## Dilemma Elections: G. O. P. '74

By CHRISTOPHER LYDON

special to The New York Time WASHINGTON, Feb. 20-The premise of Democratic strategy in the upset victory Monday in the Congressional election in Grand Rapids, Mich.—and the shattering implication for Republicans every-

1	Nev	Vs
Analysis		
	1	۸

Republicans every-where—is that dis-approval of the Analysis Nixon Administra-tion is now the most powerful cur-rent in American politics, even in "local" contests. As resolute a Pollyanna as George Bush, chairman of the Republican National Committee, now sees a possibility for the now sees a possibility for the first time that the Watergate scandals may damage Republi-can candidates in three or four special Congressional elections yet to come and in the November elections.

But from the managers of the campaign that elected Richard F. VanderVeen, an oft-defeated Democrat, to Vice President Ford's old House seat, the mes-sage sweeps far beyond Watergate. "It wasn't Watergate," said

John Marttila, a Boston-based consultant whom Mr. Vander-Veen credited with the victory. "It was Richard Nixon, the per-"It was Richard Nixon, the per-son, and what Richard Nixon is doing to the country, whether it's Watergate, or the economy, or the energy crisis, or infla-tion, people are saying: Under this Administration, nothing gets done right."

gets done right." For Mr. Marttila, the most important statistic in politics today—and the engine of Mr. VanderVeen's triumph—is the nearly 60 per cent "negative" figure on Mr. Nixon's job per-formance, a figure that turned up in Republican Grand Rapids and persistently in national opinion surveys.

and persistently in national opinion surveys. For Republicans, however, the anti-Nixon bloc is only one side of a political vise. The other side is the hard core of Nixon supporters, roughly 25 per cent of the electorate nationwide, which also repre-sents the financial and activist base for most Republican can-didates. didates.

Even after studying the re-sults from Grand Rapids, Re-publicans in Congress were holding to the orthodox doc-trine that while it is precarious to be associated with President to be associated with President Nixon, it is suicidal to speak against him. To many Republi-cans, the dilemma of 1974 be-gins to look like a problem without a solution.

## On to Cincinnati

Republican campaign profes-sionals, who are packing up in Grand Rapids and moving now into Cincinnati for the next special Congressional election two weeks away, were speak-ing tentatively todya of reviv-ing an old cluster of campaign issues: busing, abortion and welfare welfare.

Much as Spiro T. Agnew as Vice President tried to distract voters from the economic prob-lems of 1970 with his national campaign against Congressional "radic-libs," some Republicans "radic-libs," some Republicans would reach again for a "social issue" against Democrats.

"It certainly beats talking about people sitting in lines to buy gasoline," a senior Repub-lican tactician remarked. But the same man admitted a degree of desperation about the move. He was not confident that a social issue, or anything else, will work even in the Cincinnati district, historically almost as strong a Republican bastion as Gerald R. Ford's old bailiwick in Grand Rapids. "Right now we're behind," he

"Right now we're behind," he said of the March 5 Cincinnati race, which will coincide with a possibly decisive primary for the seat of the late Representa-tive Charles M. Teague, Repub-lican of California. In the combined field of six Demo-crats an done Republican in the California campaign, if anyone wins more than half of the primary vote, under state the primary vote, under state laws, he will be elected to the House.

Beyond searching for new issues, anxious Republicans were putting fresh stress today were putting fresh stress today on the importance of organiza-tional mechanics. Particularly in special elections where voter turnout is customarily low — it was under 40 per cent in the Michigan voting Monday — the efficiency of party cadres in identfying and getting out their votes can be crucial. Bewailing Republican over

Bewailing Republican over-confidence in Grand Rapids, Representative Robert H. Michel of Illinois, chairman of Michel of Illinois, chairman of the House Republican Cam-paign Committee, admonished Cincinnati Republicans as if sheer organizational energy were the key to victory. "Look, we can't spell it out for you any more more clearly," Mr. Michael said, "You've got to produce on Election Day with votes."

## Symptom of Problem

Yet the matter of organization despondency and low Re-publican turnouts — a deva-stating burden on Republican candidates in New Jersey, Philadelphia and elsewhere last year — seems to most party officials a symptom of their larger problem in the White House, not something that pep

House, not something that pep talks can cure. The puzzle for Republicans remains how to get around the disenchantment with Mr. Nixon without publicly turning their backs on their President. Ironically, the "Nixon prob-lem" does not seem to exercise Mr. Nixon. According to Gerald L. Warren, the deputy White House press secretary, when the President met with Repub-lican leaders this morning he mentioned neither Watergate, nor the Grand Rapids election,

nor the fall campaigns for Con-marked today. Mr. Steiger has gress. However, Representative a special understanding of the John J. Rhodes of Arizona, the point, having been first elected House Republican leader, said in 1966 in an upset against a that the President had talked aceetingly of the "political cli-mate" that caused the loss of the Michigan House seat. Mr. Rhodes said that the President had spoken in passing of his "disappointment at the out-come" of the special election, layoffs in the automobile indus-try and the energy crisis. Significantly, the party's dis-tress about Grand Rapids does not seem to build Republican support for Presidential im-peachment. Republican defeats in other special elections may prompt a few more calls for Mr. Nixon's resignation, but for Mr. Nixon's resignation, but for Mr. Nixon's resignation, but for Mr. Nixon and survive," Rep-resentative William A. Steiger, a Wisconsin Republican, rethat the President had talked Democrat who was trying to