HOUSE FACES BAR **ON NIXON MATERIA** NYTimes-

St. Clair Insists President Has Given Rodino Panel All the Data It Needs

By JOHN HERBERS cial to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 1. James D. St. Clair, special counsel to President Nixon, indicated today that the White House would resist turning over to the House Judiciary Committee the additional material it has requested in its impeachment inquiry.

His statement in a news conference, coupled with Mr. Nixon's motion in court today to quash a subpoena for additional material by the special Watergate prosecutor, Leon Jaworski, left a clear impresion that the White House, having made public edited transcripts of Watergate tape recordings, would provide no further materials to investigators.

'No Basis for Charge'

Mr. St. Clair said that while the transcripts were being prepared for release, the commit-tee submitted its request for about 142 additional recorded conversations. These, according to the committee, cover the Watergate cover-up, the International Telephone and Tele-graph Corporation antitrust case and campaign contributions by milk interests.

Mr. St. Clair said that all evidence on Watergate that he knew about was now in the committee's hands. And, he said, he believes the committee has no impeachment case against the President on the milk fund or I.T.T. "Are you saying," Mr. St.

Continued on Page 41, Column 3



James D. St. Clair, the President's special counsel, telling reporters yesterday that he would move to quash special prosecutor's subpoena for additional tapes and documents.

House Faces Bar on More Nixon Material

white paper, so-called, on each of these. I don't know that anyone has seriously chal-lenged the accuracy of them, and a fair reading of those white papers would make it reasonably clear in my view-but I don't happen to be the judge, obviously—there is no basis for a charge against the President." The White House reluctance to provide the materials point-

to provide the materials point-ed to another confrontation ed to another confrontation with the committee. Represent-ative Peter W. Rodino Jr., the chairman, said the committee would continue to pursue the material.

"We feel our request is rela-vant and can be justified," the New Jersey Democrat said.

Timing Is Questioned

Other members of the com-mittee expressed surprise and suggested that Mr. St. Clair's remarks might have been impolitic at a time when the committee was trying to decide whether to reject the Presiwhether to reject the Presi-dent's submission of the edited Watergate transcripts and press for the tape recording it had

for the tape recording it had subpoenaed. Mr. St. Clair said that the President had not yet instruct-ed him on how to respond to the committee request, but he then began a long explanation as to why the materials should not be provided. He also spece as to why the materials should not be provided. He also spoke at length about his argument that the Watergate transcripts, while damaging to the Presi-dent in many respects, showed that he was not criminally guilty of the Watergate cover-up.

guilty of the watergate cover-up. "He did not cover this thing up and the proof of the pud-ding, gentlemen and ladies, is in the eating," he said. "These people now stand indicted. It took a long time and there was a lot of blood spread in be-

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 Clair was asked, "that they should forget about milk and LT.T.? Based on what I know about t, the answer is yes," he said. The President has published a white paper, so-called, on each the the system of criminal justice was at work and there need be no conviction of the Presi-dent to make it complete. John W. Dean 3rd, the Presi-dent's former counsel, has pleadede guilty to conspiracy to obstruct justice, Mr. St.

The there was this exchange

' The there was this exchange with reporters: Q. Part of the transcripts that I read makes and re-vives all over again the al-legation of "Tricky Dick." He comes out a very shady character. Do you think that is in his interest as the leader of this country? A. Well. sir. you have to

A. Well, sir, you have to realize that this was a tough-call. The President said so in his speech. He said. "This is a hard thing for me to do." But it occurred to him people ware acting the impression were getting the impression that he had something to hide, that he in fact was engaged in a criminal plot and people were beginning to think far worse things than think far worse things than were in fact so, so the Prest-dent said, "well, it is going to be embarrassing to me, I know. There are going to be ambiguities and so forth, but I think the people should know what the facts are." know what the facts are." And they are there, the good and the bad of it.

Q. What about the propri-ety of discussing for hours blackail in the White House? A. I am sure if he had to

A. I am sure if he had to do it over again, he wouldn't. But you have to remember this was in the context of a threat against Mr. Ehrlich-man [John D. Ehrlichman, Presidential aide] to disclose matters that the President said, as he did in his speech, rightfully or wrongfully he rightfully or wrongfully, he

considered to be in the na-

Considered to be in the na-tional security interest. Q. Mr. St. Clair, that na-tional security thing comes out as a concocted scheme. A. You are certainly en-titled to say so. Did you read the interchange with Assist-ant Attorney General Henry Petercen? Petersen?

Petersen? Q. No sir. A. You have to look at this thing in its full contention, please. You know, we can sit here for four hours and argue it and you are cer-tainly entitled to your point of view. This material is pubof view. This material is pub-lished so the people will have the facts with the full real-ization that various people will fact differentiation will feel different things abou

it. Mr. St. Clair said that in pre-Mr. St. Clair said that in pre-paring the transcripts, secre-taries working with White House lawyers made a "very strenuous effort" to make out unintelligible portions of the conversations, which he said were caused largely by people talking at the same time.

No Electronic Deciphering

No Electronic Deciphering He said no effort was made to bring in electronic equip-ment that might decipher un-clear portions of the tapes. "I don't know whether they could filter out two voices talking at the same time," Mr. St. Clair said. "The point though, is, I am not sure every single word there is important. Really, it is the sum and sub-stance of the whole package. In my view, which has to be viewed, and it is for this rea-son we want the chairman and ranking minority member to ranking minority member to come down" and see that the

come down" and see that the transcripts are authentic. "Now if they say, "This in-audible is extremely important to us,' then Mr. Doar [John Doar, committee counsel] and I will sit down and see what we can do about it. I won't say we won't have a computer try to figure it out, but I can't say we will either. But, you know, there are such things as a shortness of human life in these things, and that is a lot of work."