## ranscripts as Edited and

#### Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 3-Following is the final installment of the transcripts of tape recordings of conversations concerning Watergate as edited and made public by the White House:

# April 17, 1973 (9:47-9:59 A.M.) Meeting: The President

# and Haldeman, Oval Office

(Band Music)

H. Yes, Sir. P. Oh, hello—sit down. I was think-

I on, neno-sit down. I was think ing that we probably ought to use John Connally more to try to hammer out what our strategy is here on Watergate.

Watergate. P. Rose, I am sorry I didn't Intend to push your button now. RmW. OK. P. Thank you, Rose, I will call you later. H. The only question there would be whether we ought to meet earlier on the basis that, well, we— P. I understand I can't have the Italian for a while. I could meet at 12:30. No, after that—1:00 o'clock. H. The reason being, and the only reason, there is felt to be—and we may not want to react to it but we may—there is felt to be—Colson, for instance, called Ehrlichman this morn-ing and said that his sources and every-thing, say that we've got one more down to art on our initiative thing, say that we've got one more day to act on our initiative. (Material unrelated to Presidential ac-

(indicated in the lated to Presidential ac-tion deleted). H. And that all these breaks, this White House is all over town. 'P. So we may have to go today. H. And you have also seen or know the Los Angeles Times has the story. P. About (unintelligible)

H. The White House has got to move, and the thought is that if we are going to move today we prohably ought to meet earlier, so we are ready to move by three o'clock or 3:30 or something.

P. Fine. OK. If you just get to-gether. I think we have to move to-day. H. Well, if we look like we have anything, we have to get out in front some way.

P. Well you might have to give them the full report today the way it is breaking so fast. Let me say that the breaking so tast. Let me say that the problem you've got here—I had quite a long talk with Rogers, etc.—of course he was much more rational than Len. Len's (unintelligible). On the other hand, you've got the prob-lem of you and John sort of being nibbled to death over a period of time. H. Yen. H: Yep.

P. And by not moving, having a situation where, frankly, the chances of your being—I mean of your being publicly attacked and also even the steam of the prosecution is greater. You know what I mean. It is a curious thing, but I am afraid that is the way it operates. You know every day there is some damn little thing that some-body touts around with, you see, so everything can be explained and try to defend and all that sort of thing. But gestion, but I want you to talk to John about it. H. Yeh. Ok. P. Dean met with Liddy on June 19th, must have been when he did it. He was in California in January but that is irrelevant. But they keep banging around and banging around. The prosecution gate out the down

The prosecution gets out the damn stuff. Did John talk with you about it? H. Yeh, he mentioned it. Dean did tell us that story in Ehrlichman's office last week or two weeks ago.

P. But not to go all through this. H. I don't think so. P. Yeh.

H. I think I mentioned it to you. Remember I described the story to you in some detail (unintelligible) walked down 17th Street—P. This was all after we had started our own investigation. H. Oh, yeah.

P. I mean it wasn't back then. It wouldn't indicate that we knew about all this, etc. Another thing, if you could get John and yourself to sit down and do some hard thinking about what kind of strategy you are going to have with the money. You know what I mean. H Yeb H. Yeh.

H. Yeh. (Material unrelated to President's actions deleted.) P. Look, you've got to call Kalmbach so I want to be sure. I want to try to find out what the hell he is going to say he told Kalmbach? What did Kalmbach say he told him? Did he say they wanted this money for support or-H. I don't know. John has been talking to Kalmbach. to Kalmbach.

to Kalmbach. P. Well, be sure that Kalmbach is at least aware of this, that LaRue has talked very freely. He is a broken man. The other thing is that this destruction of the [unintelligible] things is trouble-some, of course. John tells me, too, and basically the culpril is Pat Gray. Does Colson know about that? Is that why they are calling Colson because Colson was in the room when it was handed was in the room when it was handed

to Gray? H. No, he wasn't. Well, apparently he wasn't.

P. He says he wasn't? H. Colson thought, well there was a meeting be-fore that, where they talked about the deep-sixing and all that supposedly. R. He was in that meeting? H. Which Colson was supposed to have been in

Colson was supposed to have been in.

P. Right, right, right. H. Colson doesn't remember being in it, but Col-son flatly says that there was never anything where he was where there was a discussion of Hunt getting out of the country. Kehrli says the same thing. He was supposed to be at the same meet-ing. In fact, Ehrlichman has checked evervone who was at that meeting and H. Colson · everyone who was at that meeting and nobody recalls that being said except Dean. And we now have the point that Dean is the one who called Liddy and told him to telephone Hunt to get out of the country and then called him later and said not to.

P. I would like a policy. I think, Bob, we have to think, I must say, we've got to think about a positive move. I think it ought to be today. H. I agree.

P. I think it should be at 3:00 today.

P. I think it should be at 3:00 today. We have already, I hope the story doesn't break today in— H. Even if it does you can get into cycle with it.
P. Yeah. Well, I don't want to be an-swering it. H. No.
P. That is the problem with this Italian here. I want a thing done today and you and John have got to think, frankly, in terms, let me say, not just in terms as a national group for the President and all that—but also you

have to think in terms of having this damn thing continue to be dragged up bit by bit and answers dragged out bit by bit, anyway, I suppose. But the point is that Dean's incentive with the U.S. Attorney, incentive with everybody else will be this and that, you get my point? H. Yep.

H. Yep. P. I am sure you and John can talk about it. You see what's happened, the about it. You see what's nappened, the prosecutor has been pretty clever. They got Magruder. Well Magruder just caved, but it had to come. It had to come, Bob. It was going to come. H.

0 5

ov the

THE NEW YORK TIMES, SATUR

DAY,

MAY

4 1974

Yes, I think so. I think it had to and should.

P. That's right. The other point is the other element. The question now that is coming as far as Dean is concerned. He basically is the one who surprises me and disappoints you to an extent he and disappoints you to an extent because he is trying to save his neck and doing so easily. He is not, to hear him tell it, when I have talked to him, he is not telling things that will, you know

H. That is not really true though.

H. That is not ...... He is. P. I know, I know, I know. He tells me one thing and the other guy some-thing else. That is when I get mad. Dean is trying to tell enough to get immunity and that is frankly what it is Rob.

