to examine records of Mr. Rebozo's personal bank account and related documents to resolve the sworn contradictions about what happened to the Hughes and Davis money.

Mr. Frates expressed outrage last week at the published reports, saying that the committee staff had "completely violated" its assurances of confidentiality, and that he possessed "documentary evidence that completely refutes that story."