Edward Nixon Rebuts Stans Prosecution

NYTIMES APR 6 1974

By MARTIN ARNOLD Edward C. Nixon, the President's youngest brother, yesterday became the first witness for the defense in the Mitchell-Stans trial, and promptly contradicted the testimony of two of the Government's chief witnesses.

Mr. Nixon took the stand after Judge Lee P. Gagliardi dismissed one of three obstruction-of-justice counts against John N. Mitchell and Maurice R. Stans. There remains one conspiracy count and two obstruction-of-justice counts jointly against both defendants, and six perjury counts against each.

On Tuesday, the President's other brother, F. Donald Nixon. testified, most reluctantly, for the Government. Yesterday was Edward Nixon's turn in the witness chair.

At 43, he is the youngest of the three Nixon brothers. He is also the tallest, a reed-thin, 6 feet 1 or 2 inches. His face, however, closely resembles the President's, as do his hand gestures and his manner of speaking

Edward Nixon testified that Mr. Stans told him in 1972 that it made no difference whether \$200,000 contribution to a President Nixon's re-election campaign by Robert L. Vesco, a financier, was made in cash or by check. Mr. Stans and Mr.

Continued on Page 15, Column 5

Continued From Page 1, Col. 6 Mitchell were leaders of the re-

election campaign. Mr. Stans, the former Secre-tary of Commerce, and Mr. Mitchell, the former Attorney

General, are accused of conspiring to quash a Securities and Exchange Commission investigation of Mr. Vesco in return for the secret, \$200,000 cash contribution. Mr. Vesco, who is a fugitive, and 41 others have been accused of defraud-ing investors of \$224-million. The question of whether Mr.

Stans asked for the contribu-tion in cash or whether it was Mr. Vesco who wanted to make it in cash is important for several reasons.

First, it goes to the heart of one of the perjury counts against Mr. Stans. He has testified before the grand jury that returned the indictments that returned the indictments in this case that he told Mr. Vesco that either a check or cash was acceptable, and that the giving of cash was Mr. Vesco's idea. Secondly, it is the Govern-ment's contention that the de-fendants wanted to keep the

contribbution Vesco contribution secret—a contention that must fail if the defense can prove that, in fact, Mr. Stans did not care whether the contribution was made by cash or with a check.

Testimony Contradicted

Two important Government witnesses, one a reluctant wit-ness against Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans, have testified that Mr. Stans wanted the money in a former Vesco associate and a former Vesco associate and a former New Jersey Republi-can leader, who was granted immunity from prosecution in this case in return for his testi-mony and Laurance P. Bichard mony, and Laurence B. Richard-son Jr., former president of one of the companys Mr. Vesco controlled.

Edward Nixon's testimony on this matter was directly con-tradictory to the Sears-Richard-

tradictory to the Sears-Richard-son testimony, and appeared to be at odds with Government testimony on another point. Edward Nixon appeared as a witness for Mr. Stans. He in-troduced himself as a resident of the state of Washington, describing himself as a geolo-gist by training, and "an en-vironmental scientist" by pro-fession. Under cross-examina-nation, he said he was a board member of a company that member of a company that manufactured antipollution de-

vices. He said that on March 29, 1972 1972, while working in the Washington, D. C., office of the President's re-election cam-paign, he received a telephone call from Mr. Vesco's attorney, Howard Cerny, who is a co-conspirator in this case but was not indicted.

"Mr. Cerny wanted me to come to New York and right away. I wanted to know why," the witness said. "He said he couldn't tell me, it was too sensitive, but asked if I would do it just as a personal favor to him."

The auditors said that he then took a shuttle flight to Newark, N. J., that day, and was met at the airport by Mr. Cerny, who told him that Mr. Vesco wanted to make a cam-paign contribution and that "we had to get the contribution in had to get the contribution in before April 7 in order for him to remain anonymous."

The new campaign contribu-tion law went in effect on April tion law went in effect on April 7, 1972. It required that all po-litical contributions be made public to the General Account-ing Office. Mr. eVsco actually turned over the \$200,000 in cash on April 10. Edward Nixon testified he said that he and Mr. Cerny had arrived at Mr. Vesco's office in Fairfiedl, N. J., where they met Mr. Vesco, Mr. Sears and Mr. Richardson. Mr. Nixon said that he had been summoned to New Jarcord

been summoned to New Jersey because Mr. Vesco was unable to reach Mr. Stans, whom he wanted to find out if the money had to be in cash, "and had not been able to do so and

they were too close to the [April 7] deadline to wait any longer." Mr. Richardson has testified that in a meeting at-tended by himself and Mr. Vesco and Mr. Stans on March 8, 1972, "Mr. Stans said he-would like it in currency."

Would like it in currency." And later yesterday, under cross-examination, John R. Wing, the chief prosecutor, asked sarcastically, "Did you suggest to Mr. Cerny that on March 29, with respect to making the contribution before April 7, all you had to do was send in the contribution before April 7?"

To which Edward Nixon answered, "I didn't question Mr. Cerny's—reasons for his ra-tionale, his rationale at all."

Under direct examination by Walter Bonner, Mr. Stans' lawyer, Mr. Nixon said that the "reason I was called on such a hurry-up nature was that we were then practically within a week of the deadline for making anonymous contributions.' He went on to say that Mr. Vesco and his associates had asked him to call Mr. Stans be-

cause "they wanted to know how to make the contribution. Mr. Nixon said that he could not reach Mr. Stans, but had learned that the defendant was on his way to LaGuardia air-port from Washington, so he port from Washington, so he went to the airport on a heli-copter ordered by Mr. Vesco to "intercept" Mr. Stans. He missed Mr. Stans at the

airport, he said, but learned the former Secretary was going to the Metropolitan Club in New York, so he went there and finally met him.

Mr. Stans told him that the Mr. Stans told him that the contribution would have to be made before April 7 to remain anonymous, that it made no difference to him if it was in cash or check, but that "if they were really concerned commit were really concerned so much about anonymity, that the contribution should probably be made in cash to be absolutely anonymous," Mr. Nixon testified.

Mr. Nixon then said he had gone to the phone booth in the club and called Mr. Vesco at a special number. The financier had given him, a number of a "swept line, which I presume to mean a debugged phone." He was asked, Mr. Nixon said, "if they wanted to do this thing in an engenerative of the

thing in an anonymous fashion, how do we do it?"



Edward C. Nixon arriving at Federal Court yesterday