H. That is the real problem we've got. It had to break and it should break but what you've got is people within it, as you said right at the beginning, who said things and said them, too, exactly as Dean told them. The more you give them the better it will work out.

P. I have to go. As a matter of fact, I am sure I will be ready by 1:00 o'clock. H. Ok.

# April 17, 1973 (12:35-2:20 P.M.)

# Meeting: The President, Haldeman, Ehrlichman and Ziegler, Oval Office

(Material not related to Presidential actions deleted) P. Where did we come out? E. Well,

we got two things, we got a press plan but it rests upon some decisions that you have to make on sort of an action plan.

P. Right, alright. E. And, I just fin-ished an hour with Colson who came over very concerned and said that he had to see you. That the message he had for you that he had to and wanted had for you that he had to and wanted to explain in length is why Dean had to be dealt with summarily. His partner has a tie in with the U.S. Attorney's office and they seem to know what is going on there. Very simply put, I think his argument will be that the City of Washington, generally knows that Dean had little or no access to you. you.

you. P. True, that's quite right. Dean was just a messenger. E. That knowledge imputed to us is knowledge imputed to you and if Dean is (unintelligible) and testified that he imputed great quantities of knowledge to us, and is allowed to get away with that, that, that will seriously impair the Presidency ultimately. 'Cause it will be very easy to argue—that all you have to do is read Dean's testimony—look at the pre-vious relationships—and there she goes! So, he says the key to this is goes! So, he says the key to this is that Dean should not get immunity. That what he wants to tell you.

P. Well, he told me that, and I P. well, he told me that, and a couldn't agree more. E. Now he says you have total and complete control over whether Dean gets immunity through Petersen. Now that's what he says. He said he would be glad to come in and tell you how to do it, why, and all that stuff.

P. I don't want Colson to come in here. I feel uneasy about that, his ties and everything. I realize that Dean is the (unintelligible), Dean, of course,

# What Initials Mean

Following are the identities of persons described by initials in the transcripts of the White House tapess P. President Nixon.,

H. H. R. Haldeman, former White House chief of staff.

R. W. Rose Mary Woods, the Presi-dents' personal secretary.

E. John D. Ehrlichman, former assisaffairs.

Ronald L. Ziegler, press secretary Z.

L. Kohald L. Zlegler, press secretary to the President.
H. P. Henry E. Petersen, Assistant Attorney General.
R. William P. Rogers, former Secre-tary or State.
W. Loha J. William et al. (2019)

W. John J. Wilson, attorney for H. R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman. S. Frank H. Strickler, attorney for H. R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlich-

ment you know, the bugging or the— E. Well, the question is, I suppose is which way he is liable to do it most. P. First of all, if he gets immunity he'll want to pay just as little price as he can. E. Well, the price that—the quid-pro-quo for the immunity is to reach one through us to all of us. Col-son argues that if he is not given im-munity, then he has even more incen-tive to go light on his own malfactions tive to go light on his own malfactions and he will have to climb up and he will have to defend himself.

P. Now when he talked to me I said, "Now I understand John. I understand the tactic of all three resigning." I said, "All offered to resign." I told him that, you understand. I told him that you and John had offered to re-sign so he's aware of that. H. Well, have they told him that the price of his immunity is that if we

price of his immunity is that if we resign they'll give him immunity? Do they feel that makes their case? Or, does he have to give them evidence?

P. I don't know. He's going to have a tough time with that. E. Well, to go on. My action plan would involve—

P. What would your plan be? E. My action plan would involve your sus-pension or firing of Dean in the course of a historical explanation of your re-liance on the Dean Report—his appar-ent unreliability—

P. But going out—you see the Gar-ment guy got him in today—Garment says it's all going to come out anyway (unintelligible) etc., etc., etc., with the U.S. Attorneys. That's what Petersen's view is of course view is, of course.

E. What's that? The Garment deal?

### 'All Going to Come Out'

P. That it is all going to come out and Haldeman and Ehrlichman are goand Haldeman and Enrichman are go-ing to resign. He told me that on Sun-day. I asked him again yesterday. I said, "Now look it. That's pretty damned flimsy." He said, "Yes, I'm not talking about legal exposure. I'm just talking about the fact that as this stuff comes out they're going to he source talking about the fact that as this stuff comes out they're going to be eaten, but eaten alive. Mr. President, the clamour is going to be something you cannot stand." I said, "Would it be better for them to get leave or some-thing?" And he said, "No, this is the Government. Rather to sit there and later as a result of this tid-bit and that tid-bit and so forth—he lied and I tid-bit and so forth—he lied and I don't lie and so forth. Haldeman

Continued on Following Page

#### **Continued** From Preceding Page

against Dean and Haldeman against Ehrlichman, Ehrlichman against Dean, who to hell is lying?" He said, "Definitely they'll say, (expletive removed), Mr. President, can't you let these fel-las—" Now that's my point. That's laswhat he said.

E. I understand.

P. That's an argument to be made. He said that to Rogers last night and that, of course, is Garment's argument. And I guess Rose, Chappie Rose agree with that, or whatever. My problem is, at the present time, I just don't want to have to talk to each of these cide. to have to talk to each of these side-line people individually, 'cause I don't know. I think some—Garment came in and was talking about the (unintelligible) story in The Times. Petersen told me about it last night. He said (unintelligible) had called. And I said, "That must have leaked out of your place." He said, "No, it didn't." Could it leaked out of here?

E. Could it have been Garment?

H. Could have been, but it isn't at all likely. It's a Justice.

P. But you see-what you say about Dean, I said to him (unintelligible). He supports the Garment plan. He's talked to Garment and Garment has talked to Dean.

E. Dean has talked to everybody in this place.

P. I told him not to talk to him any more. But you see Dean-let's see, what othe hell-what's he got with regard to the President? He came and talked to me, as you will recall, about the need for \$120,000 for clemencies-

E. You told me that the other day, I didn't know that before. H. But so what? P. What? H. So what?

P. I said, what in the world John, I mean, I said John you can't (unintelligible) on this short notice. What's it cost (unintelligible) I sort of laughed and said, "Well, I guess you could get that."

