## PRESIDENT'S VIEW ON IMPEACHMENT DISPUTED IN HOUSE

Members of Judiciary Panel Would Not Limit Action to a Criminal Offense

## By JAMES M. NAUGHTON Special to The New York Times

A CLINICTON For the

WASHINGTON, Feb. 26—Republicans and Democrats on the House Judiciary Committee challenged today President Nixon's assertion that he could not be impeached except on the basis of evidence that he had violated criminal law.

But several members of the

committee conducting an impeachment inquiry said that, as a practical matter, the outcome of the investigation would probably turn on Mr. Nixon's involvement, if any, in criminal aspects of the Watergate scandal.

And the members and staff

of the Judiciary Committee appeared more concerned, for the moment, with determining the extent of White House cooperation with the panel's request for documents, tape recordings and other evidence.

Request Sent to White House

Officials of the committee

confirmed that a request for

some 700 pages of documents and 17 tape recordings was sent to the White House last night. John M. Doar, the panel's special counsel, said last week that it should take the President's lawyers no more than "just a day or two" to comply voluntarily with the request.

Investigative sources also disclosed that Mr. Doar met today with the Wetergate special pros-

with the Watergate special prosecutor, Leon Jaworski, as part of a continuing effort to gain access to evidence gathered for three Watergate grand juries and to determine what specific evidence Mr. Nixon refused to supply to Mr. Jaworski earlier this month.

The Senate Republican lead-

The Senate Republican leader, Hugh Scott of Pennsylvania, pressed Mr. Nixon today to make a full disclosure of all

Continued on Page 19, Column 4

## PRESIDENT'S VIEW said that the Constitution was accurate academically and his-"most people who have read Nixon's conduct would be that torically," Mr. McClory said the Constitution would dis- the President could be im-

ecutor.

of the White House a long time | Senior Republicans on the fixed term. | it was "incumbent on us to find impeachment. whole works."

for the committee, who said in President at this time." Kastenmeier, Democrat of Wis-Continued From Page 1, Coi. 5 a 49-page memorandum last Mr. Wiggins also said that consin, said: "The President, of Richardson Also Disagrees week that a President could be the House had the power to course, is personally involved DALLAS, Feb. 26 (UPI) relevant evidence to both the impeached on such noncriminal impeach officials for a variety in this and his views have to The former Attorney General, committee and the special pros- grounds as dereliction of duty of serious, but not necessarily be biased in the extreme. It Elliot L. Richardson, disagreed or failure to comply with the criminal, offenses, but that "we seems pretty self-serving to today with President Nixon on "If it were up to me," Mr. Constitution's mandate to "take should exercise our power nar-suggest so narrow an interpre- the grounds for Presidential Scott said, "I would have care that the laws be faithfully rowly" when dealing with a tation."

ago and given them every committee — including Repre- A similar view was expressed evidence of a very serious of- "I think the odds favor his damn memo they wanted, the sentatives Robert McClory of by Representative Edward fense, but not necessarily a conclusion that he won't be Illinois, Charles E. Wiggins of Mezvinsky, Democrat of Iowa. crime," told newsmen that Mr. impeached," Mr. Richardson Mr. Nixon asserted at a California, Charles W. Sandman Other Democrats were more Nixon was only adopting the said at a news conference. "The White House news conference Jr. of New Jersey and Tom blunt in attacking the Presi-same position that objects of evidence won't show direct last night that his Watergate Railsback of Illinois—said to-dent's position, Representative impeachment inquiries have ex-criminal lawyers had advised him "that day that they agreed for the Jerome R. Waldie of California, pressed throughout the history criminal act, that he knew in a criminal offense on the part most part with the committee the principal sponsor of one of of the United States.

DISPUTED IN HOUSE standard.

The statement was in direct conflict with the conclusion of the bipartisan staff of lawyers have any applicability to the presentative Robert W. But he added that he would agree with Mr. Nixon's interhighly serious nature affecting the conduct of the nation's business."

pulled a truck up at the gates executed."

President who was elected to a Mr. Railsback, who said that that Mr. Nixon would escape

of the President is the require-staff's judgment. more than a dozen impeach- Mr. Sandman said that his break-in or participated in the

ment for impeachment." He The staff analysis was "very ment resolutions, said that own standard for judging Mr. cover-up."

impeachment but predicted

involvement in a advance of the Watergate

The New York Times

Published: February 27, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times