The President as Taxpayer

'Almost Wiped Out'

By Jules Witcover

Washington Post Staff Writer The \$467,000 bill for back taxes and interest confronting President Nixon has "almost virtually wiped out" his personal savings and will require a reassessment of "his entire financial position," the White House said yesterday.

Deputy White House press secretary Gerald L. Warren said Mr. Nixon "will pay certain cash" to settle the debt "and then he will probably have to borrow some money to pay the remainder of that.'

Warren said that the President would warren sand that the President would not, however, sell his home at San Cle-mente, Calif., to raise the money. He recalled that Mr. Nixon had pledged to make a gift of the home to the American people upon his death, "so obviously he won't sell that."

To add to the President's financial problems, a mortgage payment on the San Clemente property of about \$226,660 is

See PRESIDENT, A14, Col. 1

12

PRESIDENT, From A1 due in mid-July, Warren confirmed.

According to the White House accounting of the President's net worth, as of last May 31 he and Mrs. Nixon had cash holdings of \$432,874, including \$250,000 in certificates of deposit.

Warren said he could provide no later figure, but since President's bid to take a \$482,that time Mr. Nixon has re-ceived 10 months, salary at the rate of \$200,000 a year. His net worth, including real Archives left open the quesestate, was \$988,522 as of May 31.

There was no indication where the President might day whether borrow the additional money wanted the pa he might need. In past real would ask for them, Warren would ask for them, Warren would say only that the archi-vist of the United States, Dr. Key Biscayne, Fla., two of his wealthy friends Behert Ab guestion shout whether they

Warren, asked about a re-ported offer from Chicago in-surance executive W. Clement James O'Neil, deputy archi-

on's tax bill or organize a fund-raising dinner for him, said while he was certain the President would be "grateful" he would pay his own IRS debt. Stone was the largest single contributor to Mr. Nix-on's 1972 re-election campaign, having given a reported \$2.1 million.

The IRS' rejection of the real Archives left open the ques-May tion of those papers' disposition.

Asked several times yesterday whether Mr. Nixon wanted the papers back or wealthy friends, Robert Ab-guestion about whether they planalp and C. G. (Bebe) Rebozo, gave him loans. Wealthy friends, Robert Ab-Rebozo, gave him loans. was

Stone to pay part of Mr. Nix- vist at the National Archives,

said later: "The legal position whatever disposition the archi-whatsoever." He noted that of our agency is that the pa-pers, described in Schedule A In Mr. Nixon's March 15 ap-

President would abide with

pers, described in Schedule A on the chattel deed dated March 27, 1969 [from Mr. Nixon], are now the property of the United States and sub-iect to the terms of The chat-tel deed." Mr. Nixon, in an appearance before the Associated Press Managing Editors' Association in Orlando, Fla., last Nov. 17, referred to his deduction for the vice-presidential papers and said: and said: "Now, no question has been pleted in time, as I understand raised by the Internal Reveration, If it muses and the grader of the deduction. If it nue about it, but if they do, let was not completed in time, I me tell you this: I will be glad don't get the deduction. I pay to have the papers back and I will pay the tax because I think are worth more than The actual UES notice to the

think are worth more than that." The actual IRS notice to Mr. Reminded by a reporter of that reply, Warren said that the President had "given a gift to the people of the United States" and took a tax deduction his lawyers thought

the three-year statute of limitations applies to the 1969 re-turn, and that in fraud cases that statute does not apply.

Warren said that there was no plan to contest the IRS ruling, that the White House con-sidered the matter "a closed case" and that most Ameri-

He said the White House as "confident" that there would be no further IRS investigation of Mr. Nixon's past tax returns. In California, nowever, Republican state controller Houston Flournoy controller Houston Flournoy said it was likely the Presi-dent owed California back taxes on the profit from sale of some of his San Clemente property in 1970, and could be subject to a possible allega-tion of fraud

The notice, he said, included scribe Mr. Nixon's mood, he "no mention of fraud, none said: "businesslike."