Nixon Tapes Are Subpoenae

APR 1 2 1974

Judiciary Panel Votes 33 to 3 To Issue Order

By Richard Harwood Washington Post Staff Writer

The House Judiciary Committee, in a historic and bipartisan assertion of Constitutional powers, yesterday issued a subpoena ordering President Nixon to deliver records of 42 presidential conversations by April 25.

The committee's subpoena was served on special presidential counsel James D. St. Clair at 4:16 p.m. by Benja-

min Marshall, the committee's

chief security officer.
A little more than an hour later, presidential press secretary Ronald L. Ziegler promised that Mr. Nixon would deliver to the committee be-tween April 22 and April 25 materials that would be "comprehensive and conclusive in regard to the President's actions." But he did not say that the President would comply in every detail with the demands contained in the subpoena. Mr. Nixon, he said, would simply supply materials consistent with his constitutional responsibilities.'

The materials that are to be delivered, Ziegler said, bear out the President's version of innocence in the Watergate affair and "will receive the support of the House."

Mr. Nixon is the only President in the history of the Republic to be served with a congressional subpoena. He was first served for materials last July by the Senate Watergate committee. That subpoena was not honored and the issue is still in the federal courts.

The subpoena yesterday from House committee manded records, including tape recordings, of 42 face-to including face and telephone conversa-tions between the President and five men—former White House aides H. R. (Bob) Haldeman, John D. Ehrlichman and John W. Dean III; former Attorney General Richard G. Kleindienst, and Henry Peterson, who was then and is now in charge of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice.

The conversations in question occurred between Feb. 20 and April 18, 1973. They were first requested of the White House on February 25 of this year and were described as es-sential to the committee's inquiry into Mr. Nixon's possible impeachment.

The request was renewed on April 4, and five days later St. Clair replied that by April 22 "additional materials" would be given to the committee at the discretion of the White House. He did not specify at that time which materials would be turned over.

His equivocation angered members of both parties on the 38-member committee and a meeting was scheduled for 10:30 a.m. yesterday to con-

See IMPEACH, A2, Col. 5

IMPEACH, From A1

sider the issuance of a sub-

Forty-five minutes before the committee met, St. Clair made a last-ditch effort to head off the subpoena. In a telephone call to the committee's counsel, John Doar, he offered to turn over "within a day or two" records of conversations involving the President, Dean, Haldeman and Ehrlichman between Feb. 20

The offer did not include conversations with Haldeman and Ehrlichman between April 14 and April 17, 1973, or any of the conversations with Peterson and Kleindienst be-tween April 15 and April 18, 1973.

The committee was unsatisfied with the St. Clair proposal and voted 33 to 3 early yesterday afternoon to issue and serve the subpoena. The three negative votes were cast by Rep. Edward Hutchinson of Michigan, the senior Republican on the committee. Rep. Trent Lott (R-Miss.), and kep. Charles Wiggins (R-Calif.), whose vote was cast by proxy.

It is uncertain what course the committee will take in the event Mr. Nixon fails to honor

event Mr. Nixon fails to honor the subpoena in its entirety.

In a memorandum prepared for the committee, Doar said the "practical difficulties of enforcing the subpoena may well be insurmountable." At another point he wrote: "Realistically, the President probably cannot be compelled to comply with a subpoena duces comply with a subpoena duces tecum by use of the processes of either the House or the

But the House has other powers, Doar said. It could hold Mr. Nixon in contempt of Congress, an impeachable of-fense in itself, according to the memorandum. It could also draw inferences of guilt from the President's refusal to comply: "In litigation generative and the complex of the complex of the complex of the complex of the country of t ally, an unjustified refusal to produce evidence within the control of a party, 'permits the inference that its tenor is unfavorable to the party's cause."

Another avenue open to the committee, said Doar, would be to take the issue to the federal courts. But this course, he said, could be time-consuming and, perhaps, fruitless, be-cause courts have no "means to enforce compliance" that are not available to the House.

Ziegler commented yester-day that, "I don't think the courts even have a role in it." This seemed to suggest, the Associated Press reported, that Mr. Nixon and his lawyers believe the committee is powerless to issue the subpoena under the constitutional doctrine of separation of powers.

powers.
That was not Doar's view and it was not the committee's view. The chairman, Rep. view. The chairman, Rep. Peter Rodino (D-N.J.), said before the vote that it was essential for the House to serve the subpoena in order to meet its constitutional responsibilities

"If the committee has any dignity," he declared, "if the House has any dignity, if we respect the institution of government and the Constitution. ernment and the Constitution, we will move, ahead.

