## repares to Rest

By William Greider Washington Post Staff Writer

Congress is preparing to take another legislative swipe at the power of the presidency, this one aimed at winnowing out "executive privi-lege" as a way to withhold White House information from the legislative branch.

A measure with bipartisan sponsorship, approved yester-day, 24 to 16, by the House Government Operations Committee, would force the Presi-

initiate a civil suit in federal the war powers bill.

court to determine whether "a compelling national interest."

The measure is likely to renew the constitutional debate over presidential p which surrounded the powers war powers bill enacted last year over President Nixon's veto. Sponsors think another veto is likely and that the same votes can be assembled to override

But the bill is also under at-But the bill is also under atdent to provide a personal explanation within 30 days when
he invokes "executive privilege."

The House or Senate, if either body were unsatisfied
with his reasons, could then
initiate a civil suit in federal some of them also said against

The Senate has already en-great unwashed middle which the denial of information met acted a similar bill with thinks this bill really is prog"a compelling national interest."

It was passed quietly in late rest."

Rep. John Moss (D-Calif.) December without debate. The House measure is co-sponsored by Rep. John Erlenborn (R-Ill.) and William Moorhead (D-Pa.), and 41 others.

"The problem," said Arlenborn, "has been that the only way we could test executive privilege was to hold the President in contempt of Congress. That is such an awesome weapon and so abrasive that the Congress just hasn't done it. What we've done, in effect, is to allow the President to invoke executive privilege and, in the process, he defines it.'

"We have opposition from both sides," said Moorhead. "The liberals are saying we shouldn't legislate at all because the Constitution already gives. gives us more power, while conservatives think that we're impairing the power of the

one of the leading opponents, argues that the measure implicitly grants the President a right to refuse information which is not provided by the Constitution, Both Government Operations Chairman Chet Holifield (D-Calif.) and the ranking Democrat, Rep. Jack Brooks (D-Tex.), opposed the measure in committee yeasterday, so it will face considerable diffi-culty in clearing the House.

The proper remedy to claims of executive privilege, Moss said, is simple—'a plain stiffening of the congressional spine and a little bit of guts to stand up to the Executive Branch as aggressively as the Executive has stood up to Congress."

The most familiar clash beconservatives think that we're tween White House and Con-impairing the power of the gress has come over the ap-President. Then there's the pearance of White House House

## rict Executive Privilege

committees, even though they may be more powerful in policy decisions than the Cabinet officers who do testify.

The legislation would require these aides to show up and, if they refused to answer questions on any subject, the President would have to ex-plain why in writing. The bill doesn't mention "executive doesn't mention "executive privilege" by name and doesn't attempt to define what might be worthy reasons for refusing to disclose White House information.

The rationales could range from the traditional claim of the President's need to enjoy confidential advice from his staff to special instances where diplomatic or military strategy would be jeopardized by disclosure. In any case, the U.S. District Court here would be assigned the job of determining the scope of executive cials used it three times with-

In recent years, according to a study by the Library of Congress, the use of executive privilege has increased dramatically, but that might be partly attributable to the fact that President Nixon has food a Congress controlled by faced a Congress controlled by the other party while Presidents Kennedy and Johnson enjoyed majority support in Congress.

study, According to the Nixon, Kennedy and Johnson all promised that "executive privilege" would only be invoked by each of them person ally — but officials in all three administrations ignored that pledge and claimed the protection without explicit authority from the President.
Usually, agency officials simply refused to testify before congressional inquiries.

aides who normally refuse oo privilege on a case-by-case balout his imprimatur, according could examine the papers in appear before congressional sis. used it personally, but others which branch of government in his administration invoked would prevail. it twice on their own.

During President Nixon's ception of impeachment mat-first four years, he claimed exters. It states that under no cirecutive privilege four times cumstances can a President himself. Other agencies outside the White House from the House or Senate considers the Pentagon to the Cabinet relevant to an imp Committee on Opportunities for the Spanish Speaking, have refused testimony or documents on 15 occasions. Executive privilege has been invoked again in the President's Watergate defense during his second term.

ing need for the disputed information "outweighs the grounds cited by the President for withholding the information or testimony." The judge plans an open house Satur- sense of smell.

The bill also makes an exrelevant to an impeachment

## Elephantine Odor

MONTEVIDEO, Uruguay, March 14 (UPI)-Two circus elephants, both females, broke The proposed legislation out of the ring and ran into states that the House or Senate would initiate the civil legal test by a resolution declar gal test by a resolution declar brief panic before they were ing that the congressional captured. The circus director