NYTIMES, AUG 1 0 1973 Study Shows Nixon Supported Abroad Despite Watergate

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Aug. 9 worldwide survey by correspondents of The New York Times in the last week has indicated that although foreign leaders and public figures now seem to take the Watergate scandal more seriously than they did a few months ago, the affair has not so far cut deeply into their widespread support for Mr. course in foreign Nixon's policy.

Nor does their concern thus far match the apprehension voiced in Washington. Henry A. Kissinger and other Administration officials have openly expressed foreboding recently that if Watergage seriously weakens Mr. Nixon's standing at home over a long period, foreign confidence in the implementation of Mr. Nixon's foreign policy goals will inevitably be undermined.

A similar survey was conducted by The Times in May and the response then showed that overseas criticism of Mr. Nixon was light and that for-eign relations diid not appear to have suffered any significant damage. At that time, Ameri-can officials seemed more confident than they do now that W'atergate could be isolated from foreign affairs. Since May, criticsm of Mr.

Continued on Page 10, Column 6

Continued From Page 1, Col. 1 Nixon seems to have increased aboard — particularly of his refusal to turn over the White House tapes. The foreign press has been preponderantly criti-cal of Mr. Nixon, except in a few isolated cases, such as the conservative newspaper. Le Fi-garo of Paris, which said of Mr. Nixon that "there will come a moment when the versatile American democracy will be tahnkful to him" for holding The Watergate revelations, combined with America's eco-nomic problems, have pro-duced a considerable amount of lamenting abroad about the state of American society. But this has been balanced by wide-spread admiration for the way that the American press and Congress have exposed the scandals. Nixon seems to have increased

scandals.

As might be expected, inter-pretations of Watergate have been filtered through the na-tional experiences of the beholders.

holders. In South Korea, and in other Asian countries, for instance, officials asked why a suitable face-saving compromise had not been struck. In Argentina, with her own political crisis, Water-gate has received less attention than in Britain and Canada, where it has remained a major news story. news story.

Some Major Conclusions

Among major conclusions drawn from the study are the following:

following: ¶A chief focus of concern is that Congress will pre-empt the President's powers in foreign policy. This is particularly dis-concerting in Western Europe where the allies fear Congress will order a unilateral cut in the American force in Europe. In South Vietnam, President Nguyen Van Thieu has ex-pressed concern lest Congress cut back on foreign aid to his cut back on foreign aid to his country.

cut back on foreign aid to his country. ¶Although Watergate is taken more seriously now than in May, Soviet and Chinese leaders still require that their media ignore Watergate as much as possible. Neither Pe-king nor Moscow wants to tar-nish Mr. Nixon's image because they have both based their foreign policies on good re-lations with his Administration. The Russians have printed only a few, noncritical reports. The Chinese have not mentioned Watergate at all in their media. ¶There has been no sign tha Watergate has crippled any ongoing negotiations or other-wise set back normal diplo-matic business. Mr. Nixon, in fact, has seemed to go out of his way to meet foreign leaders in this period, ranging from Leonid I. Brezhnev, the Soviet Communist party leader, to the Snah of Iran, and including Premier Kakuei Tanaka of Japan, Prime Minister Gough Whitlam of Australia, and President Bernard Bongo of Gabon. ¶Despite the cloud over Mr. Nixon's reputation, he still

■Despite the cloud over Mr. Nixon's reputation, he still plans to visit Europe this fall or — if preliminary negotiations are not finished—early next year. And there has been no in-dication that any of the Eu-ropean countries want to with-draw the welcome mat. In Washington, State Depart-ment officials have reported growing anxiety among foreign public figures about the impact of Watergate on the President's ability to conduct foreign af-fairs.

fairs.

'A State of Mind

"There's nothing tangible to point to yet," one top official said. "Rather, it's a state of mind."

said. "Rather, it's a state of mind." "If this thing continues un-checked for another six months," he went on "you'll see a real impact in foreign countries. Foreigners are now reacting like most Americans did earlier this year." Mr Kissinger, Mr. Nixon's ad-viser on national security, has noted a certain ebbing of in-terest in foreign affairs by the public because of Water-gate, and has called on Ameri-cans of all political persua-sions not to let Watergate be-come "an excuse for abandon-ing our international responsi-bilities." In a speech last week, Mr.

bilities." In a speech last week, Mr. Kissinger said that "no foreign policy—no matter how ingen-ious—has any chance of suc-cess if it is born in the minds of a few and carried in the hearts of none."

hearts of none." Privately, he has told friends that he does not believe the full impact of Watergate will be felt in foreign relations for at least a year. As a result, he believes there is still time to repair the erosion already caused.