E. Now is he holding that over your head? Saying-

P. No, No, No, I don't think Dean would go so far as to get into any con-versation he had with the President even Dean I don't think.

\* H. Well, he can't-you have both ex-ecutive privilege in conversation with him.

P. Let's just call it executive privilege, but on the other hand you've got to figure that Dean could put out something with somebody else.

P. That's the only thing I can think of he's told me but I've not got, him in yet to ask about this thing about you-Liddy (unintelligible)

E. Oh well, they'll be one of those a

day. P. Well, the point is can we survive it? E. Well—

P. Can Haldeman and Ehrlichman survive it. The point that I-Let me say -Let me say this, I know your (unIntelligible) It's a hell of a lot different that John Dean. I know that as far as you're concerned, I know that as far as you're concerned, you'll go out and throw yourselves on a damned sword. I'm aware of that. I'm trying to think the thing through with that in mind, because, damn it, you're the two most valuable members on the staff. I know that. The prob-lem is, you're the two most loyal and the two most honest. We don't have to go into that. You know how I feel about that. It's not bull—it's the truth. The problem we got here is this. I do about that. It's not bull—it's the truth. The problem we got here is this. I do not want to be in a position where the damned public clamour makes, as it did with Eisenhower, with Adams, makes it necessary or calls—to have Bob come in one day and say, "Well Mr. Presi-dent, the public—blah blah blah—I'm going to leave." Now that's the real problem on this damned thing and I don't think that kicking Dean out of

here is going to do it. Understand, I'm not ruling out kicking him out. But I think you got to figure what to hell does Dean know. What kind of blackmail does he have? I don't know what all he does-

E. Let me make a suggestion.

P. All right.

Ehrlichman's Suggestion

E. You've got Dean coming in to you saying, "I've talked to the U.S. Attorney and I've told him a lot of things that I did wrong." So you put him on leave. He isn't charged with anything yet, but

he's said them to you. P. I asked him that and he said I'll go on leave along with Haldeman and Ehrlichman.

E. Well, he's not in any position to bargain with you on that. Now when the time comes that I'm charged with anything wrong-

P. Well, John, you have been by a U.S. Attorney and by Petersen to me. Petersen is not charging you legal— E. That's what I mean. See I under-stand the difference. You see Dean has broken the law on the face of his (uninbroken the law on the face of his (unintelligible) to you-

P. Petersen has said to me, he says that there is—because of the evidence that has come in here—that Haldeman and Ehrlichman should (unintelligible) now I'm faced with that damned hardship.

(MATERIAL NOT RELATED TO

PRESIDENTIAL ACTIONS DELETED)

P. Hmmm. Say you get there. So you don't get immunity. Colson thinks it's in order not to give immunity, huh? E. Right.

P. And tell him. Then he would say, "Well, what are you going to do about Haldeman and Ehrlichman?"

E. What you have to say is nobody-H. He doesn't have to say that.

P. Yes, he does. E. What you have to say is nobody in this White House—

P. Dean isn't going to say it. I mean Petersen—Petersen's the guy that can

give immunity. H. Well, tell him not to give us im-munity either.

munity either. P. (unintelligible) that's a problem. Now, come on! H. Well, see, it's none of his business whether you suspend us or not. That's your decision. P. I know it is. The point is—let me put it candidly. If I do not suspend you, he will probably give him immunity. That's the problem (unintelligible) un-bearable. If you do take a leave, I think he will—it's possible—well, it's possible that he would (unintelligible) even if.

you do leave—that he would (unintel-ligible) I agree. But Dean is the guy that he's got to use for the purpose of making the case.

H. Yes, but, even Ehrlichman, which he already admits he doesn't have a case on (unintelligible) significance.

P. Well, he says legally, yes, he does. In the case of Haldeman, it'll discuss-the Strachan things have-determine a bot to do with what Strachan says and what Kalmbach says—the~350 thing and that sort of thing. H. Kalmbach has no relation to me on that. E. That ah—

P. Have you thought when you say P. Have you thought when you say before it gets' to (unintelligible) thing out of the way. Have you given any thought to what the line ought to be— I don't mean a lie—but a line, on raising the money for these defendants? Be-cause both of you were aware of what was going on you see—the raising of the money—you were aware of it, right? E. Yes, sir.

P. And you were aware—You see, you can't go in and say I didn't know what in hell he wanted the \$250 for. H. No-I've given a great deal of thought (unintelligible)

President Nixon meeting Chapin, appointments se secretary, in the oval John D B Ehrlichman, 1970 with dop domestic aides ic adviser. Meeting is 1 ing is typical They al of ] kind are, , from left: H. R. Haldem l at which discussions on Haldeman, Watergate were later chief of staff; White House Photograph Dwight raped

P. Well I wonder. I'm not-look-I'm concerned about the legal thing, Bob, and so forth. You say that our purpose was to keep them from talking to the press.

E. Well, that was my purpose--and before I get too far out on that, ah, I want to talk to an attorney and find out what the law is— which I have not yet done.

P. Right! H. That's just what I want to do too. This is only a draft. P. Right. Good. The only point is I, I think it is not only that but you see that involves all our people. That's what I feel—it involves Kalmbach— E. Well.

P. And what to hell Kalmbach was told. E. Well, Mr. President, when the truth and fact of this is known, that building next door is full people who knew that money was being raised for these people.

P. E.O.B.? E. Yes, sir, just full of them.

P. Many who know, but there were not so many actors. In other words, there's a difference between actors and noticees. E. O.K. Well, apparently not, because I'm not a actor, ah-

H. The question there is testimony, I

suppose. P. I'm not trying to make any case-E. No, but-

P. I'm not stating a case. E. No, but want you to think very critically bout the difference here between about knowledge of the general transactions going on, on the one hand, and being an affirmative actor on the other, because that's the difference between Dean and me. Now on this business on whether Dean should have immunity, I think you have to ask yourself really, the basic question, whether anybody in the White House who does wrong ought the White House who does wrong, ought to get immunity, no matter how many other people he implicates.

