Saturday, Sept. 8, 1973 - THE WASHINGTON POST

SEP 8 1973.

Cox Asks That Tapes Be Sent to Grand Jury

By George Lardner Jr. Special Prosecutor Archi-bald Cox hardened his de-mands for President Nixon's Watergate tapes yesterday with a bid for their direct de-livery to the federal grand ury investigating the scandal. In a petition filed yesterings himself.

WXPost

A 4

be permitted to listen to the tion. tapes when Sirica does. It was the second day in a

to him under attack. White House lawyers asked the appellate court Thursday to up-hold the President's claims of absolute secrecy for the re-cordings cordings.

"Everybody's suing me now," Sirica observed after a opy of Cox' petition was served on him. Accordingly, the judge enlisted two lawyers to help him defend his ruling as it stands. It all added up to a dizzy

It all added up to a dizzy It all added up to a dizzy cay in the Court of Appeals with both the Senate Water-gate committee and consumer groups scrambling for a chance to be heard at the same hearing next Tuesdayalong with the White House, Sirica and Cox—on their own Sirica and Cox—on their own cemands for the tapes and ther White House documents that Mr. Nixon has refused to give up.

Cox and the White House Dined forces momentarily in trying to fend the others off.

The Senate Watergate committee, contending that conressional interest in the tapes ought not be ignored, asked the nine-judge court for D minutes at the Tuesday the in minutes at the Tuesday hearing so that it could be fully apprised of all aspects of the executive privilege is-

unlike Cox, the Senate com-unlike Cox, the Senate com-tittee's lawyers indicated in a

In a petition filed yester-day afternoon, Cox asked the here to bypass U.S. District Court to inspect the record-ings bimself At the least, Cox main-tained, his prosecutors should camera in chambers inspec-tion

tapes when Sirica does It was the second day in a row that Sirica has found his Aug. 29 order directing Mr. Nixon to turn the tapes over to him under attack. White fore Monday. House lawyers asked the an

Following the pattern of Mr. Nixon's lawyers, Cox sued Sir-ica directly and named the President as a "party in inter-est."

Dissatisfied with the judge's holding that he could not re-ject the President's claims of privilege without hearing the tapes, the special prosecutor contended that "as a matter of law, there is no privilege as to all, or at least as to some of the conversations recorded on the tapes. .

Despite Mr. Nixon's claims of a public interest in preserving the confidentiality of his conversations, Cox and his counsel, Philip A. Lacovara, said there was substantial reason to believe some of the statements on the recordings, aside from those of the President, "were made ... pur-suant to a conspiracy to obstruct justice."

Under those circumstances, Under those circumstances, the prosecutors angued, the tapes cannot be withheld "under any construction of ex-ecutive privilege" based on the need for confidential government discussions. Whatever privilege might be

left for the nine tapes at issue, Cox argued, has already been waived by the voluminous tes-Unlike Cox, the Senate com-mittee's lawyers indicated in a friend-of-the-court brief, they want to emphasize that the disputed materials relate "to 22 speech. the Watergate affair and the alleged cover-up."

In short, Cox said, "the President has waived any con-President nas walved any con-fidentiality... that he other-wise may have been able to as-sert." As a result, the prosecu-tor contended, Sirica should have ordered the tapes turned over to the Watergate grand jury here without an in camera inspection to determine whether any portions are privileged.

Sirica has also indicated that he would listen to the recordings to excise any irrelevant material, but, Cox said, "ordinarily, lack of relevance is not a reason for withholding evidence from a grand jury ... The Special Prosecutor has no intention of submitting irrelevant evidence to grand jury." the

Recognizing that the appel-late court might still prefer private judicial review out of a "special respect due presi-dential papers and conversa-tions," Cox said Sirica ought not be permitted to do that alone "without the assistance of counsel."

The judge, Cox said, "is not in a position to have accurate knowledge of the possible rellevance of all portions of the tapes and documents . . . "

By contrast, he said, "since the attorneys for the grand jury are familiar with the evidence already submitted, they should be given access to the portions claimed to be irrelevant at a hearing in chambers in order to advise the District Court...

Such a hearing, Cox said, would be conducted under the same rules of secrecy that prevail at grand jury sessions and the transcript of the hearing should be sealed.

The The special prosecutor wound up with a warning about the fact that the Watergate grand jury's 18-month term will run out on Dec. 5.

"Failure to complete its task before expiration of its term," he said, "would result in a se-rious and unnecessary delay in the administration of justice.'