## Nixon's Tax Attorney Hints at 'New Facts'

By Kenneth Reich Los Angeles Times

the appraisal.

DeMarco said Wednesday recent testimony of appraiser Ralph Newman to investigat- 000 in taxes as a result of taking congressmen, testimony ing deductions for the gift of which so far has not been pub- the papers. That and other delicly disclosed, had given him ductions enabled him to pay new light on the question of less than \$6,000 in federal inwhether Mr. Nixon's gift was come taxes the last three legitimate for tax deduction years on a total income of purposes.

The tax attorney said he Secretary of State Edmund G. Brown Jr., where he had given was appraising them. a two-hour deposition on the matter Wednesday, he added:

plied with the law to the exand I think that the donation were removed. was good."

the new facts.

what he put in his affidavit in changed in July, 1969. fact was true," the attorney rewas there."

Newman could not reached for comment.

DeMarco has previously and notarizing it.

LOS ANGELES, Jan. 31-|claimed that the reason he did President Nixon's tax attor- not prepare a final copy of a ney, Frank DeMarco Jr., says deed to the papers until the that "some question" has year following a change in the arisen as to whether the man law that took away almost all who appraised Mr. Nixon's tax deductibility from such vice-presidential papers given gifts is that he was waiting for to the National Archives told Newman to appraise them and him the truth about details of give him a list of the documents that had been delivered to the Archives.

> Mr. Nixon has saved \$250, more than \$800,000.

Another controversy involvstill felt the gift was legally ing Newman's appraisal has to handled. But, emerging from do with certain papers the the office here of California Chicago expert removed from the Archives at the time he

According to DeMarco, Newman informed him that the pa-"Now some facts have devel- pers removed were "sensitive" oped in the last two weeks ones that should be retained which I didn't know existed at by the President and not actuthe time in 1970. But I don't ally given to the Archives. believe they change my opin- However, there have been sugion that he [Mr. Nixon] com- gestions in some quarters that the more valuable or indetent that was at all possible pendently marketable papers

An investigative source said DeMarco was asked about that the date on which Newman segregated the papers "Well, I think there's some that were not to be given may question now on some of the be a key as to whether the testimony developed in the papers had been legally deliv-East from the appraiser as to ered to the Archives before what he told me and [whether] the law on tax deductability

In the process of giving the plied. "It may very well be deposition, DeMarco was questhat he wasn't at the Archives tioned extensively by Brown on the dates he told me he aide Daniel H. Lowenstein on whether DeMarco had acted be legally in back-dating a final copy of the deed to the papers