1 Believe...Doubt Will Be Removed CAG & 1 AON

sing White House tapes: Nixon yesterday on the mis-Statement by President

and why they were not re-corded. The purpose of this that will help determine the sations subpoenaed by substance of all nine converoffer information to the Court certain steps I will take to those doubts and to spell out statement is to help dispel pened to these conversations arisen about just what haprecording system, doubts have corded on the White House public disclosure, two weeks the President were not reago, that two conversations of As a consequence of the the

ell, on June 20, 1972. The second is a meeting of 55 April 15, 1973. in the evening of Sunday, torney General, John Mitchsation with the former Atminutes with John Dean, late first is a four-minute converwhich were not recorded. The tions requested by the Courts tapes. There are two conversa-First, there are no missing

conversations were never restatements that these two satisfaction the truth of our ation of the testimony and the Court concludes its evalucorded. In fact, there is no mind but that the open Court documentary evidence, public contrary. I believe that when affirmative evidence to the will demonstrate to the Court's hearing, now being conducted There is no question in my der.

doubt on this issue will be

so that misconceptions about ment about this proceeding American public. this matter do not persist that day had taken place prior facts are not presented to the in reviewing the recordings of simply because certain basic important to make a state-

the substance of the two un ported certain facts to me recorded conversations. That prior to March 21, 1973. In material was requested only late April, 1973, I asked H.R. by the Special Prosecutor, and Haldeman to listen and report conversations was necessary present for a substantial por-for completion of the Water tion of time My primary purthe Court, who believed the on the conversation of March substance of nine presidential 21, 1973, in which he had been gate investigation. Committee did not subpoena ollection that he had not re-First, the Senate Select Dean was to confirm my rec-

We are complying fully with listen to this tape was to conthe Federal Court decision. In firm my recollection that seven of nine instances, the March 21, 1973, was the date in which John Dean particiversation is being submitted; reported certain facts to me. actual recording of the con- on which John Dean had first 21, 1973, one on March 22, 1973. For all nine conversapated—September 15, March 13, 1973, two on March this includes 5 conversations are being provided in acmade of the conversations cordance with the Court ornotes and memoranda as na, such contemporaneous tions covered by the subpoe-1972, began a review of the tape recordings subpoenaed by the Special Prosecutor for and reports to the contrary that John Dean and I had encire truth. to what was the precise and Watergate — and I wanted met 30 or 40 times to discuss - one of them suggesting to refresh my recollection as

should be place in chronoand by others on my behalf, logical perspective. ters, the issue of when and tions were listened to by me why the recorded Before discussing these matconversa-Supreme Court, litigate the matter up to the Supreme Court, if necessary, to protect the right of my deliberate intention to The reason was, it had been Senate Select Committee the grand jury and by the

completely and satisfactorily to the tape recordings of a removed. In the meantime, I believe it with John Dean in order to versations to which I listened discussions. All of the conto March 21, 1973. My purpose refresh my memory of those On June 4, 1973, I listened compromise.

pose in having Mr. Haldeman my conversations with Mr Stennis. That approaches which

question had not been re-It was during this process that I first became aware of the possibility that two of the ten conversations

There had been rumors

completed until October 27 ordered a further search for of the eight recorded conrecordings of the two convestigation were not finally recorded. The search and incumstances which caused an investigation into the cirversations in question and versations and subsequently the conversations not to be I proceeded with a review

On September 29, 1973, I

One of the conversations which no recording

confidentiality and the rela-ted principle of separation ter served by a reasonable the conclusion that the na ber, however, I had come to of powers. By late Septem tional interest would be bet

stance of the relevant re-corded conversations, leavas the "Stennis Compro-mise" — turning over to both the Senate Committee and Vice Chairman, proved offer, accepted by the Senate Committee Chairman ing the verification of the and the Court the full subbegan to consider various Prosecutor. unacceptable to the Special what has come to be known that substance to Senator precision and accuracy of Thus, in late September, I compromise led to

corded.

for

could be found was a four-House were those made in residence of which were recorded in the The only telephone calls the evening of June 20, 1972. made to John Mitchell or minute telephone call I the

that morning.

the Lincoln Sitting Room ephone conversations in the which I use as an office. Tel-

quently it was not recorded. in to dinner, and consequarters just before going ell was one that I made on the telephone in the family phone call with John Mitch. conversation with

Administration. The been recorded during this family quarters have never

tele-

weekends. However, on the Office Building office on conversation the tape ran out. Normally, I see very April 15, 1973, which was in that office on Sunday, few people in my Executive Kleindienst. During also contains a portion of Saturday, April 14, 1973. Office Building office on versations in my Executive Sunday, April 15, 1973, conand ran out earlier in the the first conversation I had tains recordings of the conthe operating recorder on day. The tape which was on for my Executive Office Building office was used up on the recording machine recorded because the tape John Dean on Sunday evening, April 15, 1973, was not Attorney General

> quired a lengthy meeting tional Security Affairs late with my Assistant for national developments re-Building. In addition, interduring the day in my office cussions with staff members in the Executive Office unusual and unanticipated. led me to have lengthy dismorning of April 14, 1973, by my staff early in Certain reports made to me Office Building office was the activity in my Executive weekend of April 14 and 15, the

fice had run out during my afternoon meeting with Atrecorded. the Dean meeting was not torney General Kleindienst ecutive Office Building ofon the recorder for my of a break of about two evening with the exception hours for dinner. I did not meet with John Dean until continued until late in the that evening. Since the tape approximately nine o'clock Thereafter the meetings torney General Kleindienst. first meeting was with about one o'clock, p.m. The meetings in my Executive 1 began another series Office Building office at On Sunday, April 15, 1973, began another series of

Mitchell on June 20, conversations with including the unrecorded conversations in question view. In recent days, in an ings of specified conversa-tions. The Court order spells light on the substance of the that might shed further has been made for materials the Court, a diligent search effort to locate materials for Judge Sirica's private returning materials over for out a detailed procedure for randa in addition to recordsuch as notes and memothat the Court order calls It should be pointed out evidentiary materials

and with John Dean, on the

evening of April 15, 1973.

Since I have been in office, I have maintained a personal diary file which consists of notes which I have personally taken during meetings and of dictation belts on which I record recollections. The dictation belts and notes are placed in my personal diary file by my secretary. They are sealed under specific instructions that they not be transcribed.

In the course of searching my personal diary files, I

have located a dictation belt that I indicated at 8:30 p.m. on June 20, 1972, on which, among other activites of the day, I referred to a tele-phone call with John Mitchell. The portion of the belt relating to the conversation with John Mitchell will be submitted to the Court.

We have also located the dictation belt of my recollections of the conversations in question for March 21, 1973 and the relevant portions of these recollections together with the actual recordings of the conversations, of course, will also be submitted to the Court in compliance with its order.

Over the weekend of November 4 and 5, 1973, upon checking my personal diary file for April 15, 1973, to locate information to be produced in accordance with the Court's order. I found that Court's order, I found that my file for that day consists of personal notes of the con-versation held with John Dean the evening of April 15, 1973, but not a dictation belt. My original handwritten notes, made during my meeting with John Dean on the evening of April 15, 1973, will be submitted to the Court.

On June 11, 1973, the Special Prosecutor requested a tape of a conversation I had with John Dean on April 15, 1973, (which I had previously offered to let Assistant Attorney General Petersen hear).

As has been pointed out. my personal diary file consists of notes of conversa-tions and dictation belts of recollections, and I believed in June that I had dictated my recollections of April 15, 1973, of conversations which occurred on that day. The response to the Special Prosecutor made on June 16, 1973, referred to such a dictation belt. At that time, however, I did not review my file to confirm that it contained the belt.

I have made a diligent search for other evidentiary materials that might shed light on the substance of my conversation with John Dean on the evening of April 15, 1973. Other than my contemporaneous notes of that meeting mentioned above, I have found no such evidence. However, I did meet with John Dean on

Monday, April 16, 1973, on two occasions. The first was in the morning in the Oval Office; the second was in the afternoon in the Executive Office Building office. This was my final meeting with Mr. Dean before he left the White House staff. Both of these conversations were recorded on the White House recording system. I recently reviewed the re-cordings of these conversations. A comparison of my notes of the April 15, 1973, meeting and the recording of the conversation with Mr. Dean on the morning of April 16, 1973, shows both conversations covered much the same subject matter. There There are references throughout the conversation on the morning of April 16 to the conversation held the evening before.

I shall voluntarily submit to the Court, under the procedures applicable to recordings of conversations already covered by the Court order, these recordings of my two conversations with John Dean on April 16, 1973.

In addition, as stated above and consistent with the Court order, the Court will be provided with:

(1) The portion of the (1) The portion of the dictation belt containing my recollection of the June 20, 1972 conversation with Mr. Mitchell.

(2) The portion of the dictation belt of my recollections of the meetings.

lections of the meetings with Mr. Dean on March 21. 1973. (3)

Contemporaneous notes from the April 15, 1973 conversation with

Mr. Dean.

(4) All other materials covered by the Court or-

I have also authorized my Counsel to make available to the Court certain tape recordings not covered by the cordings not covered by the Court order to assist the Court in verifying that the two conversations in question were not recorded. The additional tape recordings to be provided are (a) the full reel of telephone recordings covering the period of June 20, 1972, and (b) the of June 20, 1972, and (b) the two reels of tape which were on the recorders for my Executive Office Building office on April 15, 1973. This will permit the Court to check the sequence of the conversations. conversations against my daily logs of meetings and telephone conversations already provided to the Court, and thus further demonstrate that the Mitchell and Dean conversations in question were not recorded.

I have also agreed that a group of Court-approved independent experts employ-ing the most advanced techamine all tapes in question for any evidence of alterations to the tapes.

It is my hope that these steps will clear that

steps will clear up this aspect of the Watergate matter once and for all.