P. Strachan included? E. Anybodyanybody. I just question whether in the orderly administration of justice, it looks right for anybody in the White House to get immunity.

P. I could call Petersen in (unintel-

P. I could call Petersen in (unintel-ligible) basic (unintelligible) public state-ment out at 3:00 p.m. is that right? H. Well, yes—but you don't have to. P. Well Garment says we have to. H. Well, yes, but isn't that what Garment said yesterday, the day before, and the week before that? Garment (unintelligible)— P. Well, understand I'm not penick

(unintelligible)— P. Well, understand, I'm not panick-ing myself but they tell me there seems to be a considerable feeling that a— E. Well, I agree with you. P. (unintel-ligible) LaRue's been called, Strachan's been called Dean might put up a track been called, Dean might put up a story of the times. You never know. We don't need a Haldeman/Ehrlichman.

## Warning on Dean

E. Yea, but you see it's typical Dean position. If Dean is treated different from us, he will go out and say he's a scapegoat for higher-ups. H. That figures 'cause he knows Os-trow and Ostrow is the guy that covers

trow and Ostrow is the guy that covers

Justice. P. I see and Petersen told me that. He told about conversations with that the wife of (unintelligible) apparently sat at some table with (unintelligible) sat at some table with (unintelligible) libber they addressed, and the top guy, Rosenblatt or something like that, at the Post was talking to somebody else of the staff. "The Press is going to get out in front—we've got a hell of a lot more—we've just held it back." Theŷ might be bluffing—I would doubt that they are at this point

might be bluffing—I would doubt that they are at this point. H. I would think they probably have more, but I would guess what they have more of is in the committee. I don't think they got much more in the White House, unless, I don't know what it tould be unless they got Colson stuff—that would be the only area. P. (unintelligible). H. Yeah. That's the only area where

you have any jeopardy in the White House.

P. Let me say with regard to Colson —and you can say that I'm way ahead of them on that—I've got the message on that and that he feels that Dean— but believe me I've been thinking about that all day vesterday—whather Dean but believe me I've been thinking about that all day yesterday—whether Dean should be given immunity. The point is—I don't know that it can happen, but I can call Petersen in and say he cannot be given immunity, but nobody on the White House staff can be given immunity. And I—whether he'll carry that order out—that's going to be an indicator that that's Dean and (unintel-ligible). And then what do I say about Dean. Do I tell him that he goes? Dean. Do I tell him that he goes?

Dean. Do I tell him that he goes? E. Well, you see, the thing that precipitated Colson's coming over is that he found that Dean was still here. You see, Dick Howard called Chuck and went through that business of the F.B.I. men sending him into the arms of Dean. So, Colson called and says you've got an ass at your bosom over there, and so, today he checked again, apparently with Howard, and discov-ered that Dean was still here and he called and said, "Tve got to see you." He came in and he says, "You guys are just out-of-your-minds," and said he wanted to see the President. He was fit to be tied about it. P. Colson was? E. Yes, because he

P. Colson was? E. Yes, because he thinks-

P. But you see if I say, "Dean, you leave today," he'd go out and say, "Well the President's covering up for Ehrlichman and Haldeman" all right. There you are. Because he knows what I know. That's what he would say. I tried to put—I mean—I'm trying to look and see—John—what to hell we are really up against. First it was Liddy (unintelligible) scapegoat, now John Dean is. Dean is.

H. Well, the answer to that is that if he said it publicly, the President is not covering up for anybody, and will not tolerate-

P. The way he's put it to me, Bob, very cute, as I hare said, "Son of a gun (unintelligible) in view of what you have told me, if Haldeman and Ehrlich-

E. Yeah, because then that will be argued back to the U.S. Attorney, "Well you see, the President thought enough of Dean's charges to let these guys go." P. I was trying to indicate to him that both of you had indicated a will-ingness to — in the event — that — you know what I mean

know what I mean.

E. And here's a guy that comes in and in effect, confesses to you the commission of crimes. P. And charges you. E. And charges us, that's right. P. That's right. And I said, "Now wait—these charges are not—" and you see he also has an alibi in the U.S. Attorney—E. Small wonders. P. He's asked (inaudible) Attorney General that the President should act

General that the President should act-E. Well, you see my point and— P. Yeah. E. And you'd have to obviously, call us when— P. Go ahead. Go ahead on the action—

E. Well, it would involve the suspension because it would involve a re-counting of how you happened to get into the personal investigation of this into the personal investigation of this by reason of Dean's being unable to reduce his full report to writing for you. And that that rang a bell, and you personally turned to and have spent a great deal of your time in the last several weeks on this—and have seen dramatic progress in the grand jury in the last several days. That would be Step 1. Now in addition to that you would say the Ervin commit-tee has come up with a good set of ground rules which do provide us with— P. Well, did you work that out? P. Well, did you work that out? E. Well, you'd say this. I think you'll

find that they are going to go on tele-vision under oath, pretty much re-gardless, but, the ground rules give you a toe hold. They do provide for executive session.

P. Is executive session considered executive privilege? E. And they will consider-

P. And otherwise they will go into open hearings. E. Yes, but there again executive privilege is reserved.

P. Executive privilege is reserved, fine. H. At this point, the way we're in the soup now, we can lose nothing by going. P. That's right. H. I think we

going. P. That's right. H. I think we may gain. P. That's right, I couldn't agree more. So if you can prepare me with at least that much, I'll agree. That I can say that today. H. Well, that's a hell of a bomb shelter right there. P. Yes, it is. But, let's got on with the rest of it. E. That's it. That's all I have for today. But it gets you into the case-it's you leading it. It notices the prog-ress and the grand jury as related to

your efforts and it doesn't say what they are.

P. Well, the point is though the story today is that John Dean is suspended, but-and then John Dean is going to be out there plastering—out saying the President has indicated that Haldeman and Ehrlichman too might go.

H. Let me suggest a different proc-ess, which is that you don't suspend John Dean, but that you instruct John Dean that he is not to come to work any more. He is in effect suspended, but not publicly suspended.

