Kleindienst Perjury Fear Linked to I.T.T. Disclosure

WASHINGTON, Oct. 31— intervened in the Justice De-Former Attorney General Rich- partment's antirust suits against ard G. Kleindienst told Archi-I.T.T. contradicts what Mr. bald Cox about President Kleindienst told the Judiciary Nixon's order to delay the ap- Committee during the hearings. peal of an antitrust ruling in- [Details on Page 33.] volving the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporaafter he became contion (

Text of Kleindienst statement is printed on Page 33.

vinced that he might be indicted, according to sources familiar with the circumstances.

Before going to Mr. Cox, who was then the special Watergate prosecutor, Mr. Kleindienst told friends that he was sure he would be indicted for perjury in connection with his testimony Attorney General, according to the sources.

The White House admission that the President personally Continued on Page 33, Column 1

Mr. Kleindienst, in a statement issued through his attorney's office today, denied having made false statements to the committee and said that he had threatened to resign if the President "persisted" in his orders to drop the appeal.

According to people familiar with the circumstances of Mr. Kleindienst's disclosure to Mr. Cox, however, the former Attorney General reached the conclusion that his indictment was likely after hearing reports that Committee during its 1972 hear-ings on his nomination as Attorney General according included Harold S. Geneen, the chairman of I.T.T., who had

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5

testified at the Kleindienst confirmation hearings, and Charles W. Colson, the former special counsel to President Nixon.

These reports indicated that These reports indicated that the prosecutor's staff had recommended that Mr. Geneen be indicted for giving false testimony to the Judiciary Committee, and that Mr. Colson be indicted for his role in financing the burglary of the office of Dr. Daniel Ellsberg's psychiatrict trist.

Mr. Colson's attorneys have said publicly that he expected to be indicted; this expectation was the reason given for his refusal to appear before the Senate Watergate committee, as scheduled, when it re-opened its hearings last month.

Irrevocable Decision

When Mr. Kleindienst, believing himself to be in danger of indictment, decided to go to Mr. Cox, he informed the White



United Press Internat Richard G. Kleindienst

indictment, decided to go to Mr. Cox, he informed the White House of his decision and said it was irrevocable.

The conversation with Mr. Cox and two of his assistants took place in Mr. Cox's office on K Street, in downtown Washington, according to the statement issued by Mr. Kleindienst today. He also said that "a story in The New York Times yesterday, which was repeated on the networks and in newspapers around the country, contained a very energific report.

But Mr. Kleindienst's statement, contradicting the reported fear of a perjury indictment that allegedly led him to seek that the "did not perjure myself to rgive false information to the Senate Judiciary Committee" when he was asked about pressure from the White House staff concerning the I.T.T. anti-trust cases."

The statement also defended that the settlements, saying that the settlements, saying that the settlements, saying that the settlements of the settlements of the settlement in its efforts to curb conglomerate ment today saying that the corporation.

I.T.T. officials issued a statement today saying that the corporation had "no prior knowledge that President Nixon had intervened personally in connection with I.T.T.'s anti-trust cases."

The statement also defended the settlements of the corporation.

I.T.T. officials issued a statement today saying that the seek that the week that the week that the "coarporation had "no prior knowledge that President Nixon had intervened personally in connection with I.T.T.'s anti-trust cases."

The statement also defended the settlement today saying that the set that allegedly led him to seek that the "coarporation had "no prior knowledge that President Nixon had intervened personally in connection with I.T.T.'s anti-trust cases."

The statement today saying that the s

1971, from John D. Ehrlichman, then Mr. Nixon's chief adviser on domestic affairs.

"Minutes later, the President

"Minutes later, the President called me and, without any discussion, ordered me to drop the appeal," Mr. Kleindienst said. "Immediately thereafter, I sent word to the President that if he persisted in that direction, I would be compelled to submit my resignation."

Mr. Kleindienst said he obtained an extension of the time period within which he could file an appeal to the Supreme Court "to enable the President to consider my position." The former Attorney General added that Mr. Nixon "changed his mind," the appeal was filed and the case eventually settled out of court in what Mr. Kleindienst characterized as "a settlement highly advantageous to tlement highly advantageous to the United States." Others have described the settlement as more advantageous to the cor-

peated on the networks and in newspapers around the country, contained a very specific report of one part of that conversation."

This was a reference to The Times article disclosing President Nixon's order to drop the appeal in the I.T.T. case.

Sequence of Events

Because of what he termed with the statement issued by an unamed spokesman quoted Mr. Cox, former Attorney General Eliot L. Richardson and Richa