## Former St. Clair Aide Says ızhardt Interferes Wit

By Jack Nelson Los Angeles Times

White House "obstructionare preventing James D. ists' Clair, President Nixon's Watergate attorney, chief from releasing tapes and other evidence to the House Judici-ary Committee, Cecil Emerson, who recently resigned from St. Clair's staff, said yesterday.

"St. Clair wants to turn "St. Clair wants to turn over everything he feels is relevant and material to the impeachment inquiry, everything that is required by the Judiciary Committee," Emerson said in a telephone interview from Dallas where he is practicing law.

is practicing law.
Emerson, who identified J. Fred Buzhardt, the President's counsel, as a principal ob-structionist, said: "St. Clair has tried to act in

a professional manner, but they are obstructionists at the White House. They still engage in petty, selfish in-fighting even though the President is in the worst possible posture that he could be in since the whole Water-gate matter started."

Apprised of Emerson's comments, St. Clair exclaimed, deal with the Water with the said all that? Boy, from his posture, I wouldn't know how he knew. The President decides what's released and not anyone else."

conversations, which deal with the Water up phase of its inquirement. I know Mr. St. his professional tions," Emerson knows he has put 1

ber of the Watergate legal team when St. Clair joined it on Jan. 4. "I have a high personal regard for him," St. Clair congressmen and senators on said of Emerson, "but I don't believe he correctly states the"

In as publicly derended the President and proclaimed his innocence and has visited congressmen and senators on the matter."

St. Clair said, "I don't know

facts that I believe to exist." that I've publicly made state taped.

Emerson, 39, a former Justice Department attorney who left the President's legal staff two weeks ago after four months service, also said that Mr. Nixon has kept St. Clair priate. On the other hand I'm in the dark as to the contents of the tapes.

St. Clair refused to discuss whether he had listened to the tapes or whether he knew how many of the conversations

in question had actually been Emerson said he was "triggered" into commenting publicly about the matter because of "the White House's refusal to go ahead and turn over the res the quested." committee re-

"I can see I'll probably get blasted by the White House for this," he said, "but I hope they don't stoop to that level. I'm not a Judas on this thing, but it bothers me that the ing in this area. But people at the White House won't get behind Jim St. Clair tapes." and let him resolve this matter.

The Judiciary Committee Thursday subpoenaed tapes and other records of the 42 conversations, which it says deal with the Watergate cover-

up phase of its inquiry."
"I know Mr. St. Clair and qualificaot anyone else."

Emerson was already a memer of the Watergate legal

ments regarding the President's innocence. I've tried to avoid

satisfied that the President is not guilty of the matter about which I believe he is being charged."

Asked if he were referring to the Watergate cover-up, St. Clair said:

"Yes, that's the principal matter, although a large number of charges have been mentioned by the Judiciary Committee. I can't deal with those until I see what the Committee does with them."

St. Clair said that Buzhardt "works with me very closely on these matters. He has had an extensive background working in this area. But the Presof

## Supreme Court Cases

The Supreme Court meets today from 10 a.m. to 3 p.m. with the following cases listed for oral argument. Cases not heard will be relisted.

heard will be relisted.

Case No. 73-754—Procunier vs. Hillary, consolidated with 73-918—Pell vs. Procunier. (37 minutes)

Case No. 73-1265—Saxbe vs. The Washington Post, (40 minutes)

Case No. 73-831—Warden Louisberg Peniterniary vs. Marero. (1 Hr.)

Case No. 73-437—Mobil Oil Carp. vs. Federal Power Commission, consolidated with 73-457—Public Service Commission of New York vs. Federal Power Commission, consolidated with 73-464—Municipal Distributions Group vs. Federal Power Commission.

Case No. 73-797—Miami Herald Pub-lishing Co. vs. Tornillo.