WXPost JAN 1 8 1974 White House **Asks Voiding** Of Tape Suit

By Timothy S. Robinson Washington Post Staff Writer

The White House asked a federal judge here yesterday to dismiss a Senate Watergate committee attempt to gain access to five White House Watergate tapes, claiming that the committee is exceeding its investigative authority.

"... This is a classic example of a political question, which is clearly inappropriate for judicial resolution," White House lawyers said in a 52—page brief filed in U.S. Dis-

trict Court here.

In the brief, the White House lawyers leaned heavily on what they called the President's "power to withhold information from Congress... (that) he determines to be country to the public interest."

A suit filed last summer by the Watergate committee, in an attempt to get access to the five tapes was dismissed by U.S. District Judge John J. Sirica, who claimed the court had no jurisdiction in the case.

Congress later passed a law specifically authorizing the suit that became law without the Prseident's signature, and filed an amended complaint saying that the tapes were necessary because the committee was considering far-reaching legislation that could include such measures as a limitation on a President's term of office.

The suit, now assigned to U.S. District Judge Gerhard Gesell, is an attempt to force the senate's subpoenas on five specific records and several documents. Senate committee attorneys have said that if they win this suit, they will then try to enforce a subpoena for more than 500 White House tapes and documents.

Much of the subpoenaed ma-See COMMITTEE, A6, Col. 2

COMMITTEE, From A1

terial, including the tapes, has been turned over to the Watergate special prosecutor and to a grand jury.

"There is no frustration of any law enforcement activity or judicial proceeding. There is, however, a determination by the President that these plaintiffs (the committee) not be allowed to undercut the independence and integrity of the executive branch," the attorneys said in their brief. Saying the materials aren't needed by the committee to

indict or accuse guilty persons, said, because it is a "political two co-equal branches of govthe attorneys added: "That is question." the role of a grand jury, and properly so, since it is in-cision by Sirica that resulted comprehensible that formal in portions of the same tapes claims of executive privilege being turned over to a grand would be overruled each time jury was not comparable to a congressional committee de-the Senate request. The Sirica cided to investigate imagined executive wrongdoing."

executive wrongdoing."

While saying they had "very serious doubts about the constitutionality" of the law authorizing the suit, White House attorneys said they would assume for the sake of argument that it was legal argument that it was legal.

However, they contended that the court should not become "embroiled . . . in what is essentially a confrontation between the executive and legislative branches of this gov-

The courts cannot rule on its political the problem, the attorneys to ... determine

They said that an earlier dedecision was upheld by the U.S. Court of Appals.

"The committee has made the political decision, albeit under color of law, to make an unprecedented demand on the President. The President has considered the demand and made the political determination that compliance would be contrary to the public interest," the attorneys said.

The committee is asking the purt to "referee this court dispute . . (and its political court . (and) substitute judgment

ernment should prevail.

"Such a determination by a court is constitutionally impermissible and violates the most basic tenets of the separation of powers," they said.
In support of its claim that

the committee is exceeding its authority, the White House brief commented that "Congress is not a law en-forcement or trial agency."

"Accordingly, the commit-tee's mandate was to identify illegal, improper or unethical activities and recommend corrective legislation, not to re-solve the conflicts in the evidence and adjudicate questions of guilt or innocence," the White House attorneys said.

"Such an inquiry is not germane to the committee's legis-lative purpose and is outside which of its charge. Clearly (the com-

mittee members) can honor tertainment," they said in an serted the privilege, and, out access to the tapes," they added.

Saying that the committee had not been "unduly frus-cently. trated" in carrying out what it had called its "informing function," the attorneys pointed out that many of the President's right to withhold the confidentiality of communications: with his advisers. dent's closest aides and advisers have given public testimony without claiming privilege.

President's right to withhold the confidentiality of communications: with his advisers. "What is really at stake is the ability of constitutional officers of government to perform the province of the p

fore private and personal dis-cussions into cocktail party en- "Presidents repeatedly

a Georgetown gathering re- mands."

More than half of the brief, however, was in support of the

tents of White House tapes should be disclosed publicly.

"The public disclosure of conversations and memoranda that were always intended to lawyers said.

The private has a tendency to the tent of the private has a tendency to the private has a

their legislative mandate with- apparent reference to a private when forced to a showdown, attorney's playing of five min- Congress has always yielded utes of a White House tape at and ceased to press its de-

They said also that it is "absolutely essential that the President be able to protect

dent's closest aides and advisers have given public testimony without claiming privilege.

In addition, they made it clear they did not feel the contents of White House tapes should be disclosed publicly.

"The public of the contents of with his advisers to with his advisers.

"What is really at stake is the ability of constitutional officers of government to perform their duties under conditions that will make it possible for them to function to the contents of White House tapes should be disclosed publicly.

for them to function to the best of their ability," they added.

For this to be achieved, a president should know that his advisers "can speak freely to him without fear of being summoned before some tribus. that were always intended to be private has a tendency to degrade and ridicule the presidency by transforming heretofore private and personal dissummoned before some tribu-, as- lawyers said.