Joseph Kraft

WXPost

Jaworski: Safeguarding the Watergate Evidence... JAN 1 7 1974

ducted by the House Judiciary Committee. But I come away from a chat widely reported far less adamant on the subject than with Mr. Jaworski convinced that he is impeachment inquiry now being conthe fruits of his investigation to the Jaworski, from casually turning over the Watergate special prosecutor, Leon Weighty legal considerations prevent

including the vital White House tapes. out a compromise on all evidence inmittee counsel, John Doar, can work son. My sense is that he and the com-He does not want his own investiga-tion either to block the impeachment proceeding or to prolong it beyond rea-

what comes naturally to the 39 repreany newsy items given to the full com-Committee. That is leaking to the press sentatives who make up the Judiciary At the heart of the problem there is

ures implicated in Watergate. He expects to bring indictments in the the question of pretrial publicity. Mr. Jaworski believes that he has solid cases against many of the major figconvictions. He believes he is going to get early next few weeks and to go to trial on at least some of the cases in March. Such leaks would immediately raise

Judiciary Committee leaked before trial, the defendants would have a But if evidence handed over to the

> ready-made argument that the cases can get off on a plea of pretrial is using evidence against many of the defendants to get them to talk about should be thrown out because of preto talk now. publicity, they are that much less likely dent. If higher-ups, not excluding the Presitrial publicity. Moreover, Mr. Jawarski the defendants believe they

assured it would be treated on the In addition, there is the question of grand jury confidentiality. Mr. Jaworsame confidential basis. is required for criminal indictments. he will disclose only such evidence as ecutor bound by an understanding that ski has obtained information as a pros-He could not properly give that infor-

tion has already been submitted to the grand jury. It thus belongs to the Watergate case, John Sirica. judge hearing the Watergate case, The more so as some of the informa-

tee without judicial approval. under court order could not be turned over to the special prosecutor under court order, after a judicial test. The tapes and other documents received over to another congressional commitaccess to he tapes. So, in fairness, the grant the Senate Watergate committee Finally, there is the case of the White House tapes. They were turned federal courts specifically refused to

ations, Mr. Jaworski is perfectly alert While sensitive to all these consider-

> many, many months before it could, working on its own, amass the same peachment proceeding. own responsibilities as a prosecutor would take the House committee Mr. Jaworski does not argue that his with a special congressional mandate, evidence. While very strong on his quiry. He understands that the matetask is more important than an imimpeachment proceeding. He knows it rial he has gathered is relevant to an

and ask for a ruling on evidence gathered for the grand jury. Sumquestioning witnesses but not for direct In these conditions, there is an obvious middle way for cooperation beand confidentiality preserved. given to Mr. Doar with the proviso that maries of the material could be that way the inquiry could be speeded release to the Judiciary Committee. In they could be used in summoning and They can together go to Judge Sirica tween Mr. Jaworski and Mr. Doar.

ment proceeding, which is specifically ordained in the Constitution, over any seems very likely that the courts will doubtedly have to subpoena them and, presumably, he will have to fight the uphold the primacy of an impeachsociated documents, Mr. Doar will un-White House in a court case. But it As to the White House tapes and as-

to the inadvertent consequences of standing hard and fast on refusal to cooperate with the impeachment in-



Leon Jaworski

and papers that ought to be subpoeerably by indentifing for him the tapes could shorten Mr. Doar's labors considprivilege the White House might argue. In the meantime, Mr. Jaworski naed

can acquire evidence on a confidential ments of the Watergate special prosecutor and the needs of the impeachmittee so that the professional staff arrangements inside the House combasis. so much ment proceeding. The problem is not dation can be made between require-What all this says is that accommo-Mr. Jaworski, as making

© 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc.