awyers Cast Doubt on Admissibility

By WARREN WEAVER Jr.

on and aides went inadvertently

to introduce them into evidence of Mr. Haldeman's because sworn testimony before Judge Sirica during the

WASHINGTON, Nov. 11—
Federal court hearings on the secret White House tapes have raised serious doubt among legal authorities that many of the tapes will ever be usable as evidence in Watergate criminal trials.

The fact-finding sessions before Judge John J. Sirica, which go into their third week tomorow, have failed thus far to establish whether two conversations between President Nixon and aides went to the secret service and the secret sever that the Watergate grand to the special Watergate prosecution force, can issue formal accusations that rely on evidence too sketchy to meet the formal adecusations between President Nixon and aides went to stablish whether two conversations between President Nixon and aides went to stablish whether two conversations between President Nixon and aides went to stablish whether two conversations between President Nixon and aides went testimony before Judge Sirica during the last two weeks had last serious guarded. The house was empty except for Mr. Haldeman because the family to which he had rented it after his resignation from the Nixon staff was out of town.

During this same period, when the Secret Service had custody of the recordings, they were kept in combination-second filing cabinets in a secret, locked room in the Executive of the formal advanced to the formal accusation from the Nixon staff was out of town.

During this converted the house was empty except for Mr. Haldeman because the family to which he had rented it after his resignation from the Nixon staff was out of town.

During this same period, when the Secret Service had custody of the recordings, they were kept in combination-second filing cabinets in a secret, locked room in the Executive of the providence too such the formal advanced to the formal accusation for the number of the house accuse the family to which he had rented it after his resignation for the providence too such the fact of the formal accusation for the providence to such the fact of the formal accusation for the providence to such the fa

Under an order originally ison and aides went inadvertently unrecorded, as the White House insists, or were conveniently mislaid, as the Watergate prosecutors have suggested but not openly charged.

What the hearings have established is that the security system under which the tapes were kept, both by the Secret Service and the President's top deputies, was so haphazard and

Service and the President's top deputies, was so haphazard and the custody records so uncertain that the recordings may have lost much of their potential evidential value.

'Opportunity to Edit'

"No judge is going to let one of those tapes go into evidence," one lawyer familiar with the case predicted, "when there has been so much opportunity over the past few months to edit them into very different kinds of conversations."

When White House lawyers whele thouse lawyers revealed for the first time two weeks ago that they could not produce two of these conversations because they had allegedly never been recorded, Judge Sirica ordered fact-finding hearings on the matter.

Throughout the hearings, the judge has maintained that one of the court's major purposes was the establishing of a "chain of custody" for each of the tapes that are legally under the court's jurisdiction, although they have not yet been delivered by the White House.

kinds of conversations."

If thins analysis of the legal situation proves correct, the principal beneficiaries are likely to be former White House and administration officials charged with involvement in the Watergate cover-up and related events.

To the extent that the tapes ultimately are found to contain incriminating conversations, prosecutors in the resulting criminal trials may not be able a briefcase in the study closet

of the Watergate

matic alarm system and a record of who withdrew them and when.

But that record indicated only that the two sets of tapes went to Stephen V. Bull, a Presidential assistant, and made no mention of Mr. Haldeman, obviously leaving open the possibility that an unknown number of other persons had access to them.

The Secret Service log shows that three tapes withdrawn on July 10 were returned on July 10 were returned on July 10 were returned on which that the returned in the returned on secret Service log shows that the returned on July 10 were returned on July 10 were returned on which that