P. He'll say, "What about Haldeman and Ehrlichman?"

H. I would suggest to you that you do the same with us. And I was going to suggest, I was going to re-quest that action. For this reason—I've got to speak for myself. John's got to pread for himself I have now concluded speak for himself. I have now concluded that my course is that I must put out my story. I must put it out in total and in my words, before I go to the— I don't know about the grand jury—be-fore I fore I-

P. Before you go to the Senate com-mittee? H. go to the Senate committee. I'm going to have to put it out there anyway.

P. I don't think you're ever going to get to the Senate committee. I don't think the committee hearings will ever go forward.

H. I do. I don't think there is any chance of them not going forward. You think because of legal case (unintelli-gible) O.K. Great if they don't. Then maybe I never tell my story. But my view is that at some point in time I'm going to have to tell it.

going to have to ten it. P. But you—the way—I would re-serve, Bob, the right to tell that story until you felt you did have to go to the committee hearings. See what I mean? Or, unless you got to a point where you were nibbled to death.

H. That's right. Or until a partial charge comes up. For instance, if the grand jury leaks or the Justice people leak the Strachan stuff, then that forces my hand.

P. John? E. Well, subject to attorney's advice.

H. That's what I was going to say. I will not make this statement until I have worked it out.

P. Bill said he just couldn't remember. 'My Interest Is Served'

H. Well, we've got some leads. We're n. well, we've got some leads. We're going to start on today, so we've got that, but my interest is served and I will also argue that the better off I come out of this, the better off you come out of it—vis-a-vis me. In other words, anything I do in my, interest is to your interest

to your interest. P. Let me ask you this, John. E. What's that?

What's that? P. You said that you ought not to come for awhile. On what basis? I mean, we do this on an oral basis. H. What I'm doing now is request-ing you, on an oral basis. P. Yeah. H. to not expect me to carry out any duties for awhile because (inaudible) perfect this and get it ready. P. Where would you do it, at home or in the office here? H. I can do it wherever you want

H. I can do it wherever you want

me to. I think I ought to do it in the office, but-P. Alright. E If Dean says, "What about Halde-man and Ehrlichman?" you say, "John, I'm talking to you about you. Now I'll take care of them my own way. I'm not going to have you bargaining with me."

H. I don't think the President can

H. I don't think the President can be in the position of making a deal with John Dean on anything. E. Yeah. "I'll go if they go." Sup-posing I said, "I won't go unless Henry Kissinger goes!" Yeah, it's ridiculous. Let me speak to this. I have pretty much unplugged myself of my day-to-day stuff, because with this kind of stuff going on you just can't think about anything else.

anything else. P. O. course, it's been a little hard for me to also. E. Sure. Now, I have a need to get into all kinds of records and my date books and these are volumes and volbooks and these are volumes and volumes of correspondence and stuff. If I couldn't come into the office, I prob-

ably couldn't prepare a defense. P. What about Dean coming in? Why not him? (unintelligible) I think I've told Dean he's to have nothing more

to do with this case. E. Well, he's sure not following out your orders, if that's the case.

You see what I mean. P.

P. You see what I mean. E. Now, you'd have another problem, and I don't know what's been going on in the last week or so, but I imag-ine he's carted stuff out of here by the bale. I just don't know. H. You dont' know that. F. I certainly—

E. I certainly-

H. If you suspend him or tell him to leave in any way, you also move in to take care of his files.

take care of his files. P. Could I say this, "John, both Hal-deman and Ehrlichman have both re-quested the opportunity to be relieved of their duties—I mean their main du-ties, so that they can concentrate on this matter to prepare for their appear-ance before the grand jury." Could I say that? E. Sure, well— P. Wait. H. The trap you're falling into there is that you're admitting to Dean that you re-gard the allegations that he has raised against us of the sime wilding of against us as of the same validity of his own criminal admission to you. E. If that's the case then maybe that's what you should say.

P. No, no, no, there are two differ-ent levels.

# 'Lot of Silly Garbage'

'Lot of Silly Garbage' E. Then that's the way it ought to be put. He brought in a lot of silly garbage about me which doesn't add up to a nickel's worth of a law suit. Ah, he's come in and told you that he's been involved in all kinds of stuff. It seems to be a very different qualita-tive problem. Here again, I hate to ar-gue my case—it's very awkward. P. You should argue, John. I wonder if whether or not I trapped myself (unintelligible) about this business when I said, "Look, John," I said, "both Haldeman and Ehrlichman have offered to resign." E. Well, I offered to resign at your total and sole discretion. You don't have to have a reason—

have to have a reason-

P. (expletive removed) (unintelligible) Then I said—E. Well—

P. Wait a minute. Wait a minute.

Then Petersen sald, he said, "We've got to have corroborative testimony." So you see what I mean? E. Yeah.

you see what I mean: E. Yean. P. Before we could get—Let me put it this way. He realizes that before he could try to give Dean immunity he's got to have corroborative testimony on the value of Dean's evidence. That's what he's trying to get at the present time. That's why he was calling Strach-an. Colson. Kalmbach. et al. The purpose an, Colson, Kalmbach, et al. The purpose of it being, John, to get corroborative evidence that would say, well, Dean's evidence is so valuable as far as other people are concerned, that we can therefore give him immunity. Now I'm not a criminal lawyer, but does that make any sense?

E. I don't know.

P. But you see what his tactic is? E. I put it.—I don't know what the previous commitment to him is, but he's not being fired, he's not being suspended, he's being directed to stay away from the office.

