LXPost California Burglary Trial FEB 27 1974 ixon Subpoena Rejected

By Eugene L. Meyer Washington Post Staff Writer

personally appear at the Cali- Chief Judge fornia burglary trial of for-mer presidential assistant John D. Ehrlichman and the White House "plumbers." Greene

N

SUBPOENA, From A1

matters. They want the President to so testify at a pre-trial

hearing and at trial. The White House response yesterday, drawn only on the issue of whether Mr. Nixon should be made to appear in that a President can be made Chief Justice John Mr. State of the summons." The white House response yesterday, drawn only on the issue of whether Mr. Nixon should be made to appear in person, did not foreclose the possibility that he might an-swer written interrogatories. Such a possibility was raised earlier by St. Clair as a matter for negotiation between attor-neys. In his cover letter, St. Clair questioned whether a state court could subpoena a Presi-dent and said the effect of Mr. Nixon's being made to testify in the state of the testify in person in comply." In the state of the president might such and said the effect of Mr. Nixon's being made to testify in pressing business refused to

Nixon's being made to testify "would be crippling and would threaten the very essence of the office of the presidency and, in turn, the nation

"As chief executive of the United States," St. Clair wrote, "a President must be concerned on a daily basis with significant national and international issues which af the court) at whatever dis-tance." fect the public interests of all Americans.

continued security of the na-tion but would open the door for unfettered and wholesale repugnant to the Constitution imposition upon the office of the President by the courts in each of the 50 states."

The White House response | don Liddy and David Young, White House attorneys yes- brief and two-page cover letter terday rejected a state judge's order that President Nixon personally appear at the Gold Harold H,

In line with interstate agreements governing subpoenas, Greene has set a March 15 If Mr. Nixon were to obey the subpoena, the White House said, the precedent would "damage irreparably" the President's ability to func-tion, and "his inability to per-form the duties as the chief executive would threaten the intervention" for determine the period. The subpoena was issued Jan. 30 by California Superior Court Judge Gordon Ringer at the request of lawyers for de-fordents Ehrlichman. G. Gorfendants Ehrlichman, G. Gor- See SUBPOENA, A6, Col. 6

was contained in a nine-page in connection with the September, 1971, burglary of the offices of Dr. Fielding, Dan-iel Ellsberg's psychiatrist.

The next move is up to the defendants' lawyers, who have until March 8 to reply. The White House then will have until March 13 to make any additional written arguments before the hearing.

The defendants, members of the White House special investigation unit known as "the plumbers," contend that any events that occurred were oc-casioned by sensitive security

mitted by St. Clair, John A. in the very structure of the McCahill and Michael A. Ster- Constitution," the White lacci, says that since the Con- House concluded, "compel a

to subpoena him but, citing pressing business, refused to testify in person at Burr's treason trial. Jefferson sub-mitted relevant evidence by letter through the U.S attor-

ney. The White House stressed Jefferson's assertion that no court could command "the executive government to abandon superior duties and attend

"To accede to the comput-lower court can exert its will "To accede to the comput-sory process of a state court would not only unduly inter-fere with the grave responsi-bility of a President to make the decisions which affect the continued security of the naperson of the chief executive of the United States is an act and therefore null and void . .

"Federal and state courts cannot, consistent with the The White House brief, sub- separation of powers inherent