Inquiry on I.T.T. Sought; Antitrust Cases at Issue

By EILEEN SHANAHAN

Special to The New York Times

The Department of Justice Grinnell. asked a Federal court today to The settlement has produced order an inquiry into the pos-allegations that Administration sibility that the International officials, up to and including Telephone and Telegraph Cor-President Nixon, directly or inporation was attempting to directly pressured the Justice

Grinnell Corporation.

influence, permitted I.T.T. to buyer. retain control of the largest of a string of companies it had Justice, both asked the Federal recenty acquired — the Hart-Court in Hartford today to apford Fire Insurance Company, point James H. Goss, chairman which had assets of \$2.5-billion of the Pentasote Company, as at the time—provided it divested itself of five smaller Continued on Page 55, Column 3

WASHINGTON, Sept. 25 -|concerns, including part of

thwart the terms of the already Department's Antitrust Division controversial settlement of to settle the case on terms three antitrust cases against that would allow I.T.T. to retain control of Hartford. Specifically, the Department Numerous internal I.T.T. memos of Justice raised the question of and a memo by Charles W. whether I.T.T. had tampered Colson, former White House with the assets of one of the aide, charged former Attorney relatively smaller businesses General John N. Mitchell, forthat it was ordered to sell mer Commerce Secretary Peter under the 1971 settlement—the G. Peterson, White House As-Fire Protection Division of the sistant Peter M. Flanigan and others with direct interference.

The divestiture of the Grin-The settlement, which has become a major issue because of place by yesterday but I.T.T. allegations of improper political says it cannot find a satisfact says it cannot find a satisfac-

I.T.T. and the Department of

U.S. ASKS INQUIRY INTO I.T.T. ACTION

trustee to take over management of that part of the old Grinnel Corporation that is subject to divestiture under the 1971 settlement. The trustee would also be charge with finding a buyer

ment of that part of the old Grinnel Corporation that is subject to divestiture under the 1971 settlement. The trustee would also be charge with finding a buyer.

What is at issue between the Justice Department and I.T.T. is whether the company has illegally made some changes in the part of Grinnell that was to be sold. That part included the manufacturing of automatic sprinkler devices and the installation of fire-protection devices. I.T.T. was to be permitted to retain control of the manufacturing of fire-protection devices other than automatic sprinkler devices and the installation of fire-protection devices. turing of fire-protection devices other than automatic sprinklers.

other than autom.

After the settlem.
reached, I.T.T. split Grinne.
two and named the part destined for divestitute the Grinnell Fire Protection Systems
Company, Inc.

What the Justice Department wants to know is whether the assets of this new entity are exactly the same as those of the old Fire Protection division of Grinnell.

A Remington spokesman in Bridgeport said the company had asked to have further discussions with the Justice Department to determine just what the Government's pobjections were.

He withheld further comment after the Government's could be reviewed by

ing money.

The trustee, whom both the Justice Department and I.T.T. asked the court to appoint would be asked to report with-

would be asked to report within 90 days on whether the assets that were turned over to him were the exact assets covered by the 1971 settlement. He would also be asked to report on whether I.T.T. had viloated that portion of the settlement that forbade the company to take any "action which knowingly impairs that viability of the Fire Protection division of Grinnell or I.T.T.'s ability to accomplish its divestiture."

Offers Unacceptable

A spokesman for I.T.T. said

A spokesman for I.T.T. said here yesterday that his company had received several offers to purchase Grinnell, but that none had been acceptable.
I.T.T. still has a yaar left to dispose of Avis and Levitt under the 1971 consent decree. It sold the Canteen Corporation of America to Trans World Airlines on Aug. 10. The Penn Life Insurance Company has purchased both ITT Ham-

ilton Life Insurance and ITT Life Insurance of New York. The spokesman added that 48 per cent of the stock of

Avis was now in the hands of the public.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5 of the Hajoca Corporation to Gable Industries, Inc., in January, 1972.

The Swedish company, in Amotfors, makes sporting ammunition, some of which it sells in the United States.