PRESIDENT FIRM ON TAPES STAND

Says Yielding Documents to Senate Would Give Them 'to the World at Large'

By ANTHONY RIPLEY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 6—President Nixon told a Federal district judge today that giving five White House tape recordings to the Senate Watergate committee would be giving them "to the world at large." He said that this would infringe on the confidentiality of his office and would possibly prejudice criminal trials.

The President's position was stated in a five-paragraph letter

Text of White House letter to Judge Gesell, Page 21.

to Judge Gerhard A. Gesell, who is attempting to decide whether to order Mr. Nixon to comply with a Senate committee subpoena to produce five tapes.

Mr. Nixon declared that, unlike the grand jury, which uses the tapes in secret, the Senate committee "has made known its intentions to make these materials public."

"The publication of all these

tapes to the world at large would seriously infringe upon the principle of confidentiality, which is vital to the performance of my constitutional re-

ance of my constitutional responsibilities as President," Ma

Continued on Page 21, Column 1

The New York Times

Published: February 7, 1974 Copyright © The New York Times

Pracidant Defends His Refusal to Yield 5 Tapes to Senate Committee

sion to what is known as the version in his testimony. doctrine of executive privilege.

Mr. Nixon added, "It is incumbent upon me to be sensi-

cutor, Leon Jaworski, also asked the same three men. All ac-he was told "not to worry." assess the precise impact of be turned over to the Senators by the court to comment on counts agree they talked solely Mr. Jaworski, in his memo-such publicity." ahead with the subpoenas would have upon criminal trials, conceded that four of the five tapes sought would be "important and material evidence" at future criminal trials. However, he took no position on the advisability of turning them over to the Senate.

Some of the tape recordings sought in the Senate subpoena had also been sought by the special prosecutor, former Archibald Cox, who was dismissed by President Nixon's order on Oct. 20 because he refused to stop seeking the tapes. President Nixon later agreed to turn some tapes over to Mr. Jaworski.

National Interest Cited

The President in his letter today, labeled the Senate committee's request "political" and said it was "inappropriate for resolution by the judicial branch." He reasserted his contention that yielding the tapes "would not be in the national interest."

The committee sought five tape recordings last July 23 in one of two subpoenas it sent to the White House.

Judge Gesell quashed the second subpoena, calling it "too vague" because it sought all documents and tapes relating to 25 different White House and campaign aides. However, he asked for a personal assertion of executive privilege by the President if Mr. Nixon was still relying on that doctrine for a defense.

He also asked the President for "factual ground" on which to base his contention that turning the material over to the committee would "not be in the public interest." It was this explanation the President submitted today.

Tapes Sought by Cox

Also on July 23, Mr. Cox also subpoenaed nine tapes and other documents. Four of the tapes sought by the Senate committee and by Mr. Cox were the same. They concern the folfollowing:

A conversation Sept. 15, 1972, between Mr. Nixon, his former counsel, John W. Dean 3d, and H. R. Haldeman, former White House chief of staff. Mr. Dean has testified that the President complimented him on a "good job" in containing the

the Watergate burglary. Mr. Presidency. Nixon said. This was an allu-Haldeman has contradicted that A second meeting on March the committee had already been "If jurors are selected with the

by the same three men. Mr. chief assistant to the President increase the risk that those will receive a fair and prompt tive to the possible adverse Dean contends there was talk for domestic affairs. It also cov- indicted could contend with trial." effects upon ongoing and forth- of executive clemency and \$1- ered only Watergate matters. | more force than presently avail- "Accordingly," Mr. Jaworski coming criminal proceedings million to be raised to buy the The fifth tape sought by the able that widespread pretrial said, "we take no position on should the contents of these silence of the seven original committee was of a conversa-publicity prevents the Govern-whether the court should consubpoenaed conversations be Watergate defendants. Both the made public at an inappropriate President and Mr. Haldeman Dean has testified that on this offenses charged."

tion on Feb. 28, 1973, from ment from empaneling an unsider the danger of prejudicial biased jury for the trial of the pretrial publicity a decisive factor." have denied this.

31, 1973, that also included Presented to the grand jury.
He said that turning these care required by the decisions A meeting March 13, 1973, John D. Ehrlichman, then the over to the committee "would of this circuit, all defendants

day he told Mr. Nixon of his However, he concluded, "at He suggested, however, that The special Watergate prose- A meeting March 31, 1973, by role in the cover-up and that this time, it is impossible to copies and not the original tapes

tapes sought in common with used the tapes and concluded,

possible effects that going of Watergate and that Mr. Dean randum filed with the court to- He said that this depended uphold the committee subpoena.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 extent of the investigation into spoke of a "cancer" on the day, noted that all four of the on how the Senate committee

if the court should decide to