## Judge Refuses Plea by Defense To Delay Mitchell-Stans Trial

## By ARNOLD H. LUBASCH

trial of former Attorney Gen- came after the alleged conspireral John N. Mitchell and acy had been completed and former Commerce Secretary that it should not be considered Maurice H. Stans would start at the same trial. on Sept. 11.

would provide a written deci- Cabinet officers.

Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Stans are each charged with six counts of perjury in their testimony to a Federal grand jury here. They also face one count of conspiracy and three counts of perjury in the prosecutors, James W. Rayhill and John R. Wing, testified at the hearing that they obstructing justice in the

Vesco case.
The defense wants the perjury counts severed from the

Federal Judge Lee P. Gag- rest of the case on the ground liardi said yesterday that the that the grand jury testimony

Defense lawyers also com-The judge, who will preside plained that Mr. Mitchell and at the obstruction-of-justice Mr. Stans had been compelled trial, said in United States District to testify in the Senate's teletrict Court here that he had vised Watergate hearings, and decided to deny the defense's that massive adverse publicity motion for a delay and that he resulted for the two former

Sion later.

Mr. Mitchell an Mr. Stans are charged with obstructing a major fraud investigation of Robert L. Vesco, a fugitive New Jersey financier in a consent-ed to a defense motion in the Vesco case to sever another defendant, Harry L. Sears, former Republican leader of the New Jersey State Senate.

New Jersey financier, in return for Mr. Vesco's secret \$200,000 with them on May 10, left the cash contribution to President Nixon's 1972 election campaign.

Defense lawyers asked for the case to be dismissed, delayed or moved from Federal Court here on the ground that

layed or moved from Federal Court here on the ground that the prosecution had generated prejudicial publicity, but Judge Gagliardi indicated that he would reject the requests.

"Basicall this is a very simple case," the judge said, as defense lawyers repeated their requests for more time to prepare for the trial.

Judge Gagliardi added that he was "very seriously considering" the defense's request to sever perjury charges from the trial, which could weaken the prosecution's case and require a separate trial on the alleged perjury.

Six Counts of Perjury

Dismissal Sougth

The decision to adhere to the Sept. 11 trial date for Mr. Mitchell, and Mr. Stans was disclosed by Judge Gagliardi at the end of an all-day hearing on one of the defense motions to dismiss the case.

Walter J. Bonner, a lawyer for Mr. Stans, argued at the hearing that the charges against the former Commerce Secretary should be dismissed on the ground that the prosecution had violated an attorney-client privilege in the case.

Mr. Bonner contended that overzealous prosecutors have overstepped their bounds" by obtaining testimony and documents from Kenneth W. Park-

obtaining testimony and docu-ments from Kenneth W. Park-

matters.
The prosecutors, James W. Rayhill and John R. Wing, testified at the hearing that they had asked Mr. Parkinson about his personal knowledge of the secret Vesco contribution and that they had not obtained anything that violated the legally protected attorney-client relationship.

protected attorney-ment tonship.

Mr. Parkinson testified at the hearing that he had given the prosecutors some material, which he believed was not protected by the attorney-client privilege, after Mr. Rayhill had told him it would be a "tragic mistake" if he did not tell the truth.

mistake " ...
truth,

"I was angry, outraged," Mr.
Parkinson said, "that he was challenging my credibility so directly."

directly."

Judge Gagliardi said he would rule later on the defense motion to dismiss the case or suppress the information obtained from Mr. Parkinson by the prosecution.