Another Democrat on the committee, Rep. John Seiberling of Ohio, voiced a common sentiment:

	UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	X can A
r. Senjamin Marchall. o	r his duly authorized repres	1.
	ded to summon	,
Richard M. Nixon, Pres	sident of the United States	of America, or any
	official or employee with c	
	Committee on the Judic	
		of which the Hon.
Peter W. Rodino Jr.	is cl	airman, and to bring with
him the things specifi	ed in the schedule attached	hereto and made a part
hereof,		

n their chamber in the city of	f Washington, on or before Ap	rd1 25, 1974
	at the hour of	10:00 A.M.
hen and there to the fix and	and deliver said things to	10:00 A.M. said Committee, or their
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	and deliver said things to impumate said things to impumate solving my committee entactive, in connection with wall Committee gation author ebruary 6, 1974.	10:00 A.M. said Committee, or their
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	and deliver said things to and deliver said things to chargements withing any comments entative, in connection with weathy formative, said on such by	10:00 A.M. said Committee, or their
produce hen and there to restligation duly authorized repres resident restriction and the H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to obtain the connection with the connection of the c	10:00 A.M. said Committee, or their
produce hen and there to restligation duly authorized repres resident restriction and the H. Res. 803, adopted F.	and deliver said things to however the connection wite contactive, in connection wite contactive, in connection wite contactive, in connection wite contactive, in connection with bruary 5, 1974. e return of this summons.	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their edithment Committee and hows h the Committee's investi- rized and directed by
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to combey mattern existing manner of the united states, and the second of the united States,	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their conditions of their hite Committee's investi- rized and directed by alof the House of Representatives at the city of Washington, this
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to combey mattern existing manner of the united states, and the second of the united States,	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their edithment Committee and hows h the Committee's investi- rized and directed by
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to combey mattern existing manner of the united states, and the second of the united States,	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their conditions of their hite Committee's investi- rized and directed by alof the House of Representatives at the city of Washington, this
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to combey mattern existing manner of the united states, and the second of the united States,	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their conditions of their hite Committee's investi- rized and directed by alof the House of Representatives at the city of Washington, this
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to combey mattern existing manner of the united states, and the second of the united States,	10:00 A.M. said Committee, or their continuate Committee winds have the Committee's Investi- rized and directed by al of the House of Representatives at the city of Washington, this April 1974.
produce hen and there to restligated duly authorized repres areadoparturant several H. Res. 803, adopted F.	at the hour of and deliver said things to content the content of the deliver said things to content the content of the deliver said things to the content of the summons. Witness my hand and the second the deliver said the said the second the deliver said the said	10:00 A.M. spid Committee, or their continued Committee and have in the Committee's investi- rized and directed by al of the House of Representatives at the city of Washington, this April 1974.

The Judiciary Committee's subpoena, served at 4:16 p.m.

A STATE OF THE STA

solved, two partisan votes were taken. The Republicans first moved to overrule Rodino's proposal that debate on the subpoena be limited to 30 minutes. Rep. Lawrence Hogan (R-Md.) and other Republicans argued that the committee was embarking on a great constitutional confrontation and that it was "ridiculous" to make a judgment after only a

half hour of discussion.

Rodino was upheld on a straight party line vote—21 to

17.
The second vote came on Ran. David amendment by Rep. David

"It's time to send a message Dennis (R.Ind.) to limit the public. that the playing of games is over."

Before the issue was resolved, two partisan votes that occurred between Feb. 20 and March 30, 1973—the same quiry."

He also predicted that "there will be a prompt and just conclusion of the inquiry." conversations St. Clair had of-

Rodino made one concession istence of a tape of Mr. Nixto the Republicans. He agreed to put off the final vote until 1:30 p.m. Before it was taken, the Democrats agreed to amend the subpoena to meet the Dennis objections.

Rodino also proposed some transcripts memoranda notes

should be able to represent the President in the committee's impeachment proceedings.

The chairman responded to that argument yesterday with a recommendation that St. Clair should be present and allowed to inspect any evidence as it is delivered to the committee by the staff. This would apply to both open and closed sessions. Rodino als: recommended that after all the edivence is in, St. Clair should be permitted to file comments on the evidence, to recommend additional witnesses, and to question those witnesses.

These proposals will be voted on by the committee after the Easter recess.

Vice President Gerald R.

Ford late yesterday said the issuance of the subpoena was "unfortunate." But he predicted that it will not provoke a confrontation and that "it will work out all right."

Ziegler, too, put the best light on the matter. "There should be no question about our desire to provide facts," he said, and implied that this "desire" was not fully appreciated by the compristed or the ciated by the committee or the

It is uncertain what records conversations St. Clair had offered to provide the committee. The April conversations were not sufficiently described in the subpoena, Dennis argued. But his amendment lost, 22 to 16. On this vote one Republican joined the Democrats—M. Caldwell Butler of Virginia.

Rodino made one concession of the is uncertain what records of the 42 conversations sought by the committee are in existence at the White House. The tape-recording devices installed in presidential offices reportedly were not functioning on the weekend of April 14-15, 1973, when some of the conversations occurred. There is also a question about the existence of a tape of Mr. Niv. Rodino made one concession istence of a tape of Mr. Nix-

Rodino also proposed some transcripts, memoranda, notes concessions yesterday for the White House. St. Clair has argued for weeks that he conversa-