ould Like Cox to Hear

By George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writer

ting Watergate Special Prosecutor Archibald Cox to join him in listening to President Nixon's Watergate tapes.

peals here on the President's mately privileged from discloconfrontation with the judici-sure. ary.

filed

Judge Sirica said that he still begin at Federal Judge John J. Sirica view of the tapes essential besaid yesterday that he would fore any portions can be welcome a court order permit-turned over to the federal grand jury investigating the Watergate scandal.

But he added that he would ixon's Watergate tapes. have no objection to the spe-Sirica made the concession cial prosecutor's help, and in Sirica made the concession to Cox just one day ahead of an unprecedented hearing in the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals here on the President's mately privileged from disclarations.

considers private judicial review of the tapes essential before any portions can be case.

> Mr. Nixon's lawyers submitted a 95-page brief contending that the President would no longer be "master in his own house" if the tapes must be given up even for inspection dent's wish," Cox said, "the

nere on the President's mately privileged from disclosure.

mately privileged from disclosure.

mately privileged from disclosure.

With the hearing before the full bench of the nine-judge appellate court scheduled to make the tapes contain evidence of "some fraud or criminal misconduct" by White some tready made enough of a show-inal offense in violation of the President's confidence as well misconduct by White some tready made enough of a show-inal offense in violation of the president's confidence as well misconduct by White some tready made enough of a show-inal offense in violation of the president's confidence as well misconduct by White some tready made enough of a show-inal offense in violation of the president's confidence as well misconduct by White some tready made enough of a show-inal offense in violation of the president's confidence as well misconduct by the president by the president's confidence as well misconduct by the president's confidence as well misconduct by the president by th

1 p.m. today, the House aides and political advisers.

> If the tapes contain any other irrelevant or legitimately privileged conversations, he argued, the burden is on the White House to submit

TAPES, From A1

The Darcontroversy prompted by a grand jury subpoena for the tapes that Cox secured on July 23, but the fight in the appeals court centers on Judge Sirica's Aug. 29 order directing Mr. Nixon to surrender the recordings to him for secret "in camera" inspection.

Overriding Mr. Nixon's claims of absolute immunity Nixon's from court orders, Judge Sirica held that some of the conversations might be privi-leged, but that it was up to the courts to sort them out.

The White House went into the appellate court last week, asking for a writ of mandamus that would direct Judge Sirica to cancel his Aug. 29 ruling.

Cox countered the next day with his own petition, asking that the tapes be turned over to the grand jury directly. As an alternative, he said that he and his prosecutors should at least be permitted to listen to the recordings with Judge Sirica and help him single out the relevant evidence.

Responding to that suggestion, Sirica's lawyers, American University law professors George D. Horning Jr. and Anthony C. Morella, told the Appeals Court yesterday that the judge "has no objection to the alternative relief requested.' Mr. Nixon's lawyers, led by his chief constitutional adviser, Charles Alan Wright, said in yesterday's brief that even Judge Sirica's initial or-der came down squarely on the side of breaching the wall of confidentiality of presiden-tial communications."

They protested that "the heat and excitement of an un-precedented political scandal" were on the verge of twisting the Constitution and striking at the heart of the President's atful powers.

loging the Appeals Court to ignore thepressures, the President's attorneys complained "that the revelations of Water-gate have so sharpened the public appetite for more revelations that the claim of a presidential right and responsibility under the Constitution broadly held popular senti-ment that the claim is proba-bly unjust and is therefore presumably unsound."

Mr. Nixon, the White House lawyers maintained, was doing no more than assenting a right claimed by "every President since George Washington" and yet his stand in the atmosphere of Watergate "is likened to the absolute claim of kings . . . viewed in many places with suspicion or even hostility."

The President's attorneys also objected to the hurry-up schedule set by the Court of Appeals which has called for final written memos and arguments by Friday.

The Senate Watergate committee, which has also filed suit against Mr. Nixon for some of the tapes and other White House documents, had also asked for a chance to be heard briefly at today's hearing, but the Appeals Court denied the motion yesterday afternoon. It also rejected a companion request from consumer groups to be heard at the same time on their fight for some 67 White House me-mos concerning the dairy in-dustry and a controversial dustry and a controversial 1971 increase in milk price supports.

The tape recordings Cox is seeking involve nine of Mr. Nixon's discussions with White House aides and camp-aign advisers about Watergate —eight in fare to lace meet accurate." Not only did Mr ings and one by phone—be Nixon's lawyers protest in ear tween June 20, 1972, and April lier briefs that the mapes in clude "sensitive in the sensitive in t

Pressing his argument that a fair showing has already been made that the tapes conbeen made that the tapes contain criminal evifence, Cox said the sworn testimony of ousted White House counsel John W. Dean III before the Senate Watergate committee alone was "more than sufficient" to establish that point for the conversations for five of the conversations.

The special prosecutor emphasized that the grand jury needs the recordings not simply to resolve conflicting accounts of the conversations at issue, but for any other pur-poses, 'such as showing the in-itiation, duration and thrust of any conspiracy on the part of never listened to any of them. alleged participants....

Cox also maintained that Mr. Nixon's lawyers have as-

clude "sensitive issues of na tional security" and discus Mr. of Nixon's "constitutional duties on mat ters other than Watergate, they said, but Wright, in arguments before Judge Sirica or Aug. 22, told the court that one discussion was "so highly sensitive" that Mr. wouldn't even give him a hin of what it was about.

Most of the tapes, President's own account, have apparently not been played back by anyone. Mr. Nixor told a news conference das week that he listened to only two of the nine recordings himself. Wright has said he

The White House lawyer: Cox also maintained that Mr. Nixon's lawyers have asserted nothing more than a sweeping, absolute privilege for the tapes and made no precise claims of immunity for certain portions that might contain military or diplomatic secrets.

The White House lawyers contended, however, that "all of the tapes are subject to a claim of executive privilege because they contain material on a variety of subjects so inextricably intertwined that it would be impossible for any man, including the District Judge, to separate out those contain military or diplomatic secrets.

The White House replied sharply that such an impression was "quite simply"... in nection with Watergate."