By R. W. APPLE Jr. ecial to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 27 --Vice Presidents count for little in the American scheme of things. They are likely to spend their careers—if not like ander Throttlebottom, taking tours through the White

President Nixon obviously has more at stake than anyone else. His ability to lead the nation already undercut by Watergate, he finds himself—as yesterday's extraordinarily equivocal White House briefing demonstrated—with almost no noom for for a political manner. room for free political ma-neuver.

All the suggestions that have been tentatively and privately advanced by the President's associates over the last three weeks have indicated that Mr. Nixon would be happiest if he could be rid of Mr. Agnew. The reasons put forth are several:

He needs no further threads of scandal in his official family; to the Congress, such as his old friend William P. Rogers or

he feels betraved by the Vice President; he has other Republicans in mind as his successor.

But he cannot move overtly against Mr. Agnew, his advisers agree, lest the suspicions of the conservatives be escalated into conviction. Thus, his unusual and conspicious refusal to suggest the support of the conservative conservative of the conservative of the conservative c

tours through the White House
— in relative obscurity. They affect the political equation only when they are chosen as candidates (is the ticket balanced?), when they say something controversial (did the President authorize that?), when they do something reprehensible (can the lorse tolerate that?) and when they succeed to the Presidency.

Analysis are tours of action to the beleaguered Vice President. Nor can he afford to dictate policy to Attorney General Elliot L. Richardson, the man with direct responsibility for the Agnew prosecution. Partly because the public tends to be with the president tolerate that?) and when they succeed to the Presidency.

Analysis are tours of action to the beleaguered Vice President. Nor can he afford to dictate policy to Attorney General Elliot L. Richardson, the man with direct responsibility for the Agnew prosecution. Partly because the public tends to view Brahmins as honest men, partly because of Mr. Richardson, the son's own cleverness in staking out his independence, the Attorney General has become a token of rectitude for the Administration. He cannot be dis-Presidency.

Never in American political ministration. He cannot be dis-

Never in American political history has there been a situation parallel to that which the country faces today—a President in trouble, his word doubted by a majority of the electorate, according to the polls, and a Vice President equally suspect. The result for both men is a minefield of problems, through which they walk each day with great trepidation.

President Nixon obviously has more at stake than anyone else. His ability to lead the nation already undercut by Watergate, he finds himself—as yesterday's extraordinarily equivocal White House briefing such circumstances, disregard what he chose to regard as a non-definitive" court decree of the tapes.

friend William P. Rogers or Senator Barry Goldwater. As John B. Connally said on television the other night, that might assure confirmation—but it would also suggest Presidential weakness and sacrifice an opportunity to suggest to the country that Mr. Nixon meant to reassert control.

On the other hand suppose

to reassert control.

On the other hand, suppose that he sent the name of a major political figure, such as Mr. Connally or Governor Rockefeller. Then Mr. Nixon runs two risks—rejection, or the anointment of a 1976 Presidential candidate before the political climate has become clear.

For Mr. Agnew the situation

For Mr. Agnew, the situation is different. He has apparently decided that his fight is a fight for honor, not for his political future, for freedom from incarceration, not for the Presi-

dency. If that is so, he will be willing to take great risks, including protracted and embarassing court tests, to prove his point. He will not be receptive to subtle suasion.

The will not be receptive to subtle suasion.

willing to take great risks, including protracted and embarrassing court tests, to prove his point. He will not be receptive to subtle suasion.

Surely he would quit if Mr. Nixon publicly asked him to do so. But, for the reasons suggested above, the President is not likely to do so, at least not likely to do so, at least not in the immediate future. So the outlook is for a crippled and embattled Vice-Presidency.

None of this is comforting to the Republican party. In a sense, the Agnew problem is far more serious than Watergate; the latter could be blamed on unprofessional zealots, but the simultaneous travail of Mr. Nixon and Mr. Agnew, party stalwarts fear, may suggest to the country an underlying strain of corruption.

If Mr. Agnew is removed