## Federal Judge Sets Hearing on Appeal for a Delay in

By ARNOLD H. LUBASCH

A hearing that could delay it decides whether to grant a territor of John N. Mitchell delay.

Could reserve its decision and begin on Tuesday afternoon if must start on schedule.

The defense lawyers went to grant the defense's move for a delay.

The defense lawyers went to grant the defense's move for a delay.

the trial of John N. Mitchell and Maurice H. Stans was set yesteray by Judge Henry J. Friendly of the United States could circuit.

Defense lawyers asked the Court of Appeals for a "reasonable postponement" of the two former Cabinett of the two former Cabinett of the two former Cabinett of ficers on charges that they had obstructed a fraud investigation in return for a \$200,000 lonation to President Nixons' e-election campaign in 1972.

A few hours after the appeals for a delay was filed, ludge Friendly ordered a hearing on it for next Tuesday norming, the day that the trial s scheduled to open with the questioning of prospective jurors to select an impartial jury on Tuesday, but the case tarting time has not been announced.

The lawyers for former Attorney General Mitchell and former Commerce Secretary (Stans asked the Court of Appeals on the exact starting time has not been announced.

Stans asked the Court of Appeals yesterday to insist that they needed more time to prepare for the trial on a May 10 indictment that they needed more time to prepare for the trial partial jury on Tuesday, but the questioning to meet a secretary of the case, a used his autober over the case, a u

U.SUAY, SEPTETIBER 5, 1973

## Trial of Mitchell and Stans

serted in their petition to the ticularly in connection with the Court of Appeals that Judge Gagliardi's decision to press

The lawyers for both defended to the connection with the conne

Lawyers for Mr. Stans as-udicial pretrial publicity, par-

Court of Appeals that Judge Gagliardi's decision to press forward with the trial next Tuesday represented an "arbitrary and headlong rush to judgment."

Mr. Mitchell's lawyers urged the Court of Appeals to delay the trial until at least Oct. 4, "for the purpose of allowing counsel adequate time to prepare his defense."

"We believe strongly," they so-called Watergate matter."

The lawyers for both defendants had complained that their rights toa fair trial with an impartial jury in the Vesco case had been violated when Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans were compelled to testify in the Senate's televised Watergate matter."

The lawyers for both defendants had complained that their rights toa fair trial with an impartial jury in the Vesco case had been violated when Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans were compelled to testify in the Senate's televised Watergate had been violated when Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans were compelled to testify in the Senate's televised Watergate matter."

"We believe strongly," they mally subject to appeal, but added, "that the trial of this they conteded that the Mitchell-case should be adjourned indefinitely because of massive prej-tional circumstances."