P. I might put it that since you are talking to a U.S. attorney. If I could put it that way to him I might be able to make some hay. Bring the U.S. at-torney in. And I'll say don't give him immunity. E. From a public policy standpoint.

standpoint.
P. Yeah, (unintelligible). And I'll tell you what Petersen did tell me. He did say this much. I said, "What about Dean?" and he said, "Well, we haven't made a deal with him yet." I think I told you about this— E. Yep.
P. I said, "Why do you have to make a deal?" And he says, "Well, he wants to make a deal." And I said, "What do you mean let him off?" He said, "Well, that's what you do, Mr. President." I said, "Well," I said, "you're sort of (unintelligible)." We've



President Nixon meeting in 1971 with Ronald L. Ziegler, press secretary, in the Oval Office. In a similar meeting there, according to transcript of April 17, 1973, Mr. Nixon said that John W. Dean 3d did not report to him, but spoke with Mr. Ziegler. In transcript of April 27, 1973, Mr. Ziegler said of Mr. Dean: "He is a very good friend of mine."

had some real good talks. I men-tioned this to Rogers. Rogers just shook his head and said "That's right." And I said, "They have both said that." And I said "I will certainly have it un-der consideration."

E. Now there's another matter. If this is awkward for you, the best thing you should do is get rid of me, you know, once and for all. P. Yep. E. But if it is anything short of that — P. Yeah. E. Then it seems to be that you have to take into account qualitative differences.

P. Yep. E. And if you don't want to make a formal suspension, then the thing to say is, "I want you to stay away from the office. Just don't come around, because I know everything that happens in this building is being funhappens in this building is being fun-neled directly to the U.S. Attorney through you, or I have reason to think that, and I cannot have that situation." Now that's the way—Yeah.

P. So he isn't going to do it simply on the basis. He isn't giving Dean im-munity simply on the basis of what. Dean has already said.

E. I understand. Ah, my fear hereis-

P. Dean getting immunity? E. Dean P. Dean getting immunity? E. Dean getting immunity, or anybody in the White House getting immunity, it is in itself treatable as a cover-up. And ob-viously is we are put in a position of defending ourselves, the things that I am going to have to say about Dean are: that basically that Dean was the sole proprietor of this project, that he reported to the President, he reported to me only incidentally.

P. Reported to the President? E. Yes sir, in other words-P. When? E. Well, I don't know when, but the point is-

P. You see the problem you've got there is that Dean does have a point there which you've got to realize. He didn't see me when he came out to California. He didn't see me until the day you said, "I think you ought to talk" to John Dean." I think that was in March March.

E. All right. But, but the point is that. basically he was in charge of this project.

P. He'll say he reports to the Presi-dent through other people.

dent through other people. E. Well, O.K. Then you see what you've got there is an imputation. He says then—as that kind of a foundation —"I told Ehrlichman that Liddy did it." What he is saying is that, "I told the President through Ehrlichman that Liddy did it." did it."

H. Which means that it was perfectly acknowledged as far as Ehrlichman was concerned and there was nothing that you were required to do about it anyway.

E. That's right. But you see I get into a very funny defensive position then vis-a-vis you and vis-a-vis him, and it's very damned awkward. And I haven't thought it clear through. I don't know where we come out.

P. Yeah. You see Dean's little game here [unintelligible]. One of the reasons he didn't report to me. I was a little busy, and all of you said, "let's let Dean handle that and keep him out of the President's office." And maybe you didn't want him in there for other rea-sons too. But he did.

## Ziegler Talked to Him

E. Well, the case I'm going to make-

P. Well, of course, he would then say who the hell did he report to?

E. Well, in many cases, to no one. He just went ahead and did things. P. The other point is that they'll say [unintelligible] the first time he reported to the President—

E. Well statistically, it's interesting. I'm now far enough in my records for last year.

P. You probably had five meetings a. month.

practically the least of my worries.

P. How about you. Bob? H. I haven't any idea. I don't have a log. Unless Dean does.

The only thing he doesn't have is the fact that should have come in to see

me. Ziegler talked to him, I guess and so forth and so on. E. Moore—frequently. P. Moore—all right—Moore [unintel-ligible]\_but I haven't talked to Moore

either, have I? E. Well, I think, I've got to think this through. I just don't know where that leads.

P. [Unintelligible] White House staff,

Continued on Following Page

Continued From Preceding Page

he is trying to blackmail the White House. Alright you called Bittman. Bitt-man says that—he says that O'Brien— Where did you get the money? And so forth—H.P. Now Bittman maintains that it's e lie it's a lie.

P. He'd be better off to say it's a lie. H.P. Yeah. He simply says that this is a statement by McCord that is lacking

in veracity. H.P. And is attributed to (unintelligi-

ble) and Dorothy Hunt. P. Of course, you've got Dean now corroborating-H.P. Well, we 'can have Dean alluding to it, but not in circumstances that we can use it. It depends upon whether or not-

P. But Dean must say-this is also hearsay on that point. I had forgotten this is hearsay. Because he says that he had heard that Bittman needed money, I mean, Bittman had said had had to have a hundred- H.P. The link here is O'Brien.

P. Huh? H.P. The link here is O'Brien. P. I-uh, I see.

H.P. The lawyer.

P. I see, That Dean had heard from O'Brien. Bittman or O'Brien? H.P. No. I say the link we can break

into this is O'Brien. Cause O'Brien's a lawyer. He very scared and-P. Yeah. So. O'Brien, Let's see what he

P. Yean. So. O'Bren, Let's see what he says. I am just trying to see where it sorts out: O'Brien — can you get him in? What's he done? Has he spoken up? H.P. Well, I don't know that I can really predict—ah—ah—but conceivably if he said—ah—P. Bittman. H.P. "As part of the scheme to in-sure silence of those that were con-victed, we made an arrangement where.

victed, we made an arrangement where-by money would flow through Bittman in the form of legal fees for distribu-tion to those people."—Then you've got it.

P. In the form of legal fees, I see. And then you've got Bittman and then you've got O'Brien and then you got the people that did it. If they—ah—At least those that knew. Like Kalmbach, might not have known. H.P. That remains to be seen. And LaRue,

mains to be seen. And Lakue, P. La Rue? LaRue did know. He had to. And Mitchell. You've got Mitchell there, you've got LaRue. Who else is missing? LaRue, is he the one that used the code name of Rivers?

H.P. I don't know whether- P. I have heard that name Rivers.

#### Involvement of Caulfield

H.P. I heard Baker. Now there's two, but these may be couriers that Caul-field recruited, I am not sure.

