WXPost

Hearing to Discuss Watergate Report

Secret Evidence By Timothy S. Robinson

Washington Post Staff Writer U.S. District Chief Judge

John J. Sirica will hold an open court hearing Wednesday to consider what he should do with a secret federal grand jury report be-lieved to contain evidence concerning President Nixon and the Watergate coverup.

Sources familiar with the Watergate case said yesterday that arguments at the hearing may focus on whether a federal grand jury can legally issue such a report separate from an indictment and, if so, whether it can be forwarded to a congressional body, such as the House Judiciary Com-mittee, which is consider-ing the impeachment of the President. "All interested counsel,"

"All interested counsel, presumably including law-yers for Mr. Nixon, Special Prosecutor Leon Jaworski and the seven former Nixon aides indicted by the grand jury last Friday, may pre-sent arguments at the hear-ing, Sirica said yesterday. See WATERGATE, A12, Col. 1

WATERGATE, From A1

Informed sources have said that the sealed report, presented along with a briefcase of supporting docu-ments to Sirica in court on Friday, contains a summary of evidence that, in the belief of the grand jurors, shows that Mr. Nixon was involved in the conspiracy to obstruct justice in the Watergate case.

The 23-member Watergate grand jury did not state its conclusions in the secret report, according to these' sources, but did recommend that Sirica forward the evidence to the House Judici-ary Committee for its impeachment inquiry.

White House sources said yesterday that Mr. Nixon's lawyers have not yet delawyers have not yet de-cided what to do about the secret report. They added that the grand jury action had produced some confu-sion in the White House. "They're betwixt and be-tween about what to do," one source said. At his daily press vester-

At his daily press yester-day, deputy White House press secretary Gerald L. Warren said Mr. Nixon's lawyers had "no present in-tention" to try to prevent Judge Sirica from transmit-ting the secret report to MAR 5 1974

the House Judiciary Committee.

However, later in the day, after two White House attorneys and five lawyers from the special prosecu-tor's office met with Judge Sirica to set up Wednesday's hearing, Warren declined to repeat his statement about the President's intentions concerning the secret re-

port. "The White House counsel will attend the hearing" in court Wednesday, Warren said on the second occasion, adding, "We have nothing to say further at this time. Our position will be made known in open court."

Judge Sirica's closed door meeting yesterday with law-yers from the White House and Jaworski's staff lasted. for 11/2 hours. Afterwards, Sirica read a one-sentence announcement of Wednesday's open court hearing and refused to answer ques-tions from reporters.

James St. Clair, Mr. Nix-on's chief Watergate lawyer, said before the meeting that it was being held at his request. He and the other participants also refused to dis-

they had discussed with sugge Sirica. Attending the last half-hour of the meeting were John J. Wilson and Frank H. Strickler, defense attorneys for former 'White House Strickler, delense attorneys for former 'White House aides and Watergate cover-up defendants John Ehrlich-man and H. R. (Bob) Halde-man. They said they came at Sirica's request.

Strickler said later that he and Wilson will partici-pate in Wednesday's court hearing. When asked if he had been given a copy of the secret report and how he could state his views on the case if he had not seen the

report, he replied: "We will argue questions of law. It will be a legal ar-gument."

One informed source said Sirica did not show anyone at the meeting anything from the grand jury's secret report. Sirica's law clerk, D. Todd Christofferson, 'said later that the court's copy of the report is still locked in a safe

Although Wednesday's court session will be open to the public, court sources said it is unlikely that details of the grand jury's secret report will be revealed. Instead, the lawyer's arguments are expected to skirt the contents of the report which presumably are still unknown to them, and con-centrate on the legal issues involved.

One of the two questions that sources expect to be argued is whether a federal grand jury, in addition to voting indictments, can is-sue a report like the one in question.

Although such investigative reports are commonly issued in addition to or in lieu of indictments by state and local grand juries, some lawyers say there is a strain of legal argument claiming of legal argument claiming that the only function of a federal grand jury, after in-vestigating possible crimes, is to decide whether or not to return indictments.

The second question whether such a report can legally be forwarded to Con- gress — arose early in the history of the Senate Watergate committee when its chairman, Sam Ervin (D-N.C.) requested access to the Watergate grand jury's minutes. It became moot, however, when Ervin with-

drew his request after pre-liminary legal arguments. Attorneys familiar with this and other cases say it is unusual but not unprecedented for attorneys to ar-gue legal issues like these without being able to apply them to the specific facts in

the case being argued. The grand jury presented Judge Sirica its secret report and supporting docu-ments in the same court sesments in the same court ses-sion on Friday when it re-turned its Watergate cover-up indictments charging Haldeman, Ehrlichman, former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, former White House special counsel Charles W. Colson, former White House aide Gordon Strachan and former Nixon cambaign committee lawcampaign committee law-yers Robert C. Mardian and Kenneth W. Parkinson with conspiracy, obstruction of

justice and other crimes. Several sources have told The Washington Post that the grand jury also considered indicting Mr. Nixon, but decided not to do so after Special Prosecutor Jaworski concluded that the Constitution precludes the indictment of an incumbent President.

The grand jury's secret re-port, these sources said, contains approximately 50 paragraphs outlining evi-ence involving the President and was written after Jaworski's staff spent several weeks studying ways to for-

weeks studying ways to for-ward such evidence to the House Judiciary Committee. Judge Sirica, these sourc-es said, now must decide whether to forward the re-port to the Judiciary Com-mittee, rule that the Com-mittee must scale it theorem mittee must seek it through subpoeana proceedings, de-clare that the Committee is not entitled to the report, and must gather its own evience, or allow the grand jury to take over action on the evidence.

Contributing to this story were Washington Post staff writers. Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward.