Excerpts From White House Analysis

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 26-Following are excerpts from the White House analysis and claims of executive privilege for subpoenaed tape recordings, submitted today to United States District Judge John J. Siricas

PART I

Item 1 (A) of the subpoena relates to meeting of June 20, 1972, in the President's Executive Office Building ("EOB") office involving Richard Nixon, John Ehrlichman and H. R. Haldeman from 10:30 A.M. to noon (time approximate).

The President's daily diary

The President's daily diary log for June 20, 1972 (Exhibit 13), shows that the President met alone with his assistant John D. Ehrlichman, from 10:25 to 11:30 A.M. in his "EOB" office. Subsequently, the President met with his assistant H. P. Haldeman from sistant, H. R. Haldeman from 11:26 A.M. to 12:45 P.M. in his "EOB" office. Conversation between the

President and John D. Ehrlichman, from 10:25 to 11:2 0A.M. in the President's "EOB" of-

conversation primarily to the higher education bill then under concation bill then under consideration by the Congress. Other subjects discussed include school busing, the Supreme Court decision (U. S. v. U. S. District Court) on national security wiretapping, press conferences and press formats, legislative action on proposals for welfare reform and the Stockholm conference on environment. reform and the Stockholm conference on environment. During the conversation, the President conversed on the telephone with a deputy assistant, Edward L. Morgan, about the higher education legislation legislation.

There is no discussion or omment which relates, either directly or indirectly, to the incident at the Democratic National Committee offices at Watergate which occurred a few days prior to the conversation.

'Nothing Relates to Watergate' The conversation recorded The conversation recorded on this tape consists of ad-vice to the President by his then senior assistant for do-mestic affairs on official pol-icy decisions then pending before the President, and the conveyance to the President by his assistant of the advice by his assistant of the advice of other identified persons within the Administration on the same matters. Nothing in the conversation relates to Watergate or anything con-nected therewith.

The President believes that

The President believes that the conversation is subject in its entirety to a claim of executive privilege in order to protect the confidentiality of advice given to the President. There is nothing in this conversation "concerning possible criminal conduct or discussions of pagainly crim discussions of possible criminal conduct" as to testimony

concerning which the President announced he would not invoke executive privilege on May 22, 1973.

Haldeman-Nixon

Conversation between the President and his assistant, H. R. Haldeman, from 11:26 A.M. to 12:45 P.M. in the President's "EOB" office.

This conversation was re-

This conversation was recorded on tape by the sound-actuated recording system described in testimony in the evidentiary hearing held by this court, and the tape re-cording is being submitted as Item I.B.1. covered by the subpoena.

This conversation relates primarily to scheduling and travel. For a portion of this recording, in lieu of any audible conversation, there is a constant hum. (See "B.I. (c), constant hum. (See "B.1. (C), below.). At one point during the meeting the President spoke on the telephone to his daughter, Julie. None of the recorded conversation relates to Watergate

to Watergate.

There is a lapsed playing time on the tape of approximately 3 minutes and 10 seconds, during which the President requests consommé and speaks to the steward about minor administrative matters. The tape records various noises of movement. The playing of the tape recordin from the point where H. R. Haldeman enters the office to his departure is approximately 54 minutes and 49 seconds. The playing time of the tape preceding significant portions of the conversation are as follows:

EVENT-Playing time from beginning of recording of this

conversation.
Start of "hum" signal: 3
minutes 40 seconds.
End of "hum" signal: 21
minutes 55 seconds.

Telephone conversation: 47 minutes 0 seconds.

It is believed that the hum which occurs approximately 3 minutes and 40 seconds from the beginning of this conversation between President Nixon and H. R. Halde-man, and which continues for approximately 18 minutes and 15 seconds, was caused by the depression of a record button during the process of reviewing the tape, possibly while the recorder was in the proximity of an electric type writer and a high intensity

The incident was detected and reported when made to and reported when made to the President, and shortly thereafter to White House counsel, J. Fred Buzhardt, as having occurred on a portion of the tape recording subse-quent to that of the meeting between the President and John Ehrlichman, which at that time and until November 14, 1973, was believed to be the only part of that recordthe only part of that recording subpoenaed. The incident

was therefore believed to be

was therefore believed to be inconsequential.

The delay in discovering that the incident affected a portion of the tape containing a subpoenaed conversation was du to the ambiguity of the language of the subpoena. The applicable portion of the subpoena dated July

of the subpoena, dated July 23, 1973, is:

"I. All tapes and other electronic and or mechanical recordings or reproductions, and any memoranda, papers, and other write."

and any memoranda, papers, transcripts and other writings, relating to:

"(A) Meeting of June 20, 1972, in the President's Executive Office Building ("EOB") office involving Richard Nixon, John Ehrlichman and H. R. Haldeman from 10:30 A.M. to noon (time approximate.)" mate.)

In the remainder of the In the remainder of the subpoena applicable to tape recordings, each separate meeting is subpoenaed as a separate item. Accordingly, Item (a) of the subpoena was initially assumed to be applicable to only one meeting.

