WAYOSt Hughes Aide Says Nixon Was Present at Gift Talk

By Lawrence Meyer Washington Post Staff Writer

An aide to billionaire How-|statement that Nixon was presard Hughes has told the Sen-ate select Watergate commit-tee that Richard Nixon was present at a 1968 meeting when a request was made for a Nixon campaign contri-a Nixon thughes sources Former." a Nixon campaign contri-bution from Hughes, sources close to the committee said yesterday.

According to one source, Richard Danner, a Hughes aide, testified under oath to the Senate committee staff in a closed session that he could not recall whether the request for money from Hughes came from Mr. Nixon himself or from his friend, Charles G. (Bebe) Rebozo, who also was present

Another source, familiar with Danner's secret testi-mony, said he could not recall any statement by Danner that Mr. Nixon personally asked for the contribution. "Danner's

COMMITTEE, From A1

flicting accounts also have been given concerning the purpose of the contributions.

Rebozo has told the Senate committee in secret testimony that he kept the money in a safe deposit box for three years before returning it to a Hughes emissary in June, 1973.

Danner, who joined Hughes Danner, who joined Hughes Dater, Danner said in the organization in 1969 and now deposition, word came back to manages the Hughes' Sands him that "\$50,000 had been hotel in Las Vegas, issued a greed upon." Danner's deposition does not mention Mr. statement through a spokes-man last night denying re-ports that Mr. Nixon had asked for a contribution in nece President Nixon said he 1968. In his statement, Danner listed the following "facts."

Although he may have been present at some discussions ical contributions. "I have reabout fund-raising in 1968, Mr. Nixon did not ask me to raise \$50,000 or any other amount from Mr. Hughes or anyone about discussion of contribuelse.

I joined the Hughes organization.

"I did deliver two contribu-tions of \$50,000 each for the 1970 congressional campaign. That money was turned over to Mr. Rebozo and was later re-turned by him for reasons he Hurbes side who once ran

asked or received in connec-sition, made in July, 1973, that tion with these political con- the first \$50,000 was intended tributions. We did not invent the system of political fund-paign. Maheu, however, said raising, and there was nothing the second \$50,000 was related wrong, morally or legally, in our activities."

Senate committee sources described Danner as being un-certain about several distriction of the second several distriction of the second several distribution of the sever certain about several details delivered to Rebozo in August, of the 1968 meeting with 1970, at the Western White Nixon and Rebozo, including House in San Clemente, Ma-the time and location of the heu said, then Attorney Gen-session. One committee source eral John N. Mitchell granted said that he did not think the a request, over the antitrust 1968 discussion of the contrib ution "was a lengthy and long Hughes to acquire the Dunes discussion. It did not take long Hotel in Las Vegas. The transto say what was said."

White House press secretary,

Danner's testimony in the closed committee session last month, as described by the Senate committee sources, appears to conflict with earlier sworn statements by Danner and may also conflict with statements made by President Nixon at an Oct. 26 press conference.

The committee has been investigating a \$100,000 cash contribution made by Hughes, through Danner, to Rebozo. The payments were made in two installments of \$50,000 each in 1969 and 1970. Con-

See COMMITTEE, A6, Col. 1

In a sworn deposition given by Danner last September as part of a lawsuit involving the Hughes financial empire, Danner told of a meeting during the 1968 campaign in which he, Rebozo and a Hughes law-yer discussed "the possibility of the Hughes organization making a contribution to the Nixon campaign."

Later, Danner said in the

ence, President Nixon said he adhered to strict personal rules for the handling of politany discussion of contributions.'

on fund-raising matters, and that early activity was before was contributed by Hughes for I joined the Hughes on the subscription of the subscript Nixon's 1972 re-election campaign.

Mr. Rebozo and was later re-turned by him for reasons he considered valid. "No special favors were ever said in another sworn depoto an antitrust problem that

action fell through, however.