Special Interests Said To Aid Mills' Drive

By Jules Witcover Washington Post Staff Writer

A Ralph Nader organization,

A Ralph Nader organization, the Public Citizen Tax Reform Research Group, yesterday reported that special interests "receiving favorable legislative treatment" from the House Ways and Means Committee financed more than helf the proof of Chairman Will half the cost of Chairman Wilbur D. Mills 1972 presidential

campaign,

The milk and oil industries and financial groups benefiting from his chairmanship of the House's tax-writing committee were the biggest givers, the report said. Milk interests gave \$60,100, or 26 per cent of all Mills' campaign money, it said. The campaign cost \$274,836.13 and contributions and financial groups benefit totaled \$231,027.11; the Nader group reported oup reported. You don't have to look very

far to see why those tax laws are stacked in favor of corporate interests and wealthy individuals at the expense of middle-income families," Robdividuals at the expense of the Associated Milk Produc-middle income families," Rob-ert Brandon, director of the \$25,000; Special Political Agri-

research group said. "During Mr. Mills' leadership, the tax burden of corporations has dropped from 30 In January, 1973, the report sence of tax legislation in per cent of the country's total noted, Mills cosponsored legistation in the country is total noted, Mills cosponsored legistation in the country is the country in the country in the country in the country is the country in tax revenues to 14 per cent lation raising the price sup-beneficial.

while the Social Security tax port for milk from 75 per cent burden on all working poeple to 85 per cent of parity, which has tripled from 10 per cent to 30 per cent of federal revenues," he said.

Mill's office declined to com-

The report was based on a review of financial statements filed by the Mills campaign with the General Accounting Office. Although the law on campaign financing disclosure requires such statements to be filed as well in states where money has been spent, the report said, "it appears the Mills campaign did not file in any of the 17 states, including his home state of Arkansas, in which there were expenditures

dustry contributors Agricultural and Milk industry included Educational Dairy Educational Political Trust (ADEPT) of the Mid-American Dairymen, Spring-Political field, Mo., \$16,000; Committee for Thorough Agricultural Political Education (TAPE) cultural Community Educa benefited tion (Space) of Dairymen, Inc.,

"would have resulted in an increase in the price of milk and dairy products." Two years earlier, on a similar price-sup-port bill, Mills was not a spon-

sor, the Nader group said.

The report also said Mills had arranged a meeting among himself, House among himself, House Speaker Carl Albert, Clark MacGregor, then an aide to President Nixon, and Harry Nelson, then general manager of AMPI, to discuss price-support increases six weeks before the White House ordered them on March 25, 1971.

Other industries and groups that made contributions to the Mills campaign, the report said, included shoe manufacturing tartiles oil hear in and turing, textiles, oil, beer, in-itical surance, banking, construction, farming, food processing, banks and savings and loan associations, lawyers, Po-of power, chemicals, air trans-of port, real estate, doctors and uc-various general manufactur-

While not all these industries from sponsored by Mills, the report