## Watergate Prosecutors **To Call Dean as Witness**

## By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

firmed yesterday that they in-tend to call former White House counsel John W. Dean III as a witness in forthcoming trials despite claims that his testimony should be prohibited.

The issue was raised by President Nixon's former appointments secretary, Dwight L. Chapin, who has said that he intends to invoke the attorney-client privilege to block Dean's testimony.

In a reply memorandum filed in U.S. District Court here yesterday, Watergate Special Prosecutor Leon Jaworski denied that such a relationship existed.

He also indicated confidence in Dean's reliability despite sharp attacks on it yesterday from Senate Minority Leader Hugh Scott (R-Pa.).

Scott said secret materials he has seen at the White House convinced him that Dean should be charged with perjury for several statements implicating Mr. Nixon in the Watergate cover-up. Scott said secret materials perjury for several statements implicating Mr. Nixon in the

Watergate prosecutors re-portedly have found no basis for such charges against Dean. Jaworski refused to make any Jaworski refused to make any direct comment on Sen. mony, Jaworski asked that the Scott's allegations, but the controversy over it be settled special prosecutor made clear before trial so that he could special prosecutor made clear that h estill considers Dean a solid enough witness to testify

April 1 on four counts of lying to a federal grand jury during

Watergate prosecutors con- on that subject between de-

As White House counsel, "Mr. Dean's primary 'client' was the United States, and the office of the President, not the subordinate employees and officials employees and or-ficials employed at the White House," Jaworski said in his memo for U.S. Distict Judge Gerhard A. Gesell. In addition, the Watergate prosecutor said, Segretti's ac-tivities hed some under invest

tivities had come under inves-tigation by the FBI in the summer of 1972 and "were clearly a matter in which the United States had a direct and cubetnatial interact" substantial interest."

Federal law, Jaworski said, prohibits government officials from representing anyone in such circumstances. As a result, he argued, Chapin cannot invoke an attorney-client privi-lege even if Dean were advis-

fraudulent wrongdoing."

parently attach to Dean's testiappeal any adverse decision. Chapin has also contended

at Chapin's trial and presuma- that he cannot get a fair trial bly others. The court filing speaks for nantly black, Democratic pophantly black, Democratic pop-ulation would be "particularly ski said when asked if it dence in Dean's testimony. Chapin faces trial here April 1 on four counts of lying shifts of the trial on that here

April 1 on four counts of lying to a federal grand jury during questioning about the activi-ties of political saboteur Don-ald H. Segretti. 'Jaworski said the evidence the government plans to elicit from Dean involves Chapin's knowledge of Segretti's work and includes "communications" structions" from the court.