

# Nixon Counsel Meet Haig On Eve of Tapes Hearing

President Reportedly Briefed—Lawyers  
Warned by Sirica to Expect Tough  
Query on Nonexistent Recordings

By LESLEY OELSNER  
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 5—Two of President Nixon's chief legal advisers met again with Alexander M. Haig to discuss the White House's attempt to prove that two of the disputed Watergate conversations were never recorded. It was the third meeting in two days between the two lawyers and Mr. Nixon's chief of staff, according to a White House source.

The lawyers—Leonard Garment, the President's counsel, and J. Fred Buzhardt Jr., special counsel—met with Mr. Haig at Key Biscayne, Fla., and according to the President's deputy press spokesman, Gerald L. Warren, Mr. Haig briefed Mr. Nixon on what the lawyers had said.

The White House press office had previously announced that the lawyers would meet with the President himself. This afternoon, however, Mr. Warren said that the lawyers had simply "been available" if the President wanted to talk to them.

The President spent most of the day on the energy conservation decisions that he is to announce this week, Mr. Warren said.

The lawyers' meeting came on the eve of their scheduled appearance in Federal Court here before Judge John J. Sirica. Last Friday afternoon, Judge Sirica warned them that they could expect tough questioning this week on their contention.

Judge Sirica began holding a fact-finding hearing on the matter last Wednesday, a day after the White House lawyers told him privately that two of the nine Presidential conversations involved in the legal battle over the tape recordings had not been recorded. The judge had previously ordered the President to comply with a subpoena by the special Watergate prosecution calling for tape recordings of all nine conversations.

## 'No Missing Tapes'

There has been speculation on the possibility that the White House might change its stance this week. According to Mr. Warren, however, Mr. Garment and Mr. Buzhardt will continue to present evidence to back up their statement that the so-called "missing tapes" never existed.

"We are convinced the proceedings will show that there are no missing tapes," Mr. Warren said, and that the two conversations were never recorded.

The type of evidence to be presented is apparently in large part technical, showing how the existing tape recordings were made and stored.

One of the two conversations said not to have been recorded is a telephone call between Mr. Nixon and John N. Mitchell, his former Attorney General and campaign director, on June 20, 1972. According to the special Watergate prosecution, that telephone call—just three days after the break-in at Democratic party headquarters at the Watergate complex here—may provide crucial evidence regarding what Mr. Nixon knew or did not know of the crime.

Last week, Mr. Buzhardt said that this conversation was not recorded because it was made on a telephone that was not hooked into the White House recording system.

The second conversation took place last April 15 between President Nixon and John W. Dean 3d, his dismissed counsel. Dean has since pleaded guilty to a charge of obstruction of justice for his role in the Watergate cover-up and has agreed to testify for the Watergate prosecution. He testified

WASHINGTON, Nov. 5—this summer that on April 15 he sent a message to Mr. Nixon regarding his, Dean's discussions with Federal prosecutors regarding the Watergate cover-up. In the message, Dean testified, he told the President that he would be willing to meet with him to talk over the matter.

## 'Leading Questions'

The President summoned him to a meeting that evening, Dean said. And at the meeting, the President's former counsel went on, Mr. Nixon made certain statements and asked certain "leading questions" that, he said, gave him the impression that the President might be taping the meeting.

Last week Mr. Buzhardt said that this conversation had not been recorded because the tape-recording machine had malfunctioned. On Thursday, the White House revised its explanation to some extent: They said that the machine had been working, but that it had run out of tape.

There was also some confusion last week about the White House position regarding the date that the non-existence of the two tape recordings first became known. At first, Mr. Warren said that Mr. Buzhardt learned only the weekend before that the tapes did not exist.

But Friday, a special assistant to the President testified before Judge Sirica that he arranged on Sept. 29 for Mr. Nixon to listen to a series of tapes.

## Told by President

"At that time it was apparent that two conversations that had been requested were not on the tapes provided to me," said the assistant, Stephen B. Bull.

A White House attorney, Douglas Parker, asked Mr. Bull who had told him the conversations were not there.

"Ultimately, the President," Mr. Bull replied.

The developments last week cast increased public doubt on the credibility of the White House, because throughout the long legal battle over access to the tapes, the White House had never once suggested that any of them were nonexistent.

Its basic position, in the face of the Watergate prosecution's subpoena calling for the nine tapes, was that under the doctrine of executive privilege, the President need not turn the tapes over to the courts.

## 'Comply in All Respects'

And even when the President reversed his position two weeks ago and agreed to obey the court order to comply with the subpoena, he did not mention nonexistence of the two tapes. His lawyer, Charles Alan Wright, told Judge Sirica then that the President would comply with the subpoena in all respects.

The existence of the White House system of tape-recording all Presidential conversations became known last July 16, through the testimony of a former White House assistant named Alexander P. Butterfield. Both Archibald Cox, the special Watergate prosecutor, and the Senate Watergate committee immediately began to move for the disclosure of tape recordings of certain conversations bearing on Watergate-related crimes.

On July 23, in a letter to the chairman of the Senate committee, Sam J. Ervin Jr., the President declined to give the committee the tapes, saying, "The fact is that the tapes would not finally settle the central issues before your committee."