

By Jules Witcover Washington Post Staff Writer The General Accounting Office has ruled it is "legally former Vice President be so group of the taxpayers' money estimated by one source at 57,222 a month—is being spent to provide staff assistance to former Vice President. In a letter to Rep. John E. ies and a receptionist are occupied "sorting the numerous former Vice President Spire" to der Elman P. Street comp.

WXPost

1

Congress' watchdog agency "completion of his governmen-has expressed reservations tal affairs." about Congress' intent that a

-estimated by one source at clarifying legislation. \$7,222 a month—is being spent to provide staff assistance to former Vice President Spiro T. Agnew in a government of-fice across the street from the White House. At the same time, however, were helping Agnew in staff assistants, three secretar-ies and a receptionist are oc-to Box (D-Calif.) released yes-torller general of the United were helping Agnew in Staff assistants, three secretar-ies and a receptionist are oc-cupied "sorting the numerous public and private papers wich have accumulated since his (Agnew's) taking office in 1969."

Specifically, Staats said, ref-

terday to say how much was being spent on salaries for those working for Agnew, falied to do so. However, a re-port by a Congressional Quar-terly used the \$7,222-a-month figure. Two staff assistants are receiving \$21,366 and \$18,583, three coordinates \$12,701 three secretaries \$13,791, \$12, 573 and \$11,765, adn the recep-tionist \$8,591, CQ said.

Staats reported that Bu-zhardt had advised him "it is expected" that the salaries and use of government offices —one for Agnew to work in and one to store his vice presi-dential papers—will not be continued "beyond the first part of April."

The money to support Agnew's work comes out of ap-propriations for "special as-sistance to the President" at the direction of President Nixon, Buzhardt reported, con-tending that "the use of this tending that "the use of this fund has always been at the President's discretion."

Staats told Moss, however, that he disagreed, and that the purpose of the appropriation was to support a Vice Presi-dent in office, because he had

dent in office, because he had no staff of his own other than as President of the Senate. Nevertheless, Staats said, "we are unable to conclude that such funds are not legally available" to help a resigned available" to help a resigned Vice President "perform a task which the President deems to be of assistance to him and for which the appropriation would have been available while the former Vice President held office."

Earlier, Moss had also complained about Secret Service protection for Agnew. Staats confirmed that after a GAO finding that payment for such protection was not legal, "we now understand" it was dis-continued as of Feb. 17.

Moss said yesterday he was "disappointed" in Staats' find-ing and would write to him asking for a more diligent search for grounds to bar the

search for grounds to bar the payments. "In a separate action yes-terday, Washington lawyers Joel Joseph and John Banzhaf, a professor at George Wash-ington University, asked At-torney General William B. Saxbe to sue Agnew to re-cover money he "unlawfully "received" while he was Vice President, as charged by the Justice Department in the tax Justice Department in the tax evasion case that led to Ag new's resignation.