## WXPost SEP I 5 1978 Grand Jury Seen Getting Agnew Case

By Richard M. Cohen Washington Post Staff Writer

Attorney General Elliot L. Richardson appears to have decided to allow a special grand jury in Baltimore to investigate allegations against Vice President Spiro T. Agnew.

Informed sources said Richardson has deferred ruling on whether the Constitution would allow a Vice President Constitution to be indicted.

Indications of the Attorney General's strategy emerged from several sources last night in the wake of widespread reports, including those by The New York Times, CBS News and the Associated Press, that Richardson had decided to allow testimony before the grand jury on allegations that Agnew received kickbacks from Maryland contractors.

The Justice Department refused to confirm or deny the substance of these reports last night, but the Justice Department spokesmen took pains to make clear that their previous routine "no" to questions about whether Richardson had made a decision in the case was now being changed to "no comment."

The question of whether a President, Vice President or persons whom the Constitu-

See PROBE, A4, Col. 1

## PROBE, From A1 .

tion refers to as "civil offi-cers" can be indicted before they are impeached has never been settled. In the case of a Vice President, the question is unprecedented.

The Constitution provides that these federal officers can be removed from office through impeachment by the House of Representatives and conviction by the Senate for "treason, bribery or other high crimes and misdemeanors." It does not say that impeachment must precede indictment and constitutional scholars have been arguing both sides of the issue for years.

President Nixon's chief constitutional lawyer, Charles Alan Wright, asserted at a court hearing here in August that "There is only one court that There is only one court to which the President is answerable for any supposed dereliction of duty and that is the court of impeachment."

Sources close to the investigation confirmed that Pickerd

gation confirmed that Richard-

son nad made some kind of interim decision that avoided the question of whether a Vice President could be indicted.

One high administration one night administration source also pointed out that a grand jury has an investigative function and indicated tive function and indicated that the Baltimore grand jury could be used for this function. Up to now, the allegations against Agnew were being investgated only by federal prosecutors in Baltimore, who were waiting for authorization from Richardson to present their information to

the grand jury.

Normally, prosecutors also recommend to the grand jury. rors whether or not evidence warrants indictment of the target of the investigation. But if Richardson has side-stepped that decision in this case, it would leave the grand jury with the responsibility of telling the prosecutors it wanted to indict Agnew, if the evidence warranted it.

If the grand jury were to vote an indictment under such circumstances, Richardson would then have to decide whether he would allow George Beall, the U.S. Atorney for Maryland, to sign any indictment A grand jumping in the control of the contr indictment. A grand jury indictment is not legally in force unless it bears the signature of the U.S. Attorney and the grand jury foreman.

Either Richardson or the grand jury could alternatively recommend that any evidence it gathers be turned over to the House of Representatives for a dcision on whether or not to impeach the Vice President. Or Richardson could initiate or wait for some kind of court action that would clear Richardson might seek to iso-ident's vulnerability to criminal prosecution.

Finally, if the grand jury were to find the allegations against Agnew to be un-founded, it could vote to ig-

nore them, which would end the case at that point.

One of Agnew's attorneys, Judah Best, said last night that he (Best) had not been informed of any possible decisions by the Justice Department. However, he said Agnew's lawyers had met yesterday and "we came to certain conclusions and will implement them."

Best would not say whether he personally met with Agnew, but Jay H. Topkis, another Agnew lawyer, said that he did meet with the Vice President. Best added that he himself has not talked to prosecutor Beall this week.

Agnew's lawyers have several alternatives in proceeding on behalf of their client and obviously now have reached a decision on their stra-tegy. One alternative they have been known to be contemplating was a civil action seeking to enjoin the Justice Department from presenting evidence concerning Agnew to a grand jury before the question of impeachment is settled.

If Agnew's lawyers sue to remove the case from the courts, neither Justice Department lawyers nor others concerned with the case are sure of whether the suit would stop the clock on the statute of limitations. The statutory time limit for some of the allega-tions against Agnew is known to be close to expiration. Normally, when a statute of limitations expires, a grand jury target cannot be indicted.

Lawyers close to the case speculated last night that