Washington officials tend to believe that foreigners, caught up with their own domestic problems, have been much slower to respond to the pos-sible effect of Watergate than have demericans have Americans.

A selective nation-by-nation rundown follows:

Britain

British officials are probably more concerned about Water-gate than any other ally, but this concern has had no ap-parent effect on day-to-day diplometic business

this concern has not no ap-parent effect on day-to-day diplomatic business. In long-range terms, British anxieties lie in three areas— trade, money and troops. Offi-cials fear that Mr. Nixon's loss of influence in Congress will make it difficult for him to get the kind of flexible trade bill he is seeking. On monetary matters, the British are nervous about the ability of the Ad-ministration to handle econom-ic crises at home. On troops, they are worried about his ability to hold off Congression-al demands for unilateral with-trawals from Europe. A recent article in the Eve-

A recent article in the Eve-

ning Standard attributed to sources close to Prime Minister Heath attracted considerable attention. It said: "What clearly worries Heath at present is Watergate.

"At all levels of Government, British ministers and officials British ministers and officials are finding Washington para-lyzed and this is dangerous in every sphere. President Nixon's authority is simply gone. And until stability is restored, the dollar will be weak."

dollar will be weak." Whether the accuracy of these comments are accepted or not, they do reflect the mood in London, where the press is pulling no punches on Mr. Nixon. The Spectator, an ideologically conservative weekly, said recently that "a collective irresponsibility amounting to a collective mad-ness appears to have infected almost everyone high enough up in the Nixon Administra-tion." It added that "only Nix-on must be the source of the cancer."

West Germany

West Germany Relations with Washington have proceeded at an acceler-ated pace in recent weeks, un-derscoring that Watergate had not paralyzed American For-eign-policy machinery. Foreign Minister Walter Scheel and De-fense Minister Georg Leber have both been to Washington. Over-all, opinions have re-mained about the same as in the spring. Those who always thought Mr. Nixon was a tricky scoundrel still think so. And those who think he should be respected because he is head of Germany's leading ally, still think so. German media coverage has

head of Germany's leading any, still think so. German media coverage has been high. Recently Der Spie-gel's cover carried a picture of Mr. Nixon wearing earphones plugged to the stars of the American flag, and a headline reading, "Nixon Finished?" The chief concern in Bonn is over the troop situation, with most Germans worried about possible Congressional action to force a unilateral cutback. But one official said that West Germany was confident Mr. Nixon could hold off Congress now that negotiations with the Russians on mutual outbacks were scheduled to begin in October, a view shared by Washington.

France

Officiais, businessmen and other public figures have begun to take Watergate seriously as a development of historical im-

a development of historical im-pact, undeniably important though still impossible to as-sess, and not—as they first be-lieved—just the latest caper of the silly season that would soon be forgotten. Although the Government avoids all comment, privately officials acknowledge that Wa-tergate is being heavily ana-lyzed, with a search for clues to where it will lead. But so far, the search has been frus-trating, and French-American relations are continuing as if Watergate did not exist. Mr. Nixon's image has been tarnished somewhat, but there is also some tendency among

Mr. Nixon's image has been tarnished somewhat, but there is also some tendency among commentators to sympathize with him as a vistim of the electronic age or of modern idealistic expectations. There has been some slack-ening in press inforest recent-ly because the French feel a certain sense of impropriety in politics being allowed to inter-fere with the August vacation season. Some Freestmen say they have stopped follow-ing Watergate because they cannot keep up with all the players and all the details. France remains unenthusias-tic about Mr. Nixon's new European policy, but is going ahead with discussions about his planned visit. The real con-cern seems to involve the economic and trade problems facing the Atlantic alliance, and Watergate definitely adds to the uncertainty. **Soviet Union**

Soviet Union

Soviet officials and journal-ists who were slow to take Watergate seriously at first now realize it is a major scan-dal. They appear increasingly worried that any loss of stature or power by Mr. Nixon will be translated into a loss for Mr. Brezhnev's policy of improved relations with the United States because the Soviet party leader has tied that policy so closely to his relations with Mr. Nixon. These comments are made only in private because the So-viet media have suppressed vir-tually all news about Water-gate. As a result of Mr. Brezh-nev's June visit to Washington, Soviet-American relations are going ahead independent of Watergate. Russians, even some of the most sorbisticated do not un-Soviet officials and journal-

Russians, even some of the most sophisticated, do not un-derstand the American system well, and are uneasy about the new assertiveness of Congress and the aggressiveness of congress and the aggressiveness of the press. They prefer to deal with a neat, solidified, and more unified White House.

Canada

Canada Opinion makers seem more and more revolted with the Watergate revelations, and it is hard to find any public defense of Mr. Nixon. A common Ca-nadian attitude was expressed the other day by a banker in Montreal who said, "We never understood why you people elected Nixon in the first place. He's not a Canadian type at all." Ironically, the over-all image

Ironically, the over-all image of the United States seems stronger because of the role of its press.

Canadians, however, have used the Watergate affair as an argument against changing to a republican form of gov-ernment. Many say that Water-gate is a good reason to steer

an independent course in political and economic areas.

Japan

Japanese Government offi-cials continue to give the im-pression that the Watergate scandal has affected their at-titudes toward the United States only marginally, if at all. Newspapers have become more critical, but media coverage has slackened and public interest is passive in a country accuspassive in a country accus-tomed to political scandal. Some commentators have ex-

Some commentators have expressed concern about the rela-tive weakening of the President in relations with Congress. Asahi Shimbun expressed the fear that "such a change will not only make the settle-ment of the Indochina problem more difficult, but also will bind the hands of the Presi-dent concerning various inter-nal polices." A high official in the Ameri-can Embassy said that he had found no perceptible changes among Japanese he dealt with. But he may never be asked about Watergate because of Oriental politeness.

South Vietnam

South Vietnam The overwhelming fact about Watergate in South Vietnam is the concern it has caused to the Government of President Thieu regarding the future of American aid. There has been very little in-terest in the merits of the case, but there has been serious worry about how Watergate will affect Mr. Nixon's power and therefore his program of strong support for Saigon. One concrete result of Water-gate, as noted in a special se-cret report to President Thieu, is that the American Congress is clearly becoming more im-portant and that more attention must be paid to it by the Sai-gan Government American. portant and that more attention must be paid to it by the Sai-gon Government. American-South Vietnamese relations have always been a White House monopoly, and the Viet-namese have tended to regard Congress as inconsequential. But this will now change, and a high-level team may come to high-level team may come to Washington to appeal to Congress.

Korean officials, intellectuals and editors have expressed in-creasing concern about the pos-sible adverse effects of Watergate on American commit-ments to Seoul.

gate on Americal commit-ments to Seoul. They feel that the affair should have been ended long ago in a constructive way, for the good f all concerned, in-cluding such American allies as Korea. Although officials have refrained from comment-ing publicy, they privately say that they are disheartened by the American system of democ-racy and by the increasing power of Congress. "We are rather sympathetic to Mr. Nixon," said one offi-cial privately. "We sympathize with him because he has been betrayed by some of his for-mer trusted aides," which is something unthinkable in the Original generation of ather

mer trusted aides," which is something unthinkable in the Oriental sense of ethics. The fear of Congress stems from Korean concern that

from Korean concern that further restraints may be placed on aid to Korea, "If worse comes to worst," one editor said, "in case of war here Mr. Nixon may be prevented from helping us under the United States-Korean mutual defense treaty."

Australia

Australia Although Watergate has had no discernible effect on rela-tions with the United States— note Mr. Whitlam's revent visit to Washington—President Nix-on has come under increased press criticism, particularly for his refusal to turn over the White House tapes. As in other countries with British-style po-litical systems, in Australia people tend to express wonder that Mr. Nixon is able to re-main in office. Under similar circumstances in Australia, a prime minister would probably have had to resign. Despite Mr. Whitlam's early criticism of Mr. Nixon's Viet-nam policy, he has not men-tioned Watergate, and has per-suaded some left-wing Cabinet members to avoid the topic, as part of Australia's effort to mend fences with Washington. India

India

India India's relations with the United States have improved in recent months, and talks have begun between Abassador Daniel P. Moynihan and Indian officials to resolve outstanding problems. As the result, there is a tendency in leading circles in New Delhi to avoid discus-sion of the Watergate question. In fact, Indian officials and others seem to have lost inter-est in the affair. There has been scant editorial comment and Mr. Nixon's decision not to turn over the White House tapes has won general support. There is some concern in In-dia, however, about whether the Watergate affair might in-volve Mr. Nixon so deeply in internal matters that he would be unable to pay attention to India's economic problems. Israel

Israel

Israel Israeli attitudes toward Wa-tergate are primarily pragmatic. The interest seems to center on the political ramifications rather than on moral issues. Premier Golda Meir was quoted the other day as having told an American visitor that she was "really worried" about the impact of Watergate on Mr. Nixon and his capacity to gov-ern. But most commentators still believe he will last out his term in office. There is general admiration for the ability of the American press to ferret out the Water-gate story. One Israeli editor said that no Israeli paper could do a similar job.