JAWORSKI IS SAID TO WEIGH ACTION

on Watergate Documents. Reported Studied By BILL KOVACH Special to The New York Times

Leon Jaworski, the special

Watergate prosecutor, is re-ported to be considering issu-

Subpoena to White House

ing a subpoena to force the

White House to deliver documents that have been withheld from the Watergate investigation. According to sources familiar with the investigation, the decision to subpoena the evidence may have already been made, but public disclosure of such a move would come only if the

White House refused to honor the subpoena. A spokesman for Mr. Jawor-ski's office labeled such suggestions "sheer speculation," but added: "We have made it clear that whenever and wherever there is any evidence not turned over to us, which is needed for trial,

we will resort to court process."

gation had reported as early as

Sources close to the investi-

last week that Mr. Jaworski "prepared to litigate for" the evidence, some of which was requested as long ago as August, 1973. Such a decision on Mr. Jaworski's part could lead to a

serious confrontation and significantly affect the current im-

peachment inquiry. The evidence that has thus far been denied Mr. Jaworski's office in-

cludes some of the same infor-

mation the White House has refused to deliver to the House Judiciary Committee's impeachment inquiry. "It may also include some of the same information requested by the former special prose-cutor, Archibald Cox, in October, shortly before he was dismissed by the White House. In a letter to the Senate Judiciary Committee on Feb. 14, Mr. Jaworski first reported the White House refusal to co-

operate with his investigation by providing requested evi-

dence. All the materials re-ceived by Mr. Jaworski up to

this point had been voluntarily surrendered by the Administra-

In his letter of Feb. 14, Mr.

tion.

Jaworski reported to the committee that the White House had continued to withhold evidence bearing on the Waterbreak-in and cover-up, campaign contributions by the dairy industry and the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation and other unidentified material. Some of the material was requested as long ago as August and Octo-

The Watergate evidence was

withheld, he noted then, even though he had assured the President's lawyer, James D. St. Clair, that it would con-

clude his office's requests for

information on that subject. 🖫

ber, 1973, by Mr. Cox.

Following that letter, Mr. Jaworski continued to negotiate with Mr. St. Clair for the release of the evidence and then, early this month, began consideration of a subpoena. In the meantime, on Feb. 25, counsel for the House impeachment inquiry requested evidence from the White House, including specific tapes of Presidential conversations before and after March 21,

when President Nixon says he learned of the Watergate coverup. The information asked for in that request overlaps information requested but denied to the special prosecutor's

Both the special prosecutor and the impeachment inquiry

are also at odds with the White House over requests for

office.

permission to review the White House files of such former Presidential aides as H. R. Haldeman and John D. Ehrlichman. The review has been requested by each in or-der to determine existence of material relevant to their in-vestigations and have led to the White House statements labeling the requests "fishing expeditions." A decision by Mr. Jaworski to subpoena the evidence could

have a major impact on the impeachment proceedings. Be-

cause of a fear of becoming bogged down in judicial processe and of forcing a con-

frontation on a scale that could be damaging to government, the House Judiciary Committee is extremely hesitant to issue a Congressional subpoena. Should Mr. Jaworski be suc-

cessful in obtaining the evidence by subpoena, it would the committee's enhance chances of getting the same evidence without subpoena. An alternative possibility was seen yesterday when Federal District Judge John J. Sirica ordered evidence gathered by a Watergate grand jury turned over to the committee. That dence includes some information the committee has been seeking from the White House as well as the accumulated

fruits of 21 months of investigative work. The Judiciary Committee's right to receive the material requested of the White House was supported today by Common Cause, a self-styled public interest lobby. In a letter to the chairman of the House Judiciary panel, Representative Peter W. Rodino, Democrat of New

The New York Times Published: March 20, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times

Jersey, chairman of Common

Cause, John W. Gardner, said the President had no constitu-

tional authority to withhold evidence from an impeachment

inquiry.