An examination of the

An examination of the President's daily log revealed that there was no meeting with the President on the morning of June 20, 1972, in which both Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Haldeman participated.

Mr. Ehrlichman, however, met with the President from 10:25 A.M. to 11:20 A.M. This meeting most nearly coincided meeting most nearly coincided with the time specified in the subpoenas.

Testimony by 2 Aides

In addition, the public testimony given by Messrs. Halde-man and Ehrlichman indicated that it was Mr. Ehrlichman's conversation in which the special prosecutor would have an interest.

White House counsel is not aware of any testimony given by Mr. Haldeman relating to a meeting with the President on June 20, 1972.

Mr. Ehrlichman, however, was examined in some detail by the Senate Select Commit-tee on his meeting with the President on June 20. On July 24, 1973, Mr. Ehrlichman tes-24, 1973, Mr. Ehrlichman testified, in answer to questions by Senator Baker, that he had no recollection or notes of having discussed Watergate at this meeting with the President, but rather, met with the President because he "needed some decisions and "needed some decisions and some marching orders" on a particular legislative subsubsequently, on July 30, 1973, Mr. Ehrlichman testified:

"I told Senator Baker, I believe, the other day that Watergate was not dis-cussed at the meeting and since then I have rechecked what sketchy notes I have and I find I was in error on that. I am sure there must have been some discussion of the Watergate with the President on that occasion on the 20th."

Memorandum of Prosecutor

It was not until the evening of Wednesday, November 14, 1973, when copies of the subpoenaed tapes were provided for White House counsel's use in preparing the index and analysis required under the court's direction, that all materials remotely relating to the subpoenaed conversations were reviewed to assist in preparing the analysis. Among the ing the analysis. Among the materials then reviewed was the opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, Nixon v. Sirica, decided October 12, 1973. Appendix II, beginning at page 48 of the opinion is a memorandum riled by the species proseculopinion is a memorandum filed by the special prosecu-tor with this court on August 13, 1973. The first numbered item of that memorandum is as follows:

"1. Meeting of June 20, 1972. Respondent met with John D. Ehrlichman and H. R. Haldeman in his old H. R. Haldeman in his old Executive Office Building office on June 20, 1972, from 10:30 A.M. until ap-proximately 12:45 P.M. There is every reason to infer that the meeting in infer that the meeting included discussion of the Watergate incident. The break-in had occurred on June 17—just three days earlier. Dean did not return to Washington until June 18. Mitchell, Haldeman and LaRue had also been out of town and did not return until late on June 19.

"Farly on the morning

June 19.

"Early on the morning of June 20, Haldeman, Ehrlichman, Mitchell, Dean and Attorney General Kleindienst met in the White House. This was their first opportunity for full discussion of how to handle the Watergate incident, and Ehrlichman has testified that Watergate testified that Watergate testified that watergate was indeed the primary subject of the meeting. From there, Ehrlichman and then Haldeman went and then Haldeman went to see the President. The inference that they reported on Watergate, and may well have received instructions, is almost irresistible. The inference is confirmed by Ehrlichman's public testimony that the discussion with respondent included both Watergate and Government wiretapping. The contemporary and Government wiretap-ping. The contemporary evidence of that meeting should show the extent of the knowledge of the ille-gal activity by the par-

and Executive Privilege Claims for Tap

ticipants or any effort to conceal the truth from the respondent."

Only the most careful reading of even this memorandum discloses that the special prosecutor seeks a special prosecutor seeks a recording of more than one meeting on June 20, 1972. Only two indicators are present. First, the ending time of the "meeting" in the memorandum is 12:45 P.M., rather than the approximation of "noon" as specified in the subpoena. Second, the word "then" in the sentence "From there, Ehrlichman and then Haldeman went to see then Haldeman went to see the President" indicated the special prosecutor sought recordings of two sequential meetings.

Inquiry to Buzhardt

White House special counsel J. Fred Buzhardt received an inquiry on September 23, 1973, as to the conversation covered by the first item of the subpoena, to which he replied that the conversation replied that the conversation at the meeting with Ehrlichman was what was involved, and that the special prosecutor must have been mistaken in assuming that Halderman also was in the meeting. This response was relayed to the President and to Mr. Steve Bull.

The conversation on the

The conversation on the tape recording of the meeting between H. R. Halderman and the President consists of advice to the President by a renior adviser on official decisions then pending before the President Mone of the conversation recorded related

to Waternate.
The President helieves that the conversation is subject in its entirety to a claim of executive privilege in order to protect the confidentiality of advice given to the President There is nothing in ident. There is nothing in this conversation "concerning possible criminal condu ing possible criminal conductor discussions of possible criminal conduct" as to testimony concerning which the President announced he would not invoke executive privilege on May 22, 1973.