P. And in all that Caulfield is in-volved, probably only coincidentally. You can't — they say some of these down there. But O'Brien in other words. O'Brien is scared. And O'Brien says that Bittman—I am just trying to see how they ever got — The only way you could ever get — Let me say, there is no way they could get that to the President without going through Halde-man and Ehrlichman. But I am referring to this mon hear Theorem and the set of the s man and Enriconnan, but I am referring to this man here. There's no way they could get it to here except through the fact that on March 21st Dean, as I had reported to you, did report to me that Bittman had told O'Brien that they

Caulfield, John J.—Former employe of the Committee for the Re-election of the President. Cole, Kenneth R. Jr.—Successor to John D. Ehrlichman as chief domestic ad-viser to the President. Colson, Charles W. — Former special counsel to the President. Daniel, Clifton—Chief of the Washing-Campbell, Donald E. States Attorney f Columbia. Butterfield, Alexand White House aide. Fielding, to the Dean, John V President. Anderson, Jack—Syndicated columnist. Bittman, William O. — Lawyer for E. Following is a list of some mentioned in the transcripts White House tapes: Some aniel, Clifton—Chief of the W ton Bureau of The New York ittman, William O. Howard Hunt Jr. House tapes: Fred-Former President. W.-Former counsel Alexander of E.—Assistant United y for the District of Persons Mentioned in Transcripts of White House deputy P I Washingcounsel Times. - to John estic adof the to the special Former 20 Hersh, Seymour M.—A New York Times 1 reporter. Howard, W. Richard—Special assistant to the President. Hunt, Dorothy—The late wife of E. Hunt, E. Howard Hunt . Watergate conspirator. President. LaRue, John Kehrli, ant. Kleindienst, Kalmbach, Glanzer, Gray, L. Patrick rector of the Garment, ney General. sonal attorney for the President. States Attorney for Columbia. for Howard vestigation. the Re-election Frederick N. Mitche Bruce A .- White House assist-Mitchell at Hunt Jr. Seymour—Assistant Unit Attorney for the District Leonard—Counsel Herbert Richard 3d—Former acting Federal Bureau of C.-Chief G.—Former W.—Former of, the the Jr.-Convicted Committee deputy President to United trict of Attorperto Ę.e the 1 O'Brien, Paul—A mitee for the I ident. Magruder, staff of Liddy, G. Gordon-Convicted Watergate Ostrow, Moore, Richard the President. McCandless, Rot John W. Dean Maroulis, Peter-Lawyer for Miller, Herbert J. Jr.---Washington yer, former Assistant Attorney ( eral. McCord, James W .-- Convicted Marriott, J. Willard-Hotel Mardian, Times gate conspirator. fardian, Robert C.—Former manager of the Commitee for eléction of the President. agruder, Jeb Stuart-Former staff of the Committee for election of the President. conspirator. Richard reporter Paul-Attorney Ronald Robert Dean 3d. Re-election A.-Special J.--A President. C.-Lawyer for Los of executive. - G. counsel the the chief Angeles the Water-Gordon the deputy the Re-Pres-Genlawfor Reto of, Timmons, Willim gressional relati House. Silbert, E Rose, H. Chapman-Lawyer the original defendants in Titus, Ha Strachan, H. R. H Woodward, Rosenfeld, Harry-Metrop of the Washington Post. Sirica, John J.—Former Chief the United States District the District of Columbia. Shaffer, Charles N.—Lawyer W. Dean 3d. porter. Columbia gate Harold Earl J.—Original 1 Watergate case. n, Gordon—Former assistant Haldeman. break-in. Attorney Bob-Washington Harry-Metropolitan relations Η., n E.—Director of lations for the Jr.—Former United for the District of D.—Former Chief chief

Court

of

Con-

to

White

Judge

for

prosecu-

for

John

act-

needed the money. They needed the money. It was discussed and we, I said, "It can't be done. We can't do it." He went on to see Ehrlichman, and Ehr-lichman said, "No dice." Nothing could be done. Now that is the fact. As far as we're concerned. That isn't much of a thing for Dean to have HP Wach a thing for Dean to have. H.P. Yeah.

Post

re-

P. But you could have Bittman I suppose Dean. He could have talked to him - but then you have hearsay. But Dean is not credible. He is not credible. He really can't. He can't go out and say, "Look I've talked to the President and he told me this and that and the other

thing." First, it's not true. H. P. That's the reason I say, in or-der to make Dean a credible witness; one, it seems to me that he has to plead and two, he has to be corrob-orated in an essential degree, not every-thing he says. But in sense an essen-tial number of factors by other wit-pesses. And he may be corroborating in nesses. And he may be corroborating in one respect by LaRue and in another respect by O'Brien, and in still a third re-spect by someone else, and in a fourth respect by Magruder. You know, and that's the way it goes and the case is being built So mouther way being that's the way it goes and the case is being built. So, maybe we can bring O'Brien out

editor

ap

S.S.

for

four of Water-

P. Well, there's only this one charge I give to you, among many others, and that is: If any of this— I mean, I can't allow it. Believe me that even prosecu-tors shouldn't even have informed you of this one. Or me-I-

H.P. They have described it as bombast, and rhetoric, and- you know, posing-

P. You examine them tomorrow. And you tell them they are my men. I'm for them, too. I want them to do the job. I want this to come out solid and right here. And they will start right in to get the big fish. Let's come to the Dean thing again. I can give you some more time if you want to negotiate with him. I mean, when I say I—more time

H.P. He needs more pressure. It's become counterproductive of the Presi-dent. P. What?

H.P. It's become counterproductive. I think he was pressed up against the wall, he's seen the early-morning crisis pass and now he's had resurgence. You know, he sees Ehrlichman here. He sees Haldeman here. He sees John Dean still here. Nothing happens. His confidence is coming back rather than ebbing. And-

#### 'I Can Ask-Just Resign'

P. What do you think? Without your advice—is the proper course of action to have Dean to either—there are two courses of action I can take. I can take a leave of absence until they clear. You know what I mean. Which of course is a very-Bill Rogers thinks is the fairest. And in the and and then they resign, of course. Or L can ask—just resign. Now the problem with resignation, which hits at—There isn't any question about what I will do when you get through with your damned grand jury. I just don't—I don't want to—you know what I mean? I don't want him in effect—by something that I do—to totally preju-dice even Dean. You understand what I mean? mean?

