Colson, Citing Fifth, Balks at Questions

By DAVID E. ROSENBAUM Special to The New York Tim

WASHINGTON, Sept. 19 Charles W. Colson refused today to answer questions put to him by the Senate Watergate committee, claiming his constitutional right against self-incrimination.

Mr. Colson, a former White House special counsel who was a key political adviser during President Nixon's first term, is the only high-ranking Presidential aide who has been mentioned prominently in Watergate testimony and who has not testified publicly himself.

He has been accused by variout Senate witnesses of a variety of roles in the Watergate cover-up and other scan-dals. But he has repeatedly denied any wrongdoing.

Mr. Colson's attorney and Continued on Page 33, Column 1

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

law partner, David I. Shapiro, told the committee this morning at the outset of a two-and-a-half-hour closed-door meet-ing that Mr. Colson would not answer questions now because he was a "target" of a Federal grand jury investigation and might be indicted.

might be indicted.

The grand jury is the one here that is investigating the burglarly of the office of Dr. Daniel Ellsberg's former psychiatrist and the relationship between the Nixon Administration and the International telephone and Telegraph Corporation

ration.

Committee officials reported that Mr. Shapiro had told them that Mr. Colson would probably know whether or not he would be indicted by that grand jury in five to 10 days.

Senator Howard H. Baker Jr. of Tennessee the committee's

of Tennessee, the committee's ranking Republican, said Mr. Colson had indicated that he would be "not only willing but eager" to testify if he ceased being a "target" for indictment.

The purpose of today's closed

being a "target" for indictment.

The purpose of today's closed meeting was to determine whether Mr. Colson would testify freely. The committee has refrained from calling wit-

nesses in public hearings when

nesses in public nearings when the witness would merely in-voke the Fifth Amendment. Even if Mr. Colson is in-dicted, there are ways that he could still be compelled to testi-fy at public hearings. The comcould still be compelled to testify at public hearings. The committee could, as it did with John W. Dean 3d, grant Mr. Colson limited immunity, meaning that his testimony could not be used against him by the prosecution. Or the committee could, as it did with John N.

Mitchell and Maurice H. Stans, question Mr. Colson about mat-ters other than the ones that were the subject of the indictment.

Mr. Colson asked the committee today to grant him immunity, but the committee voted unanimously not to do so immediately. Senator Baker indicted that the question of immunity might be considered again once the matter of the indictment was settled.

Mr. Colson refused to answer reporters' questions when he left the meeting, which was held in a small conference room next to the Senate barber shop. Mr. Colson asked the com-

Comments by Ervin

Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., the committee chairman, remarked that "the committee would have liked to have his testimony," but that "he clearly is entitled under the Constitution to plead the Fifth Amend ment."

Senator Baker cautioned against attaching "any significautioned

against attaching "any signin-cance to the pleading of a con-stitutional right."

Mr. Colson and Mr. Shapiro spent most of the morning outside the meeting room, while the Senators debated whether to question Mr. Colson today whether to grant him imand

munity.

Mr. Colson has been accused by different witnesses, including Mr. Dean, the former White House counsel, of having discussed with President Nixon cussed with President Machine the granting of executive clemency to E. Howard Hunt Jr.; of having pressed Jeb Stuart Magruder, the former deputy director of the Nixon re-election campaign, to approve an espi-onage plan that resulted in the Watergate bunglary; of having raised money that was used to break into the office of Dr. break into the office of Dr. Lewis Fielding, a psychiatrist who treated Dr. Ellsberg, of having known of widespread efforts of Administration officials to obtain favorable settle-ment of antitrust suits against

ment of antitrust suits against I.T.T., and of having promoted "dirty tricks" against Democratic candidates.

Mr. Colson has denied these allegations repeatedly. Last spring he voluntarily took a liedetector test that, he said, proved his innocence.

In an interview with com-

proved his innocence.

In an interview with committee staff members last summer, Mr. Colson reportedly said that he had played no role whatsoever in planning the Watergate bunglary at Democratic headquarters in the capital or in covering it up aftertal or in covering it up after-

He did, however, make statement that contradicted those of H. R. Haldeman, the former White House chief of staff. Mr. Colson reportedly Said that in a conversation last January that he and Mr. Hal-deman had discussed their knowledge of meetings in Mr. Mitchell's apartment in early 1972 at which wiretapping plans were also discussed. Mr. Haldeman testified that he did not know about the meetings until sometime later.



United Press interni Charles W. Colson after refusing to answer questions in closed session with Watergate panel.