Nixon and the Indicted 7

President and Ex-Aides Could Well Benefit by Making Common Defense

By LESLEY OELSNERMAR 1 1 1974

NYTimes

NYTIMESBy LESLEY OELSNEM AR 11 1974Special to The New York TimesWASHINGTON, March 10—
When the grand jury investi-
gating the Watergate cover-up
indicted seven former Nixon
aides on March 1, and simul-
taneously gave Federal Judge
John J. Sirica a
Secret report thatIn one of these cases, lawy-
ers for the defendants argued
a position that, if accepted,
uould benefit the President.
In the second case, the Pres-
ident made a move helpful to
one of the defendants.Newsallegedly impli-
Analysis cated President
new a questionThe first case occurred last
Wednesday, at Judge Sirica's
hearing on the grand jury's se-
Nixon, it raised
anew a questionthat has been asked here since
the scandal first erupted:
the the scandal first erupted:
the scandal first erupted:
the the interests of
president Nixon co-
such former aides as John D.
Ehrlichman and H. R. Halde-
make it public, or, perhaps, ex-
such former aides as John D.
Ehrlichman and H. R. Halde-
make it public, or, perhaps, ex-
such former aides as John D.
Ehrlichman and H. R. Halde-
indicted his seven colleagues;
that Mr. Nixon was involved
since the report is as damaging
as has been widely reported,
then the best solution from the
President's point of view would
be for it to be suppressed. But
struct that the seven men and its
reported conclusion about
President Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
trees of Mr. Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
terest of Mr. Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
terest of Mr. Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
terest of Mr. Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
terest of Mr. Nixon are as have
been reported, the legal in-
terest of Mr. Nixon are as h

terest of Mr. Nixon at least overlap with those of the others. The interests would not be identical, for each per-son's chief interest would be in gaving himself. Thus it might be in one person's inter-common Defense Still, if all the charges and conclsions are true, Mr. Nixon and the various defendants might well benefit from a com-the President was asked at his news conference on March 6 if the White House was co-operating with the attorneys for Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Ehr-lichman. Mr. Nixon said the white House was not "working with" lawyers for any of the men indicted. Indeed, there is no evidence that any common defense is under way. It is clear, however, that even if none of the grand jury's con-clusions is true, the legal in-terests of Mr. Nixon occasional-ly do conincide, by chance or operating, with those of one or an-other of the defendants. Two recent actions in the courts against this design, with those of one or an-other of the defendants. Two recent actions in the courts against him dismissed on the common defense is under way. It is clear, however, that even if none of the grand jury's con-clusions is true, the legal in-terests of Mr. Nixon occasional-ly do conincide, by chance or other of the defendants. Two recent actions in the courts against him dismissed on the common defense is being danied

other of the defendants. Two recent actions in the courts have shown that if the Presi-dent's and the defendant's in-terests are not identical, they can sometimes be complementary.

compelled to usury ... pending. In refusing, according to legal experts, Mr. Nixon may thus be giving Mr. Ehrlichman the popportunity to have the charges against him dismissed on the ground that he is being denied his Sixth Amendment right to "have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his