H.P. I understand that aspect of it. P. As President I shouldn't give a damn about that, but as President—I'll speak to the country on this. And I will soon. But my point is with a leave of absence, with a leave of absence for all three.

H.P. With a leave of absence, you have the best of both worlds. You have given them the benefit of the doubt and you haven't cut the Gordian knot. You haven't asked for their resignations.

P. I have asked for a leave of ab-sence. And I say, "Now I will determine at the conclusion not just of the grand jury, but at the conclusion of this en-

tire investigation, that means the Ervin committee is in there too." If, for ex-ample, you don't happen to indict one of these three, or one or two or three. I am not going to take that as clear evidence—it is not enough to serve the President simply to get by— H.P. I understand-

P. And I have told them all of that. They have got to be— H.P. I don't see that we're in any disagreement. there. The problem is one of timing, as I see it. I think, in my humble judgment, that the question of timing involving first to the question of timing is working first to your detriment, with respect to your image, before the press and public.

Do You mean now would be a good time-

#### An Attitude of Hope -

H.P. And secondly, I think it is working toward the detriment of the investigation because it is giving all of these people an attitude of hope that I think is unwarranted and I think that if he-

P. Let me ask you this: How about moving Haldeman and Ehrlichman and what that does to Dean. I am just thinking about that—let me put it this, way. I am not in communication with Dean at all. For obvious reasons. But Haldeman and Ehrlichman, I hold my damn brain sessions. I know that they are telling me the tauth Decard meth are telling me the truth. Dean, I can't believe him. Because I don't what he is up to, you see? And, this leave of ab-sence talk, let me say-please let us keep it within ourselves. I can't leak this out. It will kill them. It will kill the whole thing. I am particularly—can't let it out to Dean. I don't like to put the three of them in the same bag. Although they may all be there.

H.P. Mr. President, why do you not like to put them in the same bag? You don't like to put them in the same bag because Haldeman and Ehrlichman are loyal to the last minute, and you-

P. No, no it isn't that. It isn't that. H.P. I am not questioning your motive. P. I am referring primarily to the fact that I have a different relation with the others. At this point I can't get Dean in and say, "Look fella, you take a leave of absence and if you come through clean I will take you back." You know, something like that.

H.P. Well, I, in all candor, I think a

leave of absence-absence-is just a preliminary step to ultimate departure. P. I see.

H.P. I don't see how either way any of them could come back. But it certain-ly at least in terms of bias and prejudice it indicates to the public at large that you haven't completely abandoned them. You haven't completely and unalterably decided their fate. On the other hand, I am separating myself from them and I am separating myself from them and saying now; by golly, you — What you say is you are guilty until you are proven innocent. That's what the leave of absence is. You see. The other way I am saying, "Resignation — you're guilty." That's the difference, isn't it? The leave of absence in effect is say-ing, "Look, fellas, I give you leave of absence. So I hold you, basically, not that you're guilty," but—I'm not holding-you guilty, I'm not finding you guilty, but I'm saying is that you've got to prove that you are innocent before you can come back. H.P. No. P. Now in recognition I am saying—

P. Now in recognition I am saying-

#### **Proof of Worthiness**

H.P. No-you're saying that you have to prove you're worthy to work in the Office of the President. P. Oh, I see. I understand. H.P. But

I think that, I think that's a much more

ritualistic way of saying— P. Well, that's what I told them. That's what I told them. You know what I mean by guilt and innocence, I mean worthiness. H.P. That's right. P. You have to prove you're worthy. H.P. But you see that's what I see

has to get, out to the public. But Mr. President, my wife is not a politically sophisticated woman. P. That's right-H.P. She knows I'm upset about this and you know, I'm working hard and she sees it. But she asked me at break-. fast — She, now I don't want you to hold this against her if you ever meet her because she's a charming lage her, because she's a charming, lady-P. Of course.

H.P. She said, "Doesn't all this upset you?" And I said, "Of course it does." P. "Why the hell doesn't the Presi-

P. "Why the hell doesn't the 'Presi-dent do something?" H.P. She said, "Do you think the President knows?" And I looked at her and said, "If I thought the President knew, I would have to resign." But, you know, now there is my own family, Mr. President—P. Sure. Sure. H.P. Now

whatever confidence she has in you, her confidence in me ought to be unquestioned. Well, when that type of question comes through in my home-

P. We've got to get it out. H.P. We've got a problem.

P. Well you know I have wrestled with it. I've been trying to-H.P. Mr. President, I pray for you, sir.

P. I have been trying to get the thing. Like even poor Gray-there was nothing we could **60**. Ah-wrestling with Dean's covers. But ah-H.P. I wouldn't try to distinguish between the three of them.

P. I understand. I understand. Well, I won't try to distinguish, but maybe they will be handled differently due to the fact that I am not communicating with Dean.

H.P. Mr. President, It is always easier to advise than it is to assume the responsibility.

P. I will do it my way. And it will be done. I am working on it. I won't even tell you how—how—H.P. I understand-

P. But what are you going to do? What will happen now? The FBI will now in-terview Dean on that report in California?

H.P. Yes, sir. They will interview Ehr-lichman and they will, ah, attempt to identify the psychiatrist. They will inter-view the psychiatrist named as Ellsberg's psychiatrist to determine whether or not they, were have a product on they they were burglarized or know they were burglarized. They will attempt to determine if there's any police report of a burglary. We will check with the Defense Department since they have been involved in this thing. We will re-check the FBI. We've already checked them once.

P. What did they find? H.P. Well, othing. We've checked our own nothing. people-

P. Now, the FBI did not do anything. H.P. I understand. But . . . we're talk-ing about the evidence of information that may have been stemmed from that source.

P. Yeah. Well they got into the trial. H.P. Whether any of that has gotten into the file in any way. And when we do that and we do that, we have to file a report to the Court and we will and ah we'll see what develops.

P. Alright, Thank you.