Mitchell-Stans Jury Asks for 'Don Nixon Memorandum'

By MARTIN ARNOLD

What has been called "the Don Nixon · memorandum" throughout the 45-day Mitchell-Stans trial was the first official document the jury asked to review yesterday—its first full day of deliberations.

In the memorandum, apparently written by Robert L. Vesco and addressed to President Nixon's brother, F. Donald Nixon, Mr. Vesco threatens the President with disclosure of the secret \$200,000 cash contribution that the financier made to the President's re-election campaign unless a Federal investigation of Mr. Vesco's business affairs "is stopped promptly."

The memorandum, which

was battled over bitterly by the Government and the defense, relates to the conspiracy and obstruction of justice counts against John N. Mitchell, the former Attorney General, and Maurice H. Stans, former Secretary of Commerce.

The jury of nine men and three women also asked late yesterday afternoon for a reading of testimony involving two

Continued on Page 12, Column 4



Mitchell-Stans jury members returning to court yesterday to resume deliberations

Lée P. Gagliardi's perjury charge to them.

The memorandum was addressed to F. Donald Nixon, after Mr. Vesco had told several persons he "was speaking to Newport Beach." Donald Nixon lives in Newport Beach." Localif.

It never reached the President or his brother. Instead, it was stopped on the way by Mr. Mitchell in November, 1972, shortly after the Presidential re-election. Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Sears, a Vesco associates, who kept it in his closet until it reappeared at this trial.

In the memorandum, Mr. Vesco refers to himself in the third person, "R.LV." He outlines how he became entangled in an investigation of his financial affairs by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and also how he came to contribute to the President's re-election campaigns, which was then led by Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans.

"R.LV." says in the memorandum that at the behest of Mr. Stans he made a secret \$200,000 cash contribution to the President's re-election; that he President's re-election; that he President's re-election; that he President's re-election; that he President's re-election campaigns, which was then led by Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans.

"R.LV." says in the memorandum that at the behest of Mr. Stans he made a secret \$200,000 cash contribution to the President's re-election; that he President's re-election; that he President's re-election is summation:

"Golf Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans."

"The jury also asked to have the bend by Mr. Mitchell has testimony at this trial, Mr. Mitchell said that he were weather and part of them. During his testimony at this the height have the long with the hold with the memorandum has a beauty and party in the committed to the might have the left of the might have the best of the might have the left of the might have the left of the

Continued From Page 1, Col. 4 of the six perjury counts against Mr. Mitchell and Judge Lee P. Gagliardi's perjury charge to them.

Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans are charged with conspiracy, obstruction of justice and perpury in that they allegedly attempted to impede the S.E.C. investigation in return for the second perpury to both.

Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans to dinner, the jurors asked to hear the testimony of Daniel Hofgren and Mr. Sears and Mr. Mitchell's answering testimony to both.

The jury also asked to have them.

ascertain, before Nov. 7 [Election Day], what R.L.V. did with the \$250,000 in question were not successful since knowledgeable parties refused to respond to subpoenas by such means as lack of counsel, constitutional rights and every other possible means.

The writer then underlined this part of the memorandum: "As a result of withholding data with respect to the \$250,000, the S.E.C. staff is seriously proceeding to bring criminal actions against R.L.V. and others."

The S.E.C. filed its charges against Mr. Vesco on Nov. 27, 1972. Mr. Vesco and 41 others were accused of defrauding investors of \$224-million.

In the miner with documents while they are deliberating.

That is because most judges to not encourage or allow jurors to take notes while siting in the jury box, and so they go into the deliberating. Secretaries who work for Federal prosecutors, who are in no way connected with this case, come to lend moral support to their colleagues, who are. There are law students and law clerks, also, who want to see what it's really like.

And outside in the street, in front of this large and grimy stone building on Foley Square, wait the large mobile color televoits, and most of them did. But it is a tradition in this judicial district that juorors not be allowed to take notes.

Mr. Mitchell has testified hangers-on something to chew

it was suggested to him that he also make a \$50,000 contribution by check so that could become public.

Mr. Vesco and four of his associates pleaded the Fifth Amendment against self-incrimination when they testified before the S.E.C., so the memorandum reminds the reader this way:

"Efforts by the S.E.C. to ascertain, before Nov. 7 [Election Day], what R.L.V. did with the \$250,000 in question were not successful since knowledge."

Mr. Mitchell has testified that he considered the memorandum "a crude attempt to put muscle" on the Administration, and so he returned it to "Mr. Sears, who he assumed would return it, in turn, to Mr. Wesco.

It is rule rather than the exception for jurors to ask for a reading or review of trial testimony and documents while they are deliberating.

That is because most judges do not encourage or allow interest of take notes while sit.