

Nixon's Income Tax Status Is Challenged in California

wilch helps to set property tax not apply to elected officials or rates in California, filed with the State Franchise Tax Board today "a complaint on behalf off California taxpayers" about the White House, not his home Mr. Nixon's state income tax status. Last year, Mr. Bennett theld the Board of Equalization's rotating seat on the Franchise Tax Board, which collects California income taxes nia income taxes.

No. Nixon's main residence is off their own collects California income taxes at conference report on the District of Columbia income tax status. Last year, Mr. Bennett the White House, not his home at the San Clemente.

"Every taxpayer must fall to with the Nixon sealized a profit of \$142,912 on the sale of their franchise Tax Board had not show where," Mr. Bennett asserted. He noted that the Sendate conference report on the District of Columbia income taxes are income taxes.

"Every taxpayer must fall to with the Nixon sealized a profit of \$142,912 on the sale of their franchise Tax Board had not show where," Mr. Bennett asserted. He noted that the Sendate conference report on the District of Columbia income tax sand that when the Nixons realized a profit of \$142,912 on the sale of their franchise Tax Board had not show where," Mr. Bennett asserted. He noted that the Sendate conference report on the District of Columbia income tax sand that when the Nixons realized a profit of \$142,912 on the sale of their franchise Tax Board had not say the York apartment in 1969, dealt with Mr. Nixon as it does that the year of the principal residence and thus enforced that the Sendate conference report on the Sendate confirmation, and that the sendate confirmation that the sendate confirmation that the sendate confirmation that the sendate conf

on Dec. 8, Mr. Nixon announced that he had not paid any state income tax in California, although he owns an estate in San Clemente. He also said he had paid no income taxes in the District of Columbia. Nor had he paid intangible to judge California income tax personal property taxes in Floriday. District of Columbia income tax law said it exempted only those who "have not of their own volition surrendered their domicile in the state;" otherwise, they would owe district taxes. Mr. Bennett contended that, by most of the criteria used bia. Nor had he paid intangible to judge California income tax law said it exempted only those who "have not of their own other working." Mr. Bennett contended that, by most of the criteria used bia. Nor had he paid intangible to judge California income tax law said it exempted only those who "have not of their own other working."

effort to collect state income taxes from the President.

William M. Bennett, a Democrat and an elected member of the State Board of Equalization, which helps to set property tax

Mr. Bennetts's complaint attacked the explanation offered in Mr. Nixon's behalf. That explanation was that District of Columbia income tax laws do not apply to elected officials or County swore that it was his

By WALLACE TURNER

Special to The New York Times

SAN FRANCISCO, Jan. 22
— California tax authorities were accused today by one of their own number of giving President Nixon favored treatment and of making no proper effort to collect state income taxes from the President.

William M. Bennett. a Demo-

able the Nixons to avoid the process.

tax, Mr. Bennet said.

"How can Richard M. Nixon consider avoid tax payments to New York or to Florida or to the District of Columbia or Calipriate process."

District of Columbia or Calipriate process.

Which was nording able to avoid the process.

Voted in California

Mr. Bennett's interest in the President's California tax situation began last September, when he, then a member of the Franchise Tax Board, wrote to Martin Huff, the board's chief executive officer, citing news accounts that suggested Mr. Nixon had made taxable profits on his San Clemente transactions and asked "to know what the staff is doing in this matter."

A month later, Mr. Huff told made in this case."

It is unclear what size the potential state tax claim against the Nixons might be. Estimates have ranged upward from \$20,000. Mr. Nixon's annual income as President is \$200,000. He also receives \$50, news accounts that suggested Mr. Nixon's tax matters have overtones in California politics. Mr. Flournoy is a candidate for the Republican nomination for the governorship. His colleague

chise Tax Board ended Jan. 1 although he is still a member of the Board of Equalization, which hears appeals from the

file is excused on any grounds, and if not, whether the appropriate penalties and charges which would be made against an ordinary taxpayer should be made in this case."

in this matter."

A month later, Mr. Huff told Mr. Bennett that individual board members could not initiate investigations and that the staff was aware of the publicity over Mr. Nixon's property dealings. In November, Mr. Bennett asked for in-income taxes.

the Republican nomination for the governorship. His colleague on the Franchise Tax Board, Verne Orr, was appointed state director of finance by Gov. Ronald Reagan, a Republican who has defended the President's nonpayment of Californian ber, Mr. Bennett asked for in-income taxes.