## otion: In Excess of the Grand Jury's Jurisdiction

in Baltimore yesterday: Following is the the text of the motion filed by lawyers for Vice President Spiro T. Agnew in U.S. District Court

enting to the grand jury any documents or materials. person any such testimony, with or disclosing to any cant and from discussing possible indictment of applicther materials looking to ment of Justice from prestestimony, documents, States, the United States atother charge or statement ney Genreal of the United Court to enjoin the Attorcontrol over the grand jury the United States Depart-Maryland and all officials of torney for the District of plicant further moves this pertaining to applicant. Apindictment, presentment or cant and from issuing any possible indictment of appliany investigation looking to grand jury from conducting tective order prohibiting the grand jury"), to enter a proimpaneled Dec. 5, 1972 ("the o exercise of its supervisory hereby moves this Court, in United States ("applicant"), the Vice President of the Applicant Spiro T. Agnew,

this relief is based upon the following grounds: Applicant's request for

> alleged violations of various criminal statutes by applicant. Since Aug. 1, a constant stream of news reports, attributed to "sources" sidering presenting evidence to the grand jury relating to first, that Mr. Beall was conand the like, have indicated, close to the investigation" applicant, and, second, that duting an investigation into Mr. Beall's office was con-Maryland, torney for the District of 1973, the United States at-Maryland, Hon. George Beall, notified applicant that

tion. authorized such presentathe Attorney General has

(1) By letter dated Aug. 1,

to public attention on Aug. specified above is sufficient. remedy other than that in excess of the grand jury's applicant's activities will be quence, any investigation by criminal court. In consetute an abuse for which no the grand jury concerning jurisdiction and will constiindicted or tried in any that the Vice President be (2) Since this matter came The Constitution forbids

6, 1973, officials of the prosits. of a fair hearing on the merdeprive applicant of all hope ing to applicant and thus to pose and effect other than to statements to the ecutorial arm have engaged prejudice any grand or petit which could have no purin a steady campaign of jury hearing evidence relatpress

In the exercise of its su-pervisory authority over fed-eral law enforcement offiany grand jury action relating to applicant. If the Decers, this Court should bar

Wherefore, applicant asks

cause why this Court should granting the relief requested herein, or alternatively, to direct the United not enter such an order States attorney for the District of Maryland to show this Court to enter an order

Respectfully submitted, Paul, Weiss, Rifking

Wharton & Garrison.

which the facts may be fully developed. forthwith hold a hearing at its innocence of wrongdoing partmet of Justice asserts then this Court should