

King's letter 28 Aug 73.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Editor — Re the pros and cons of Mr. Nixon as provoked by Mr. King's letter (Letters, August 28): I can only be reminded of the old phrase, "beauty is in the eye of the beholder" but in this case, the reverse side of the coin. Should we not distrust the moral integrity of those who have convicted Mr. Nixon in their minds without trial and now wish to see him drawn and quartered. Are they not trying to assuage their own guilt feelings?

DONALD H. MILLER
San Francisco

Editor — May I ask if you would have given the entire section to Mr. Robert L. King of the jet set of the adjacent fiefdom of Hillsborough if Mr. King's domicile happened to be RFD, Alviso, Calif?

J. J. CASSIDY
San Jose

Editor — I wonder how many brief letters addressed to specific points you had to put aside in order to devote all 45 inches of your letters column to one Robert L. King of Hillsborough, who, with endless empty verbiage, assures us unspecifically that Richard Nixon is an honest man.

On the same day, on the OpEd page of The New York Times, William V. Shannon in quite another context refers to "what the Irish regard as the most artful kind of silence — an excess of volubility."

Does The New York Times reach Hillsborough?

MARK SCHORER
Berkeley

Editor — Mr. King's letter, to which you gave so much space the other day, doesn't really say anything new. It could have been written by Ehrlichman or Halde- man. All he says is that he knows Mr. Nixon is an honest man, and we'd better believe it . . . Mr. King writes that Mr. Nixon is a

". . . man who I think is constitutionally unable to lie."

WOW! This only a few days after Nixon angrily defended his decision to lie to the people about the bombing of Cambodia because it was a good cause! The same Nixon who goes on TV twice to tell us the end, no matter how good, does not justify the means . . .

Whether the President did know or didn't know in advance about Watergate and whether he did or didn't help in the coverup have really become irrelevant. He has caught himself on the horns of the classic dilemma — either he was a knave or a fool, and either way he doesn't look good . . .

DANIEL V. FOSTER
San Rafael

Editor — I was thrilled to read Robert L. King's resume of his association with President Nixon and his views on Watergate.

I hope everyone will take time out to read it and get the true facts.

C. M. BOCCONE,
San Anselmo.

Editor — Thank you for printing Mr. Robert L. King's letter dealing with the presidency and the President.

It said many things which have needed to be said for many months.

GENEVA M. MILLER
Glen Ellen

Editor — Robert L. King (Letters, August 28) explains "Mr. Nixon's basic character and motivations." For a man "constitutionally unable to lie," Nixon seems to have done a pretty good job of it, particularly as regards the secret bombing of Cambodia . . .

GAIL A. CAIN
San Francisco

Editor — I want to thank you for printing in its entirety the letter from Robert L. King.

The ferocity with which President Nixon has been attacked by the news media is far more disgraceful than Watergate. His office alone entitles him to some portion of respect. I do not believe the American people as a whole, Democrats or Republicans, will ever forgive the injustice done to the President by the news media . . .

L. B. MORSE
Walnut Creek

Teachers

Editor — Jurisdiction of teachers belongs with the school administrators, trustees, parents and taxpayers.

Senator Moscone's SB400 will put the final touches on an already bad situation by handing it to the unions — the worst thing that could be done. Might as well assign rabbits to guard the lettuce.

Here's hoping there is sufficient concern and common sense in Sacramento to kill it.

R. WIEDENMAYER
San Francisco

Restored Faith

Editor — For so long I have mentally branded your paper as being fanatically liberal and a part of the "hate Nixon" syndrome.

Now you have restored my faith in humanity. Your editorials now beginning to sound like "Americanism" in its truest form . . .

We have a great President. Let's get off his back and pledge Americanism to him. He won't let us down . . .

JOHNNY KAY
San Mateo

Choice

Editor—Given a choice between Ehrlichman, whom no one voted for, and a drunk congressman, I'll take the drunk congressman every time. (Find out what he's drinking and send a case to Ehrlichman.)

MIKE JOHNSON
El Cerrito