

By JOHN M. CREWDSON Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Sept. 26— The decision by Carl Albert, Speaker of the House, to take no action for now on Vice President Agnew's request for a Compressional inquirty into his a Congressional inquiry into his case is widely believed here case is widely believed inter-to have significantly worsened Mr. Agnew's chances of head-ing off an investigation by a Federal grand jury. The grand jury is scheduled to begin to rearrow to re-

tomorrow to re-ceive evidence of his alleged role in Analysis

a bribery and extortion scandal in Maryland.

lawyers Mr. Agnew's had been expected to file motions today in Baltimore, where the grand jury is sitting, arguing that the only constitutionally permissible forum for an investigation of an incumbent Vice President is the House of Representatives. Mr. Agnew him-resentatives. Mr. Agnew him-self expressed this view in his letter to Mr. Albert yesterday when he asserted that "the Constitution bars a criminal proceeding of any kind" against Vice President while in office

letter to Mr. Albert yesterday when he asserted that "the Constitution bars a criminal proceeding of any kind" against a Vice President while in office. Some Republican Representa-tives have said that they will try to reverse the Speaker's decision, but it is not likely they will succeed in providing what would be Mr. Agnew's chief support in his fight to get his case out of the courts. Unless the Vice President's attorneys are able to obtain a court order restraining the grand jury before tomorrow morning, George Beall, the United States Attorney in Maryland is expected to Carry Unless the vice Frequent's attorneys are able to obtain a court order restraining the grand jury before tomorrow morning, George Beall, the United States Attorney in Monuland is expected to carry Maryland, is expected to carry out his instructions from Attor-

ney General Elliot L. Richard-son to present the evidence against Mr. Agnew. Judah Best, Mr. Agnew's counsel of records, was silent today on whether a temporary restraining order against Mr. Beall would be sought tomor-row or at all. He has declined to characterize the possible ar-guments he might use to back up such a request.

Government officials and others.

## View Attributed to Judges

But one able criminal lawyer,

sitting Vice President with a crime. But there has never been an affair quite like this one. His attorneys may well believe that their client's salvation lies in opposing his involvement in the criminal process from the beginning

beginning. Could Agree to Delay If Mr. Agnew's attorneys should succeed, on whatever grounds, in obtaining a restraining order against the grand jury, an appeal by the Justice Department would be likely to follow. If the court denies the restraining order, no appeal is possible, but the Vice President could ask for a permanent in-junction to keep evidence and witnesses relating to Mr. Agnew from going before the grand

There is a possibility that Mr. Beall might be willing to de-lay his presentation while the courts decide the historic ques-tion of whether impeachment must precede investigation and indictment.

But the wait involved would

But it is evident that it will now be more difficult to argue that under the Constitution the grand jury's inquiry must be taken over by the House, when that body itself has declined, for the present, to take up the matter. The Agnew

that body itself has declined, for the present, to take up the matter. The Agnew lawyers might well choose another tack. For example, they are said to be considering that the Vice Presi-dent's chance of obtaining a fair trial has been irreparably prejudiced by the leaks of in-formation about his case from Government officials and others. a grand jury investigation, but simply charges of profiteering at a time that Calhoun served as Secretary of War.

Calhoun Precedent Doubted Peter W. Rodino, the chair-man of the House Judiciary Committee, today called the Calhoun precedent "not four-square with the present situa-tion," and he added that Mr. Agnew's claim of immunity from prosecution appeared to be "almost without founda-tion." Calhoun Precedent Doubted

tion."

consequence to the nation," that the Justice Department at-tempted to avoid in its failed negotiations with Mr. Agnew's attorneys.

Impasse in Negotiations

There have been reports, none of which the department will confirm, that Mr. Richard-son and his deputies offered the Vice President a chance to plea? guilty to a lesser charge than the Government believed its evidence would support in return for his resignation. But the Agnew attorneys are said to have insisted that the price of his resignation was the drop-ping of all charges. Both sides declared an impasse, and now the matter has moved from

negotiation to confrontation. One observer noted today that "time is the name of the game," and there are indications that Mr. Agnew's lawyers may simply be trying to stall any indictment against him for as long as possible and by whatever means they can conceive, whether in Congress or in the courts.

tion." before next Jan. 20 the statute It was precisely this issue, will have run out on the period which Mr. Richardson has termed "of potentially serious Jan. 29,<sup>5</sup>1969.