SEC-Initiation of Vesco Gift S

that former Cabinet members John N. Mitchell and Maurice Stans may not have initiated the alleged cover-up of a secret \$200,000 cash contribution to President Nixon's reelection campaign.

Sherwin Markman, a Wash-Commission official may have

initiated the cover-up instead. Markman's testimony disputed prosecution testimony by former New Jersey Republican leader Harry Sears, who said he went to the SEC proposing that it limit its investigation into the \$200,000 cash contribution from financier Robert L. Vesco.

fense witness suggested today chell and Stans sought to im-They are charged with conspiracy, obstruction of justice and perjury.

Sears, who left the New Jersey Legislature to become a \$60,000 Vesco legal aide, has ington lawyer, suggested that described himself as the fi-a Securities and Exchange nancier's go-between in enlisting the aid of Mitchell, the former attorney general, and Stans, onetime secretary of commerce.

Markman, a member of the Washington law firm of Hogan and Hartson, which was handling the Vesco case be-fore the SEC, testified that he got a phone call from Sears on Nov. 8, 1972.

"Mr. Sears reported he had just concluded a meeting with Mr. Bradford Cook, general counsel of the SEC, and the subject of the meeting was whether or not Mr. Sears would be required to testify in the SEC investigation regarding the contribution.

"Mr. Sears stated that Mr. Cook stated the SEC was in-

NEW YORK, April 8—A despense witness suggested today on the government charges, Mitchell and Stans sought to impact the source of Vesco's financial empired than are charged with containing the source of the government charges, Mitchell and Stans sought to impact the source of the source of the source of the source, then, he, Mr. Sears, would not have the source of the source of the source, then, he, Mr. Sears, would not have the source of the sou

that he told Mr. Cook that Hogan and Hartson had a couple of pieces of paper that be longed to Mr. Vesco that would establish the source of the funds.

The documents referred to were defined as bank transfer memos dealing with the \$200,-

to President Lyndon B. Johnson, "was one of great upset, indeed anger, because he Sears had committed me in his conversation and meeting with Cook, without consulting me in advance. . . .
"Mr. Sears said he already

had committed me. I said, "Well, I'm not going to do it."

Markman said his partners Hogan and Hartson agreed with him, as did Arthur Lyman, Vesco's personal lawyer

at the time.
Thereupon, the witness continued, he withdrew from the atter and the documents are turned over to Lyman. "I repeated the conversan," Markman testified "" peated my own very strong action. Mr. Lyman agreed ith me completely."

I am March

to be called as a witness. to limit the scope of the SEC "Mr. Sears went on to state investigation into the source of the contribution?"

> "He may have said he asked Cook," Markman replied, "I think he said Mr. Cook agreed. I don't recall who initiated the conversation."

Under cross-examination by Asst. United States Atty. John 000 Vesco contribution.

"My reaction," went on Markman, a onetime assistant to President Arms and the Vesco withdrawing from the cussed withdrawing from the Vesco case because of Sears'

involvement.

He said the firm did not withdraw until late in 1972, af-ter the SEC had filed a com-plaint charging Vesco and his associates with looting \$224 million from mutual funds they controlled. Other witnesses have testifunds

fied that Vesco tried to work through Mitchell and Stans to prevent the SEC investigation from revealing Vesco's campaign contribution immediately prior to the election.