A JUDGE MAY BAR

DEAN AS WITNESS

Mr. Mitchel and Mr. Stans, two former Cabinet officers who headed President Nixon's re-election. campaign, are charged with obstructing a Federal investigation of a financier, Robert L Vesco, in return for a \$200,000 cash donation that gave to the campaign last year.

Mr. Dean, considered a significant witness in the case, reportedly told the President in a taped conversation last April 15 that he was seeking immunity from prosecution might be prevented from using former White House counsel John W. Dean 3d as a witness against John N. Mitche and Maurice H. Stans.

Judge Lee P. Gagliardi told the lawyers in the Mitchell-stans case to be ready for rial next Tuesday, but he indicated that Mr. Dean could be barred from testifying unless the prosecutiors provided a White House tape recording or demonstrated that no relevant tape existed.

Mr. Mitchel and Mr. Stans, two former Cabinet officers who headed President Nixon's re-election. Campaign, are charged with obstructing a Federal investigation of a financier, Robert L Vesco, in return for a \$200,000 cash donation that the gave to the campaign last year.

Mr. Dean, considered a significant witness in the case, reportedly told the President in a taped conversation in the Watergate scandal in relevant and that the defense wanted to block the case for several proposecution in the Watergate scandal in relevant and that the defense wanted to block the case for subject to the president on the ground that it was too broad, that the material was not relevant and that the defense wanted to block the case for subject to the case, inficant witness in the case,

But the judge added hat Fed- relevant prior statements made prosecution.

"The Government must either indicate that the material would be produced at the appropriate time, if such material in fact texists, or that the material will be consciously withheld, for whatever reasons, whereupon the Government must accept the consequences of such indicates the consequences of such indicates. Not witness could be called, the decision indicated, if he had made a relevant statement that the Government would be consciously withheld, for whatever reasons, whereupon the Government must accept the consequences of such indicates that the material would had made a relevant statement over to the defense for cross-examination.

Another hearing on the issue could be called, the decision indicated, if he had made a relevant statement over to the defense for cross-examination.

circumstances surrounding these tapes," he said, "it is assuredly appropriate that the decision as to relevancy be made on the bsis of an in camera, as opposed to an open court evamination prior to the court, examination prior to the testimony of the particular witness concerned."

The judge's decision meant that the prosecution would be required to inform him of any

eral rules required the Government to provide the defense with any relevant prior statements made by witnesses and possessed by the Government, including such material in the possession of material in the possession of President Nixon, because the prosecution. ernment.

the Government must accept the consequences of such action," he said.

"Given the nature of the circumstance of the circumstan