A file search has disclosed begungten notes of H. R.

handwritten notes of H. R. Haldeman, which from the identifying marks and the content indicate the notes were made by H. R. Haldeman during the meeting with man during the meeting with the President on June 20, 1972, between 11:26 A.M. and 12:45 P.M. The notes are on two pages of paper from a yellow legal pad. These notes are being submitted. The notes reflect that the President gave instructhe President gave instruc-tions to Mr. Haldeman to take certain actions of a public relations character which related to the Watergate incident.

PART II Mitchell Phone Conversation

Item 1 (b) of the subpoena relates to "telephone conversation of June 20, 1972, between Richard Nixon and John N. Mitchell from 6:08 to 6:12 P.M."

The only material relating The only material relating to this conversation is a dictating belt of his recollections dictated by the President as a part of his personal diary on June 20, 1972, at 8:30 P.M., in which the President referred to his telephone conversation with John N. Mitchall That portion of the diameter. versation with John N. Mitchell. That portion of the dictating belt to and including the reference to the telephone conversation with John N. Mitchell is being submitted.

That portion of the dictating belt on which the Precion

ing belt on which the President dictated recollections of the conversation with John N. Mitchell does contain references to Watergate.

he President's comments relating to the Mitchell conversation begin at 2 minutes 22 seconds playing time from the beginning of the dictating belt and end at 2 minutes 45 seconds playing time from the beginning of the dicta-tion

PART III

June 30 Meeting

Item 1(c) of the subpoena related to a "meeting of June 30, 1972, in the President's "EOB" office, involving Messrs. Nixon, Haldeman and Mitchell from 12:55 to 2:10

This conversation, recorded on tape, occurred at a luncheon in the President's ed on tape, occurred at a luncheon in the President's "EOB" office, attended by President Nixon, John N. Mitchell and H. R. Haldeman, on June 30, 1972, immediately prior to the announcement of the resignation of John N. Mitchell as chairman of of the resignation of the N. Mitchell as chairman of the Committee to Re-elect the President and the appointment of Clark MacGregor as his succesor.

or as his succesor, primarily to the reasons for, the timing of, and the procedures for, Mitchell's announcement of his resignation, and the choice and announcement of his research nouncement of his successor. There are a few passing and collateral references to Watergate which are not substantive. There is an incomstatuve. There is an incoming telephone call just prior to the conversation. At the end of the conversation, the President indicates his inten-

tion to take a short nap.

The playing time for the tape recording of this con-

versation is approximately 1 hour 9 minutes and 44 seconds.

The conversation recorded consists of advice to the President by his senior staff assistant and his former Atassistant and ms former At-torney General relating to matters which had a direct bearing on the President's ability to operate his office and conduct his official business at that time. The conversation includes discussions of highly personal mat-

The President believes that The President believes that the conversation is subject in its entirety to a valid claim of executive privilege in order to protect the confidentiality of the matters discussed. There is nothing in this conversation "concerning possible criminal conduct" as to testimony concerning which the Presiconcerning which the Presi-dent announced he would not invoke executive privi-lege on May 22, 1973.

PART IV

Item 1 (d) of the subpoena relates to a "meeting of Sep-tember 15, 1972, in the President's Oval Office involving Mr. Nixon, Mr. Haldeman and John W. Dean 3d from 5:27 to 6:17 P.M."

This conversation was re-corded on tape. John W. Dean 3d, then counsel to the Pean 3d, then counsel to the President, entered the President's Oval Office at approximately 5:27 P.M. On September 15, 1972, during a meeting then in progress between the President and his assist the President and his assistant, H.R. Haldeman. He remained in the Oval Office, as did the President and Mr. as did the President and Mr. Haldeman, until approximately 6:17 P.M., at which time the President left by automobile for the Washington Navy Yard.

Earlier in the day, the grand jury had returned indictments on seven persons

dictments on seven persons in connection with the entry into the Democratic National Committee offices at the Watergate apartments.

Watergate apartments.

For the first approximately 33 minutes and 9 seconds after Dean entered the Oval Office, the conversation involves subjects directly or indirectly related to the Watergate matter. Included are discussions of the indictments, the time of the pending trials, the civil cases connected with the incident and nected with the incident and potential Congressional committee inquiries into the mat-ter, as well as press cover-age of the matter. After the age of the matter. After the first approximately 33 min-utes and 9 seconds of the conversation, the conversa-tion turns to other subjects within the President's official

cognizance not directly or indirectly related to the Watergate matter.

The playing time for the tape recording of this conversation is approximately.

versation is approximately 48 minutes 44 seconds. The only significant event is the end of discussion of Watergate related matters approximately 33 minutes and 9 seconds playing time from the beginning of the recording

ing.

The President believes that recorded the conversation recorded following the first 33 minutes 9 seconds of playing time is subject to a claim of executive privilege in order to protext the confidentiality of the advice and counsel provided to the President.

PART V March 13 Meeting

Item 1(e) of the subpoena relates to a "meeting of March 13, 1973, in the President's Oval Office involving Messrs. Nixon, Dean and Haldeman from 12:42 to 2:00 P.M."